

Economics 204
 Fall 2010
 Problem Set 3 Solutions

1. In each case, give an example of a function f , continuous on S and such that $f(S) = T$, or else explain why there can be no such f

- (a) $S = (0, 1)$, $T = (0, 1]$
- (b) $S = (0, 1)$, $T = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$
- (c) $S = \mathbf{R}$, $T = \mathbf{Q}$
- (d) $S = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$, $T = \{0, 1\}$
- (e) $S = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, $T = \mathbf{R}^2$
- (f) $S = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, $T = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$
- (g) $S = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$, $T = \mathbf{R}^2$

Solution.

- (a) $S = (0, 1)$, $T = (0, 1]$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{if } x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1). \end{cases}$$

- (b) $S = (0, 1)$, $T = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$

No, a continuous functions maps a connected set to a connected set. However, in this case, S is connected and T is not.

- (c) $S = \mathbf{R}$, $T = \mathbf{Q}$

No, again a continuous functions maps a connected set to a connected set. However, in this case, S is connected and T is not.

- (d) $S = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$, $T = \{0, 1\}$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [2, 3]. \end{cases}$$

- (e) $S = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, $T = \mathbf{R}^2$

No, a continuous functions sends a compact set to a compact set. However, in this case, S is compact and T is not.

- (f) $S = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, $T = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$

No, a continuous functions sends a compact set to a compact set. However, in this case, S is compact and T is not.

- (g) $S = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$, $T = \mathbf{R}^2$

$$f(x, y) = (\cot \pi x, \cot \pi y).$$

2. Let $X = C([0, 1])$, $d(f, g) = \max_t |f(t) - g(t)|$. Show that (X, d) is not compact.

Solution. Recall the sequential definition of compactness: every sequence have a convergent subsequence. So, we will show that (X, d) is not compact by giving an example of one such sequence. Consider the following sequence of constant functions $\{f_n = n \forall n\}$, which clearly contains no convergent subsequence. Therefore, $C([0, 1])$ with a supremum metric is not compact.

3. Show that any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a compact metric space X , that has a unique cluster point x , converges to x .

Solution. Lets prove this statement by contradiction. So, lets assume that that $\{x_n\} \not\rightarrow x$. Then, we can find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$, the elements of which belong to the set $X \setminus B_\epsilon(x)$. Since X is a compact metric space, $\{x_{n_k}\}$ has a cluster point $\tilde{x} \neq x$. But $X \setminus B_\epsilon(x)$ is closed, thus, $\tilde{x} \in X \setminus B_\epsilon(x)$, so $\tilde{x} \neq x$. We obtained two different cluster points x and \tilde{x} which is a contradiction.

4. Assume $f : S \rightarrow T$ is uniformly continuous on S , where S and T are metric spaces. If $\{x_n\}$ is any Cauchy sequence in S , prove that $\{f(x_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in T . Provide an example to show that the statement is not true if f is just continuous.

Solution. Lets assume that d is a metric on T and ρ is a metric on S . We need to show that given an $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $N(\epsilon) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for any $n, m \geq N(\epsilon)$ we have $d(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < \epsilon$. So, fix some $\epsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous there is $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $\rho(x, y) < \delta$, $x, y \in S$ we have $d(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$. By our assumptions, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, therefore, for that $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ there is a positive integer $N(\delta) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for any $n, m \geq N(\delta)$ we have $\rho(x_n, x_m) < \delta$ which implies $d(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < \epsilon$. Thus, $\{f(x_n)\}$ is Cauchy.

Now, let $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$, $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, $S = (0, 1]$ and $T = \mathbf{R}$ with usual Euclidean metric on both spaces. It is easy to see that while $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy, $\{f(x_n) = n \forall n\}$ is not because $\frac{1}{x}$ is not uniformly continuous.

5. Give an example of each of the following:

- (a) a complete metric space that is bounded but not compact.

Solution. Recall the discrete metric defined (on \mathbf{R}) as follows:

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

Then, it is easy to see that (\mathbf{R}, d) is a bounded (and complete) metric space, but it is not compact. Since every point is open with discrete metric, it follows that the cover $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}}$ whose elements are singletons, has no finite sub-cover.

To see completeness, note that there are no Cauchy sequences in (\mathbf{R}, d) with infinitely many distinct terms. Else, for every $x_m \neq x_n \Rightarrow d(x, y) = 1$. Hence, the only Cauchy sequences are those that are constant after some (finite) index n . As constant sequences vacuously converge to their constant value, it follows that the given metric space is complete.

- (b) a metric space with the property that none of its closed balls is complete.

Solution. Consider $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{R}$ with open sets “inherited” from \mathbf{R} under the usual absolute value metric. In other words, a subset V of \mathbf{Q} is open if and only if there exists an open $U \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that $V = U \cap \mathbf{R}$. It is easy to see that none of the closed balls could possibly be complete because we can’t capture irrational limit points.

6. Some practice with connectedness

- (a) A space is *totally disconnected* if its only connected subsets are one-point sets. Show that if X is endowed with *discrete metric*, then X is totally disconnected. Does the converse hold?

Solution. Notice that with discrete metrics every set is open. So, if $A \subset X$ contains more than one element then for every $x \in A$, then two open sets $\{x\}$ and $A \setminus \{x\}$ form a separation of A . Thus, the only connected sets are singletons.

The converse is not necessarily true. Consider $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{R}$ with open sets “inherited” from \mathbf{R} under the usual absolute value metric. In other words, a subset V of \mathbf{Q} is open if and only if there exists an open $U \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that $V = U \cap \mathbf{R}$. Then $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{R}$ is totally disconnected (to see this pick an irrational point t and consider separation $\mathbf{Q} = (-\infty, t) \cup (t, +\infty)$).

