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1. Derivatives
2. Chain Rule
3. Mean Value Theorem
4. Taylor's Theorem

## Derivatives

Definition 1. Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, where $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ is an open interval. $f$ is differentiable at $x \in I$ if

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}=a
$$

for some $a \in \mathbf{R}$.

This is equivalent to $\exists a \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h)-(f(x)+a h)}{h}=0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \forall \varepsilon>0 \exists \delta>0 \text { s.t. } 0<|h|<\delta \Rightarrow\left|\frac{f(x+h)-(f(x)+a h)}{h}\right|<\varepsilon \\
\Leftrightarrow & \forall \varepsilon>0 \exists \delta>0 \text { s.t. } 0<|h|<\delta \Rightarrow \frac{|f(x+h)-(f(x)+a h)|}{|h|}<\varepsilon \\
\Leftrightarrow & \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(x+h)-(f(x)+a h)|}{|h|}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Derivatives

Definition 2. If $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is open, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}$ is differentiable at $x \in X$ if $\exists T_{x} \in L\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}, \mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0, h \in \mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|f(x+h)-\left(f(x)+T_{x}(h)\right)\right|}{|h|}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f$ is differentiable if it is differentiable at all $x \in X$.
Note that $T_{x}$ is uniquely determined by Equation (1).
The definition requires that one linear operator $T_{x}$ works no matter how $h$ approaches zero.

In this case, $f(x)+T_{x}(h)$ is the best linear approximation to $f(x+h)$ for sufficiently small $h$.

## Big-Oh and little-oh

## Notation:

- $y=O\left(|h|^{n}\right)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ - read " $y$ is big-Oh of $|h|^{n}$ " - means

$$
\exists K, \delta>0 \text { s.t. }|h|<\delta \Rightarrow|y| \leq K|h|^{n}
$$

- $y=o\left(|h|^{n}\right)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ - read " $y$ is little-oh of $|h|^{n}$ " - means

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|y|}{|h|^{n}}=0
$$

Note that $y=O\left(|h|^{n+1}\right)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ implies $y=o\left(|h|^{n}\right)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Using this notation: $f$ is differentiable at $x \Leftrightarrow \exists T_{x} \in L\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}, \mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$ such that

$$
f(x+h)=f(x)+T_{x}(h)+o(h) \text { as } h \rightarrow 0
$$

## More Notation

## Notation:

- $d f_{x}$ is the linear transformation $T_{x}$
- $D f(x)$ is the matrix of $d f_{x}$ with respect to the standard basis.

This is called the Jacobian or Jacobian matrix of $f$ at $x$

- $E_{f}(h)=f(x+h)-\left(f(x)+d f_{x}(h)\right)$ is the error term

Using this notation,

$$
f \text { is differentiable at } x \Leftrightarrow E_{f}(h)=o(h) \text { as } h \rightarrow 0
$$

## What's $D f(x)$ ?

Now compute $\operatorname{Df}(x)=\left(a_{i j}\right)$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Look in direction $e_{j}$ (note that $\left|\gamma e_{j}\right|=|\gamma|$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
o(\gamma) & =f\left(x+\gamma e_{j}\right)-\left(f(x)+T_{x}\left(\gamma e_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =f\left(x+\gamma e_{j}\right)-\left(f(x)+\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1 j} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} & \cdots & a_{m j} & \cdots & a_{m n}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
\gamma \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =f\left(x+\gamma e_{j}\right)-\left(f(x)+\left(\begin{array}{c}
\gamma a_{1 j} \\
\vdots \\
\gamma a_{m j}
\end{array}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $i=1, \ldots, m$, let $f^{i}$ denote the $i^{\text {th }}$ component of the function $f$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{i}\left(x+\gamma e_{j}\right)-\left(f^{i}(x)+\gamma a_{i j}\right) & =o(\gamma) \\
\text { so } a_{i j} & =\frac{\partial f^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Derivatives and Partial Derivatives

Theorem 1 (Thm. 3.3). Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is open and $f: X \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{R}^{m}$ is differentiable at $x \in X$. Then $\frac{\partial f^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}(x)$ exists for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and

$$
D f(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f^{1}}{\partial x_{1}}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f^{1}}{\partial x_{n}}(x) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial f^{m}}{\partial x_{1}}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f^{m}}{\partial x_{n}}(x)
\end{array}\right)
$$

i.e. the Jacobian at $x$ is the matrix of partial derivatives at $x$.

