

Announcements

- PS2 due Tuesday  
in lecture

# Econ 204 2016

## Lecture 6

### Outline

1. Open Covers
2. Compactness
3. Sequential Compactness
4. Totally Bounded Sets
5. Heine-Borel Theorem
6. Extreme Value Theorem

# Open Covers

**Definition 1.** *A collection of sets*

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

*in a metric space  $(X, d)$  is an open cover of  $A$  if  $U_\lambda$  is open for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and*

$$\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda \supseteq A$$

Notice that  $\Lambda$  may be finite, countably infinite, or uncountable.

# Compactness

**Definition 2.** *A set  $A$  in a metric space is compact if every open cover of  $A$  contains a finite subcover of  $A$ . In other words, if  $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is an open cover of  $A$ , there exist  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$  such that*

$$A \subseteq U_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\lambda_n}$$

This definition does **not** say “ $A$  has a finite open cover” (fortunately, since this is vacuous...).

Instead for **any** arbitrary open cover you must specify a finite subcover of this **given** open cover.

# Compactness

**Example:**  $(0, 1]$  is not compact in  $\mathbf{E}^1$ .


To see this, let

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ U_m = \left( \frac{1}{m}, 2 \right) : m \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} U_m = (0, 2) \supset (0, 1]$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $(0, 1]$



Given any finite subset  $\{U_{m_1}, \dots, U_{m_n}\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , let

$$m = \max\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{m_i} = U_m = \left(\frac{1}{m}, 2\right) \not\subseteq (0, 1]$$

So  $(0, 1]$  is not compact.

What about  $[0, 1]$ ? This argument doesn't work...

# Compactness

**Example:**  $[0, \infty)$  is closed but not compact.

(in  $\mathbb{R}$  with standard metric)

To see that  $[0, \infty)$  is not compact, let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_m = (-1, m) : m \in \mathbf{N}\}$$

$$\bigcup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} (-1, m) = (-1, \infty) \supseteq [0, +\infty)$$

Given any finite subset


$$\{U_{m_1}, \dots, U_{m_n}\}$$

of  $\mathcal{U}$ , let

$$m = \max\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$$

Then

$$U_{m_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{m_n} = (-1, m) \not\supseteq [0, \infty)$$





# Compactness


**Theorem 1** (Thm. 8.14). Every closed subset  $A$  of a compact metric space  $(X, d)$  is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be an open cover of  $A$ . In order to use the compactness of  $X$ , we need to produce an open cover of  $X$ . There are two ways to do this:

$$\begin{aligned} U'_\lambda &= U_\lambda \cup (X \setminus A) \quad \leftarrow \text{open because } A \text{ closed} \\ \Lambda' &= \Lambda \cup \{\lambda_0\}, \quad U_{\lambda_0} = X \setminus A \end{aligned}$$


We choose the first path, and let

$$U'_\lambda = U_\lambda \cup (X \setminus A) \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$$



$$U_\lambda' = U_\lambda \cup (X \setminus A)$$

X



Since  $A$  is closed,  $X \setminus A$  is open; since  $U_\lambda$  is open, so is  $U'_\lambda$ .

Then  $x \in X \Rightarrow x \in A$  or  $x \in X \setminus A$ . If  $x \in A$ ,  $\exists \lambda \in \Lambda$  s.t.  $x \in U_\lambda \subseteq U'_\lambda$ . If instead  $x \in X \setminus A$ , then  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $x \in U'_\lambda$ . Therefore,  $X \subseteq \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U'_\lambda$ , so  $\{U'_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ .

Since  $X$  is compact,

$$\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda \text{ s.t. } X \subseteq U'_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup U'_{\lambda_n}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \in A &\Rightarrow a \in X \\ &\Rightarrow a \in U'_{\lambda_i} \text{ for some } i \\ &\Rightarrow a \in U_{\lambda_i} \cup (X \setminus A) \\ &\Rightarrow a \in U_{\lambda_i} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$A \subseteq U_{\lambda_1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{\lambda_n}$$

Thus  $A$  is compact.