- (b) Show that a topological space X is connected if and only if every continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is constant.¹

Solution.

(\Rightarrow) Assume that X is connected and let $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be any continuous function. We claim f is constant. Proceeding by contradiction, assume not. Then, by continuity, $U = f^{-1}(1), V = f^{-1}(0)$ are open subsets of X . Moreover, they are non-empty if f is non-constant and disjoint. Since $U \cup V = X$ we obtain a contradiction to the connectedness of X .

¹ $\{0, 1\}$ is endowed with the *discrete metric*.

(\Leftarrow) We prove the contrapositive: If X is not connected, then there is a non-constant continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. If X is not connected, then we may write $X = U \cup V$, where U, V are open subsets of X that form a separation. Define $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in U \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in V \end{cases}$$

Clearly, this gives a well-defined, continuous function from X to $\{0, 1\}$, proving the contrapositive.

- (c) Let X be a connected subset of a metric space S . Let Y be a subset of S such that $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \bar{X}$, where \bar{X} is the closure of X . Prove that Y is also connected using the result from part (b) of this exercise. Provide counter-example showing that converse is not true.

Solution. Lets use the result we have just proven. So consider a two-valued function f on Y . It is easy to see that the restriction of f to Y , $f|_Y$ is also two valued.² Given our assumptions, f is constant on X and without any loss of generality lets assume $f = 0$ on X . Now, lets consider $y \in Y \setminus X$. Since $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \bar{X}$, y must be a limit point of X . This means that there is a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow y$. Clearly, $f(x_n) = 0$ for all n . f is a continuous function, so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = f(y) = 0$$

Since point y was arbitrary $f = 0$ on Y and by previous result we conclude that Y is connected.

To see that converse does not hold consider \mathbf{Q} , a disconnected set with connected closure.

7. Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{E}^n$, $Y \subseteq \mathbf{E}^m$. Suppose $\Psi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is a correspondence. Define $\Psi^+(V)$ to be *upper (or strong) inverse* of $V \subseteq Y$ if

$$\Psi^+(V) = \{x \in X : \Psi(x) \subseteq V\}$$

and $\Psi^-(V)$ to be *lower (or weak) inverse* of $V \subseteq Y$ if

$$\Psi^-(V) = \{x \in X : \Psi(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Using these definitions show that

²The restriction $f|_Y$ is the function from Y to $\{0, 1\}$ such that $f|_Y(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in Y$.

(a) For every $V \subseteq Y$, $\Psi^+(V) = [\Psi^-(V^c)]^c$

Solution. Note that for all $x \in X$ either $\Psi(x) \subseteq V$ or $\Psi(x) \cap V^c \neq \emptyset$ but not both. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^+(V) \cup \Psi^-(V^c) &= X \\ \Psi^+(V) \cap \Psi^-(V^c) &= \emptyset\end{aligned}$$

Combining these two equalities we obtain the result we seek.

(b) $\Psi(x)$ is uhc $\iff \Psi^-(V)$ is closed for every closed set V

Solution.

(\implies) Assume that $\Psi(x)$ is uhc and let V be a closed set in Y . We need to show that $\Psi^-(V)$ is closed in X . V^c is open and because the strong inverse of any open set is open, $\Psi^+(V^c)$ is open. By the result in the previous part

$$\Psi^-(V) = [\Psi^+(V^c)]^c$$

thus, $\Psi^-(V)$ is closed as a complement of an open set.

(\impliedby) Assume $\Psi^-(V)$ is closed for every closed set V . We need to show that $\Psi(x)$ is uhc. We do this by showing that $\Psi^+(W)$ is open for any open W in Y . So, take W open in Y , then W^c is closed and $\Psi^-(W^c)$ is closed in X . By the result in the previous part

$$\Psi^+(W) = [\Psi^-(W^c)]^c$$

thus, $\Psi^+(W)$ is open as a complement to a closed set.

(c) $\Psi(x)$ is lhc $\iff \Psi^+(V)$ is closed for every closed set V

Solution.

(\implies) Assume that $\Psi(x)$ is lhc and let V be a closed set in Y . We need to show that $\Psi^+(V)$ is closed in X . V^c is open and because the weak inverse of any open set is open, $\Psi^-(V^c)$ is open. By the result in the previous part

$$\Psi^+(V) = [\Psi^-(V^c)]^c$$

thus, $\Psi^+(V)$ is closed as a complement of the open set.

(\impliedby) Assume $\Psi^+(V)$ is closed for every closed set V . We need to show that $\Psi(x)$ is lhc. We do this by showing that $\Psi^-(W)$ is open for any open W in Y . So, take W open in Y , then W^c is closed and $\Psi^+(W^c)$ is closed in X . By the result in the previous part

$$\Psi^-(W) = [\Psi^+(W^c)]^c$$

thus, $\Psi^-(W)$ is open as a complement to a closed set.

8. Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{E}^n$, $Y \subseteq \mathbf{E}^m$. Suppose $\Psi : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is uhc and compact valued-correspondence. Show that $\Psi(K)$ is compact if K is compact.

Solution. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in $\Psi(K)$. We have to show that $\{y_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence with a limit in $\Psi(K)$. For every $\{y_n\}$ there is an x_n with $y_n \in \Psi(x_n)$. Since K is compact, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\} \rightarrow x \in K$. Now we appeal to the sequential characterization of uhc (Theorem 12 in lecture notes 7) to claim that subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}$ has a further subsequence $\{y_{n_{k_l}}\}$ that converges to $y \in \Psi(x) \subseteq \Psi(K)$. Thus, we have shown that the original sequence $\{y_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.