## Derivatives and Partial Derivatives

Remark: If $f$ is differentiable at $x$, then all first-order partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}$ exist at $x$. However, the converse is false: existence of all the first-order partial derivatives does not imply that $f$ is differentiable.

The missing piece is continuity of the partial derivatives:
Theorem 2 (Thm. 3.4). If all the first-order partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f^{i}}{\partial x_{j}}(1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n)$ exist and are continuous at $x$, then $f$ is differentiable at $x$.

## Directional Derivatives

Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ open, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}$ is differentiable at $x$, and $|u|=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x+\gamma u)-\left(f(x)+T_{x}(\gamma u)\right)=o(\gamma) \text { as } \gamma \rightarrow 0 \\
& \Rightarrow f(x+\gamma u)-\left(f(x)+\gamma T_{x}(u)\right)=o(\gamma) \text { as } \gamma \rightarrow 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+\gamma u)-f(x)}{\gamma}=T_{x}(u)=D f(x) u
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the directional derivative in the direction $u$ (with $|u|=1$ ) is

$$
D f(x) u \in \mathbf{R}^{m}
$$

## Chain Rule

Theorem 3 (Thm. 3.5, Chain Rule). Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}, Y \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{m}$ be open, $f: X \rightarrow Y, g: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{p}$. Let $x_{0} \in X$ and $F=g \circ f$. If $f$ is differentiable at $x_{0}$ and $g$ is differentiable at $f\left(x_{0}\right)$, then $F=g \circ f$ is differentiable at $x_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d F_{x_{0}}= & d g_{f\left(x_{0}\right)} \circ d f_{x_{0}} \\
& (\text { composition of linear transformations }) \\
D F\left(x_{0}\right)= & \operatorname{Dg(f(x_{0}))Df(x_{0})} \\
& \text { (matrix multiplication) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: The statement is exactly the same as in the univariate case, except we replace the univariate derivative by a linear transformation. The proof is more or less the same, with a bit of linear algebra added.

## Mean Value Theorem

Theorem 4 (Thm. 1.7, Mean Value Theorem, Univariate Case). Let $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$. Suppose $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on $(a, b)$. Then there exists $c \in(a, b)$ such that

$$
\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}=f^{\prime}(c)
$$

that is, such that

$$
f(b)-f(a)=f^{\prime}(c)(b-a)
$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$
g(x)=f(x)-f(a)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}(x-a)
$$

Then $g(a)=0=g(b)$. Note that for $x \in(a, b)$,

$$
g^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime}(x)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}
$$

so it suffices to find $c \in(a, b)$ such that $g^{\prime}(c)=0$.
Case I: If $g(x)=0$ for all $x \in[a, b]$, choose an arbitrary $c \in(a, b)$, and note that $g^{\prime}(c)=0$, so we are done.

Case II: Suppose $g(x)>0$ for some $x \in[a, b]$. Since $g$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, it attains its maximum at some point $c \in(a, b)$. Since $g$ is differentiable at $c$ and $c$ is an interior point of the domain of $g$, we have $g^{\prime}(c)=0$, and we are done.

Case III: If $g(x)<0$ for some $x \in[a, b]$, the argument is similar to that in Case II.


## Mean Value Theorem

Notation:

$$
\ell(x, y)=\{\alpha x+(1-\alpha) y: \alpha \in[0,1]\}
$$

is the line segment from $x$ to $y$.

