

# Econ 204

## Set Formation and the Axiom of Choice

In this supplement, we discuss the rules underlying set formation and the Axiom of Choice.

We generally begin with a set of elements, such as the natural numbers  $\mathbf{N}$ , the rational numbers  $\mathbf{Q}$ , the real numbers  $\mathbf{R}$ , or an abstract set like the set  $X$  of all points of an unspecified metric space.

Given any set  $X$ , we can form  $2^X$ , often called the *power set* of  $X$ ;  $2^X$  is the set of all subsets of  $X$ . Thus, we can form the set  $\mathbf{N}$  of all natural numbers,  $2^{\mathbf{N}}$ , the set of all subsets of  $\mathbf{N}$ ;  $\emptyset$ ,  $\{1, 2\}$ ,  $\{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$  are elements of  $2^{\mathbf{N}}$ .

We can also form  $2^{2^{\mathbf{N}}} = 2^{(2^{\mathbf{N}})}$ , the set of all subsets of the set of all subsets of the natural numbers. An element of  $2^{2^{\mathbf{N}}}$  is a set of subsets of the natural numbers; for example,  $\{\emptyset\}$ ,  $\{\emptyset, \mathbf{N}\}$ ,  $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}\}$  and  $\{\{2\}, \{4\}, \{6\}, \dots\}$  are elements of  $2^{2^{\mathbf{N}}}$ .

Let  $X$  be any set, and  $P(x)$  a mathematical statement about a variable  $x$ . Then

$$\{x \in X : P(x)\}$$

is a set; it is the collection of all elements  $x$  of  $X$  such that the statement  $P(x)$  is true. For example, if  $f$  is a function from  $[a, b]$  to  $\mathbf{R}$ , then  $\{t \in [a, b] : f(t) < 7\}$  is a valid set; it consists of all those elements  $t$  in the interval  $[a, b]$  such that  $f(t) < 7$ . The statement  $P$  can be complex. In particular, it can include quantifiers. For example,

$$\{x \in [0, 1] : \forall_{y \in [0, 1]} x \geq y\}$$

is a valid set; it equals  $\{1\}$ .

$$\{x \in (0, 1) : \forall_{y \in (0, 1)} x > y\}$$

is also a valid set; it equals the empty set. The set of all upper bounds for  $X \subseteq 2^{\mathbf{R}}$  is

$$U = \{u \in \mathbf{R} : \forall_{x \in X} u \geq x\}$$

In order to avoid Russell's Paradox<sup>1</sup>, one needs to exercise a little care in forming sets. In practice, the things that a working economist needs to do are always legal. You can always apply the power set construction an arbitrary finite number of times, and use quantifiers of the form  $\forall_{x \in X}$  as long as  $X$  is a set formed by taking at most a finite number of applications of the power set operation. Thus,

$$2\left(2\left(2^{\mathbf{R}}\right)\right)$$

is fine. Working economists have no interest in sets<sup>2</sup> like

$$Y = \{1, \{1\}, \{\{1\}\}, \{\{\{1\}\}\}, \dots\}$$

which involve unbounded applications of the power set construction.

A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is defined in terms of its graph

$$G_f = \{(x, y) : y = f(x)\} \subseteq X \times Y = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$$

so  $G_f \in 2^{X \times Y}$ . The fact that  $f$  is a function says that

$$((x, y) \in G_f \wedge (x, z) \in G_f) \Rightarrow (y = z)$$

The collection of all functions mapping  $X$  to  $Y$  is thus a subset of  $2^{X \times Y}$ , and is thus an element of  $2^{2^{X \times Y}}$ . Therefore, we can write quantifiers over functions. For example,

$$\forall_{f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}} \exists_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \nexists n \in \mathbf{N} f(n) = x$$

states that there is no function mapping  $\mathbf{N}$  onto  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Suppose we are given a set  $\Lambda$  and a function  $G : \Lambda \rightarrow 2^X$  for some set  $X$ . Then the Axiom of Choice asserts

$$(\forall_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G(\lambda) \neq \emptyset) \Rightarrow (\exists_{f: \Lambda \rightarrow X} \forall_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(\lambda) \in G(\lambda))$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In the early days of set theory, mathematicians were somewhat cavalier about what constituted a set. Bertrand Russell point out that if the collection of all sets is a set  $\Omega$ , then one can form  $E = \{X \in \Omega : X \notin X\}$ , the set of all sets which are not elements of themselves. Is  $E \in E$ ? If so, then  $E \notin E$ , contradiction; if not, then  $E \in E$ , again a contradiction. Thus, one needs to define the notion of "set" in such a way that the collection of all sets is not a "set."

<sup>2</sup> $Y$  is a valid set, but one needs to exercise caution with respect to quantifiers of the form  $\forall_{y \in Y}$ .

In other words, if I can choose an element of  $G(\lambda)$  one  $\lambda$  at a time, I can choose a *function*  $f : \Lambda \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(\lambda) \in G(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . For example, suppose that for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $B_{1/n}(y) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ . Then the Axiom of Choice tells us that there is a sequence (recall a sequence is a function whose domain is  $\mathbf{N}$ )  $\{x_n\}$  of elements of  $X$  such that  $x_n \in B_{1/n}(y)$  (and hence  $x_n \rightarrow y$ ).