# Compactness

closed  $\not\Rightarrow$  compact, but the converse is true:


**Theorem 2** (Thm. 8.15). *If  $A$  is a compact subset of the metric space  $(X, d)$ , then  $A$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Suppose by way of contradiction that  $A$  is not closed. Then  $X \setminus A$  is not open, so we can find a point  $x \in X \setminus A$  such that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $A \cap B_\varepsilon(x) \neq \emptyset$ , and hence  $A \cap B_\varepsilon[x] \neq \emptyset$ . For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , let

$$U_n = X \setminus B_{\frac{1}{n}}[x]$$

$\uparrow$   
open

$$\forall n \quad A \cap B_{1/n}[x] \neq \emptyset$$



Each  $U_n$  is open, and

$$\cup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} U_n = X \setminus \{x\} \supseteq A$$

since  $x \notin A$ . Therefore,  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  is an open cover for  $A$ . Since  $A$  is compact, there is a finite subcover  $\{U_{n_1}, \dots, U_{n_k}\}$ . Let  $n = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} U_n &= X \setminus B_{\frac{1}{n}}[x] \\ &\supseteq X \setminus B_{\frac{1}{n_j}}[x] \quad (j = 1, \dots, k) \\ U_n &\supseteq \cup_{j=1}^k U_{n_j} \\ &\supseteq A \end{aligned}$$

But  $A \cap B_{\frac{1}{n}}[x] \neq \emptyset$ , so  $A \not\subseteq X \setminus B_{\frac{1}{n}}[x] = U_n$ , a contradiction which proves that  $A$  is closed. □



# Sequential Compactness

**Definition 3.** *A set  $A$  in a metric space  $(X, d)$  is sequentially compact if every sequence of elements of  $A$  contains a convergent subsequence whose limit lies in  $A$ .*

## Sequential Compactness

**Theorem 3** (Thms. 8.5, 8.11). *A set  $A$  in a metric space  $(X, d)$  is compact if and only if it is sequentially compact.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is compact. We will show that  $A$  is sequentially compact.

If not, we can find a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of elements of  $A$  such that no subsequence converges to **any** element of  $A$ . Recall that  $a$  is a cluster point of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  means that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \{n : x_n \in B_\varepsilon(a)\} \text{ is infinite}$$

and this is equivalent to the statement that there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converging to  $a$ . Thus, **no** element  $a \in A$  can be a cluster point for  $\{x_n\}$ , and hence

$$\forall a \in A \quad \exists \varepsilon_a > 0 \text{ s.t. } \{n : x_n \in B_{\varepsilon_a}(a)\} \text{ is finite} \quad (1)$$

Then

$$\{B_{\varepsilon_a}(a) : a \in A\}$$

is an open cover of  $A$  (if  $A$  is uncountable, it will be an uncountable open cover). Since  $A$  is compact, there is a finite subcover

$$\{B_{\varepsilon_{a_1}}(a_1), \dots, B_{\varepsilon_{a_m}}(a_m)\}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N} &= \{n : x_n \in A\} \subseteq \\ &\subseteq \left\{n : x_n \in \left(B_{\varepsilon_{a_1}}(a_1) \cup \dots \cup B_{\varepsilon_{a_m}}(a_m)\right)\right\} \\ &= \{n : x_n \in B_{\varepsilon_{a_1}}(a_1)\} \cup \dots \cup \{n : x_n \in B_{\varepsilon_{a_m}}(a_m)\} \end{aligned}$$

so  $\mathbf{N}$  is contained in a finite union of sets, each of which is finite by Equation (1). Thus,  $\mathbf{N}$  must be finite, a contradiction which proves that  $A$  is sequentially compact.

For the converse, see de la Fuente.



# Totally Bounded Sets

**Definition 4.** A set  $A$  in a metric space  $(X, d)$  is totally bounded if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in A \text{ s.t. } A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_\varepsilon(x_i)$$

A Totally bounded:

$\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$\{ B_\varepsilon(a) : a \in A \}$  is an open cover of  $A$

A compact:


$\forall$  open cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{ U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda \}$   
 $\exists$   $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$  s.t.  $A \subseteq U_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\lambda_n}$

# Totally Bounded Sets

**Example:** Take  $A = [0, 1]$  with the Euclidean metric. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $n > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ . Then we may take

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{n}, x_2 = \frac{2}{n}, \dots, x_{n-1} = \frac{n-1}{n}$$

Then  $[0, 1] \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} B_\varepsilon\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$ .



