Lecture 14  
Economics 181, International Trade  
Midterm Review

• Answers to assignments 1 and 2 posted on website  
• Answers to problems at the end of Chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 posted on website  
• If you want to be well prepared, do lots of practice problems from the first 2 midterms!  
• Last minute questions? Friday 10 to 12 AND Monday, 4 to 5:30. NO office hours next Tuesday  
• No calculators or notes please during the exam. No blue books necessary

I. Ricardian Framework

Contributions and assumptions:

• Only one factor of production; no distributional conflicts over gains from trade  
• Technology is NOT the same across countries  
• Shows why/how countries gain from trade as each specializes in the good in which it has a comparative advantage  
• Even countries that have an absolute advantage in all goods gain from trade as long as the post-trade relative price differs from the autarky (=pre-trade price).  
• Can you show all the ways to illustrate gains from trade?

Relative price of X in terms of Y = opportunity cost of X in terms of Y  

= unit labor requirement for X/Y = ax/ay.

So if unit labor requirements given by the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cheese</th>
<th>Wine</th>
<th>Relative price of cheese in terms of wine?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Who has absolute advantage in cheese? In wine?  
Who has a comparative advantage in cheese? In wine?  
Where will post-trade relative price of cheese in terms of wine settle?

Given the total labor endowment, you should be able to graph the PPF for France or Switzerland. Assume that Switzerland has an endowment of 80 and France has an endowment of 60:

How can you show that there are gains from trade on the PPFs above, assuming that after trade the price settles at 2?  
If the price after trade settles at 2, what does the world supply curve for cheese relative to wine look like?
II. The Specific Factors Model

- Assumes 3 factors, 2 goods (steel and corn). One factor is assumed to be mobile across sectors (usually labor, but it could be something else). Two factors are specific to each of the two goods—for example, capital is specific to steel and land is specific to corn.
- Need to be able to show what happens to the factor return to the mobile factor if the price of steel or corn rises or falls. What happens to the allocation of labor across the two sectors? Let’s assume that the price of steel rises:
  - What happens to the return to capital? To land?
  - What happens to the nominal return to labor? The real return?
  - Contribution of this framework: while it remains true that there continue to be gains from trade, model makes clear the distributional conflicts that arise in opening up to trade.

III. The Heckscher-Ohlin Model

- Assumes that all factors are mobile (hence a long run model, while specific factors model can be thought of as the short-run model).
- Trade patterns are dictated by differences in factor endowments, NOT differences in technology. Technology is assumed to be the same across countries (driving the result that with trade, factor prices equalize across countries).
- Four important results: (1) the Heckscher-Ohlin Theorem (2) the Stolper-Samuelson Theorem (3) Factor-Price Equalization (FPE) and (4) the Rybczynski Theorem.
- Can use the Stolper-Samuelson theorem to understand increasing inequality as an outcome of greater globalization. According to SS, trade leads to an increase in the return to a country’s abundant factor and a fall in the return to its scarce factor. So if the US is abundant in skilled labor and scarce in unskilled labor, trade will lead inequality to rise. But difficult to prove the linkages (recall lecture on this). So what does SS imply should happen in developing countries if they are well endowed with unskilled labor?
- Evidence for and against this model?

Deriving the PPF in this framework: labor and land unit requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Labor (L)</th>
<th>Land (T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cloth</td>
<td>$a_{lc} = 10$</td>
<td>$a_{lc} = 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td>$a_{lf} = 2$</td>
<td>$a_{lf} = 4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
So now we draw the PPF (production possibility frontier) with two factors:
Clothing is more labor-intensive
Food is more land-intensive

Where is the PPF exactly? Food production is "bounded" by the land requirement and cloth production is "bounded" by labor. The PPF is the internal shaded area—it is now kinked.

What happens if the endowment of labor expands? According to the Rybczynski theorem, we would expect the production of cloth to increase by more than the increase in the endowment of labor, and the production of food to fall. Intuition: cloth production was "bounded" before by a lack of labor.

Say labor increases to 120 then we get:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{L}{a_{lf}} &= \frac{120}{2} = 60 \\
\frac{L}{a_{lc}} &= \frac{120}{10} = 12
\end{align*}
\]

Graph at left shows that if Price of Cloth increases, return to factor used Intensively to produce cloth rises, and both Sectors reduce their use of that factor. Left quadrant illustrates SS; right quadrant Indicates factor intensity and how it changes With changes in factor prices.
IV. The Standard Trade Model

The last part of the first half of the course brings together all three models to present a standard model of trade. We draw a general production possibility frontier, with the price line (what is the slope?) tangent to the PPF at point Q. The economy below produces at Q but consumes at D. You should be able to derive quantities of exports and imports from these types of diagrams.

A country’s terms of trade are defined as: TOT = Pexport/Pimport = Pe/Pi
If your TOT rise (ie Pe rises or Pi falls), welfare rises. (ie you are better off).

Below, the country exports cloth. If Pcloth rises, what happens?

1. The production of cloth rises
2. The country’s terms of trade improved
3. Welfare increased; the country is now on a higher indifference curve.

V. Environment, Globalization, Inequality and Poverty
- Increasing inequality within the US and many developing countries
- Is Globalization (FDI, Trade) the explanation? What are other explanations? Policy solutions?
- What are the links between poverty and globalization?
- Guest Lecture on Trade and Environment.