Public Finance and Development

Tim Besley and Torsten Persson

Discussion

Many puzzling aspects of tax policy in poorer countries

- In poorer compared to developed countries,
 - (Taxes/GDP) about half as large
 - (Trade taxes/total taxes) much higher
 - (Person income taxes/total taxes) a third as large
 - But income tax rates roughly the same
- Patterns as true in the time series data and the cross-section data

Many puzzling aspects of tax policy in poorer countries

- Added observation: Role of wars
 - Wars lead to a permanent jump in tax revenue
 - Wars also lead to permanent changes in composition of tax revenue
- This observation forms the basis for the model used in this paper:
 - Intuitively, states invest in monitoring technology during wars that remains useful after wars are over

Economic trade-offs when investing in fiscal capacity

Evasion, e, chosen to minimize

$$t(Y-e)+c(e,\tau)$$

- o Implications:
 - Evasion independent of Y
 - Tax distortions to Y independent of e
 - Optimal t low when e high
 - While counter-factual, heterogeneity in evasion costs can help explain data

Economic trade-offs when investing in fiscal capacity

- \circ Costs: $F(\Delta \tau)$, independent of Y
- Implications
 - Higher Y implies higher t, and therefore more enforcement
- Functions F and c differ by tax, so degree of enforcement differs by tax at each level of income

Provides rationale for low revenue in poor countries

- Forecast lower revenue even at same enforcement, but also lower enforcement in poor countries
- Assumed cost differences by tax:
 - Flexible enough to rationalize any pattern of tax differences in poor countries
 - But few testable forecasts

Political considerations when investing in fiscal capacity

- Value of future tax revenue varies depending on political context
 - High during a war
 - High if officials remain in power longer
 - Lower if successor governments have different preferences on use of funds

Political explanations for low revenue in poor countries

- Political differences with richer countries?
 - Governments shorter lived?
 - Society more polarized?
 - Fought fewer wars in the past?

Political explanations for low revenue in poor countries

- But no evidence presented for systematic political differences in poor countries
- Casual evidence suggests skepticism
 - Past U.S. tax structure mirrors that in developing countries
 - Developed countries without recent wars, e.g. Denmark or Sweden also have high revenue. (see Figure 12)
 - Policies in China?

Empirical evidence key to differentiating among theories

- Authors should be commended for the diverse body of evidence they produced.
- Evidence consists of correlations between two variables.
- Better to at least control for per capita income
- Doing so would provide a test of the degree to which political factors vs. income per se explains puzzling tax policy in poorer countries

With economic factors alone,

- Model simply forecasts
 - Lower tax revenue and lower tax rates in poor countries
 - Policies can vary arbitrarily by tax

But enforcement of taxes highly interdependent

- For example, the corporate tax collects a much higher fraction of revenue in poorer countries
- If the government observes
 (Revenue payroll materials depreciation),
 then it has enough information to
 - then it has enough information to support each of the standard taxes

Alternative assumptions about sources of information

- Consistent with this, most of literature focuses on enforcement differences by firm
 - Dharmapala et al: Fixed costs of monitoring a firm, but covers all taxes
 - Kleven et al: Larger firms cannot hide information, again affecting all taxes
 - Gordon-Li: Firms differ in gains from use of financial sector
 - Another possibility is that information mainly from state-owned firms

These alternative models have many testable forecasts

- With full information on some firms and none on other firms, standard optimal tax structure if no mobility of tax base from taxed to untaxed firms
- Mobility adds new consideration to choice of tax structure

Example from Gordon-Li

- If labor-intensive firms relatively mobile, then optimal taxes on labor income will be low.
- If tax burden/sales differs by industry, then tariffs needed to neutralize the resulting trade distortions
- Incentives to intervene in capital market so as to favor taxed firms

Broader implications

- Basic intuition: If "x" makes revenue collection harder, then policies that discourage "x" become attractive
 - If observe activity only of large firms, then discourage production in small firms
 - If observe only activity using the financial sector, then discourage firms that don't value use of banks, e.g. inflation

What if quality of information varies by firm?

- Observe I easily, yet costs c to hire accounting firm to document B
 - Presumptive tax on I if don't observe B
 - Tax E(B | I) ?
- Should firms can be given choice between presumptive and ordinary taxes? What should incentives be to report B?

Resulting role of fiscal capacity

- Role of fiscal capacity is then to monitor the accounting firms
- If monitoring poor, then tax base in practice is I, regardless of the law.

Summary

- Striking observation that wars generate permanent increases in tax revenue
- Paper surveys a body of work that builds off of this observation
- But does this observation help us understand why tax policies differ so dramatically in poorer countries, or where policy reform should focus?