$A$  is bounded if  $\exists x \in X$  and  
 $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $A \subseteq B_\varepsilon(x)$

## Totally Bounded Sets

**Example:** Consider  $X = [0, 1]$  with the discrete metric

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

$X$  is not totally bounded. To see this, take  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then for any  $x$ ,  $B_\varepsilon(x) = \{x\}$ , so given any finite set  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_\varepsilon(x_i) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \not\supseteq [0, 1]$$

However,  $X$  is bounded because  $X = B_2(0)$ .

bounded  $\not\Rightarrow$  totally bounded

# Totally Bounded Sets

Note that any totally bounded set in a metric space  $(X, d)$  is also bounded. To see this, let  $A \subset X$  be totally bounded. Then  $\exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  such that  $A \subset B_1(x_1) \cup \dots \cup B_1(x_n)$ . Let


$$M = 1 + d(x_1, x_2) + \dots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Then  $M < \infty$ . Now fix  $a \in A$ . We claim  $d(a, x_1) < M$ . To see this, notice that there is some  $n_a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  for which  $a \in B_1(x_{n_a})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, x_1) &\leq d(a, x_{n_a}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \\ &< 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \\ &= M \end{aligned}$$



$$d(a, x_1) \stackrel{?}{=} \leq d(a, x_{na}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d(x_k, x_{k+1})$$



$a \in B_1(x_{na})$

# Totally Bounded Sets

**Remark 4.** Every compact subset of a metric space is totally bounded:

$(\varepsilon > 0)$

Fix  $\varepsilon$  and consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon = \{B_\varepsilon(a) : a \in A\}$$

If  $A$  is compact, then every open cover of  $A$  has a finite subcover; in particular,  $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$  must have a finite subcover, but this just says that  $A$  is totally bounded.

$\Rightarrow \exists a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  s.t.

$$A \subseteq B_\varepsilon(a_1) \cup \dots \cup B_\varepsilon(a_n)$$

$(0,1]$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  w/ standard metric is totally bounded but not compact.

## Compactness and Totally Bounded Sets

**Theorem 5** (Thm. 8.16). *Let  $A$  be a subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $A$  is compact if and only if it is complete and totally bounded.*

*Proof.* Here is a sketch of the proof; see de la Fuente for details.

$\Rightarrow$ : Compact implies totally bounded (Remark 4). Suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $A$ . Since  $A$  is compact,  $A$  is sequentially compact, hence  $\{x_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow a \in A$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy,  $x_n \rightarrow a$  (why?), so  $A$  is complete.

$\Leftarrow$ : Conversely, suppose  $A$  is complete and totally bounded. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $A$ . Because  $A$  is totally bounded, we can extract a Cauchy subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  (why?). Because  $A$  is complete,  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow a$  for some  $a \in A$ , which shows that  $A$  is sequentially compact and hence compact.  $\square$

# Compact $\iff$ Closed and Totally Bounded

Putting these together: *with lecture 5:*

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $A$  is compact if and only if  $A$  is closed and totally bounded.*

*$(X, d)$  complete,  $A \subseteq X$  then:*

$A$  compact  $\implies A$  complete and totally bounded

$\implies A$  closed and totally bounded

$A$  closed and totally bounded  $\implies A$  complete and totally bounded

$\implies A$  compact

**Example:**  $[0, 1]$  is compact in  $\mathbb{E}^1$ . ( $\mathbb{R}$  with standard metric)

$\mathbb{E}^1$  is complete,  $[0, 1]$  is closed and totally bounded, so  $[0, 1]$  is compact

**Note:** compact  $\Rightarrow$  closed and bounded, but converse need not be true.

E.g.  $[0, 1]$  with the discrete metric.

$[0, 1]$  w/ discrete metric is closed and bounded but not totally bounded, so not compact.

## Heine-Borel Theorem - $\mathbf{E}^1$

**Theorem 6** (Thm. 8.19, Heine-Borel). *If  $A \subseteq \mathbf{E}^1$ , then  $A$  is compact if and only if  $A$  is closed and bounded.*

$\Leftarrow$  *Proof.* Let  $A$  be a closed, bounded subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then  $A \subseteq [a, b]$  for some interval  $[a, b]$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of elements of  $[a, b]$ . By the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem,  $\{x_n\}$  contains a convergent subsequence with limit  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . Since  $[a, b]$  is closed,  $x \in [a, b]$ . Thus, we have shown that  $[a, b]$  is sequentially compact, hence compact.  $A$  is a closed subset of  $[a, b]$ , hence  $A$  is compact.

Conversely, if  $A$  is compact,  $A$  is closed and bounded. □

## Heine-Borel Theorem - $\mathbf{E}^n$

**Theorem 7** (Thm. 8.20, Heine-Borel). *If  $A \subseteq \mathbf{E}^n$ , then  $A$  is compact if and only if  $A$  is closed and bounded.*

*Proof.* See de la Fuente. □

**Example:** The closed interval

$$[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

is compact in  $\mathbf{E}^n$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

## Continuous Images of Compact Sets

**Theorem 8** (8.21). *Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, \rho)$  be metric spaces. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous and  $C$  is a compact subset of  $(X, d)$ , then  $f(C)$  is compact in  $(Y, \rho)$ .*

*Proof.* There is a proof in de la Fuente using sequential compactness. Here we give an alternative proof using directly the open cover definition of compactness.


Let  $\{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be an open cover of  $f(C)$ . For each point  $c \in C$ ,  $f(c) \in f(C)$  so  $f(c) \in U_{\lambda_c}$  for some  $\lambda_c \in \Lambda$ , that is,  $c \in f^{-1}(U_{\lambda_c})$ . Thus the collection  $\{f^{-1}(U_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a cover of  $C$ ; in addition, since  $f$  is continuous, each set  $f^{-1}(U_\lambda)$  is



open in  $C$ , so  $\{f^{-1}(U_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is an open cover of  $C$ . Since  $C$  is compact, there is a finite subcover

$$\{f^{-1}(U_{\lambda_1}), \dots, f^{-1}(U_{\lambda_n})\}$$

of  $C$ . Given  $x \in f(C)$ , there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $f(c) = x$ , and  $c \in f^{-1}(U_{\lambda_i})$  for some  $i$ , so  $x \in U_{\lambda_i}$ . Thus,  $\{U_{\lambda_1}, \dots, U_{\lambda_n}\}$  is a finite subcover of  $f(C)$ , so  $f(C)$  is compact.  $\square$



# Extreme Value Theorem

**Corollary 2** (Thm. 8.22, Extreme Value Theorem). *Let  $C$  be a compact set in a metric space  $(X, d)$ , and suppose  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous. Then  $f$  is bounded on  $C$  and attains its minimum and maximum on  $C$ .*

*Proof.*  $f(C) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is compact by Theorem 8.21, hence closed and bounded. Let  $M = \sup f(C)$ ;  $M < \infty$ . Then  $\forall m > 0$  there exists  $y_m \in f(C)$  such that

$$M - \frac{1}{m} \leq y_m \leq M \quad (\text{else } M \neq \sup f(C))$$

So  $y_m \rightarrow M$  and  $\{y_m\} \subseteq f(C)$ . Since  $f(C)$  is closed,  $M \in f(C)$ , i.e. there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $f(c) = M = \sup f(C)$ , so  $f$  attains its maximum at  $c$ . The proof for the minimum is similar.  $\square$

# Compactness and Uniform Continuity

**Theorem 9** (Thm. 8.24). *Let  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, \rho)$  be metric spaces,  $C$  a compact subset of  $X$ , and  $f : C \rightarrow Y$  continuous. Then  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $C$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We ignore  $X$  and consider  $f$  as defined on the metric space  $(C, d)$ . Given  $c \in C$ , find  $\delta(c) > 0$  such that

$$x \in C, d(x, c) < 2\delta(c) \Rightarrow \rho(f(x), f(c)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \leftarrow f \text{ is cont. at } c$$

Let

$$U_c = B_{\delta(c)}(c) \quad \text{open } \forall c \in C$$

Then

$$\{U_c : c \in C\}$$

is an open cover of  $C$ . Since  $C$  is compact, there is a finite subcover

$$\{U_{c_1}, \dots, U_{c_n}\}$$

Let

$$\delta = \min\{\delta(c_1), \dots, \delta(c_n)\} > 0$$

Given  $x, y \in C$  with  $d(x, y) < \delta$ , note that  $x \in U_{c_i}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , so  $d(x, c_i) < \delta(c_i)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d(y, c_i) &\leq d(y, x) + d(x, c_i) \\ &< \delta + \delta(c_i) \\ &\leq \delta(c_i) + \delta(c_i) \\ &= 2\delta(c_i) \end{aligned}$$

SO

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(f(x), f(y)) &\leq \rho(f(x), f(c_i)) + \rho(f(c_i), f(y)) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon\end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