Theorem 5 (Mean Value Theorem). Suppose $f: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is differentiable on an open set $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}, x, y \in X$ and $\ell(x, y) \subseteq X$.
Then there exists $z \in \ell(x, y)$ such that

$$
f(y)-f(x)=D f(z)(y-x)
$$

Notice that the statement is exactly the same as in the univariate case. For $f: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}$, we can apply the Mean Value Theorem to each component, to obtain $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m} \in \ell(x, y)$ such that

$$
f^{i}(y)-f^{i}(x)=D f^{i}\left(z_{i}\right)(y-x)
$$

However, we cannot find a single $z$ which works for every component.

Note that each $z_{i} \in \ell(x, y) \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$; there are $m$ of them, one for each component in the range.

## Mean Value Theorem

Theorem 6. Suppose $X \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is open and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}$ is differentiable. If $x, y \in X$ and $\ell(x, y) \subseteq X$, then there exists $z \in \ell(x, y)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(y)-f(x)| & \leq\left|d f_{z}(y-x)\right| \\
& \leq\left\|d f_{z}\right\||y-x|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Mean Value Theorem

Remark: To understand why we don't get equality, consider $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ defined by

$$
f(t)=(\cos 2 \pi t, \sin 2 \pi t)
$$

$f$ maps $[0,1]$ to the unit circle in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Note that $f(0)=f(1)=$ $(1,0)$, so $|f(1)-f(0)|=0$. However, for any $z \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|d f_{z}(1-0)\right| & =|2 \pi(-\sin 2 \pi z, \cos 2 \pi z)| \\
& =2 \pi \sqrt{\sin ^{2} 2 \pi z+\cos ^{2} 2 \pi z} \\
& =2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

## Taylor's Theorem - R

Theorem 7 (Thm. 1.9, Taylor's Theorem in R). Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be $n$-times differentiable, where $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ is an open interval. If $x, x+h \in I$, then

$$
f(x+h)=f(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(x) h^{k}}{k!}+E_{n}
$$

where $f^{(k)}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ derivative of $f$ and

$$
E_{n}=\frac{f^{(n)}(x+\lambda h) h^{n}}{n!} \text { for some } \lambda \in(0,1)
$$

Motivation: Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}(h) & =f(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{f^{(k)}(x) h^{k}}{k!} \\
& =f(x)+f^{\prime}(x) h+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(x) h^{2}}{2}+\cdots+\frac{f^{(n)}(x) h^{n}}{n!} \\
T_{n}(0) & =f(x) \\
T_{n}^{\prime}(h) & =f^{\prime}(x)+f^{\prime \prime}(x) h+\cdots+\frac{f^{(n)}(x) h^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\
T_{n}^{\prime}(0) & =f^{\prime}(x) \\
T_{n}^{\prime \prime}(h) & =f^{\prime \prime}(x)+\cdots+\frac{f^{(n)}(x) h^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\
T_{n}^{\prime \prime}(0) & =f^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
& : \\
T_{n}^{(n)}(0) & =f^{(n)}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

so $T_{n}(h)$ is the unique $n^{\text {th }}$ degree polynomial such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}(0) & =f(x) \\
T_{n}^{\prime}(0) & =f^{\prime}(x) \\
& \vdots \\
T_{n}^{(n)}(0) & =f^{(n)}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Taylor's Theorem - R

Theorem 8 (Alternate Taylor's Theorem in $\mathbf{R}$ ). Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be $n$ times differentiable, where $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ is an open interval and $x \in I$. Then

$$
f(x+h)=f(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{f^{(k)}(x) h^{k}}{k!}+o\left(h^{n}\right) \text { as } h \rightarrow 0
$$

If $f$ is $(n+1)$ times continuously differentiable, then

$$
f(x+h)=f(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{f^{(k)}(x) h^{k}}{k!}+O\left(h^{n+1}\right) \text { as } h \rightarrow 0
$$

Remark: The first equation in the statement of the theorem is essentially a restatement of the definition of the $n^{\text {th }}$ derivative. The second statement is proven from Theorem 1.9, and the continuity of the derivative.

## $C^{k}$ Functions

Definition 3. Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be open. A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}$ is continuously differentiable on $X$ if

- $f$ is differentiable on $X$ and
- $d f_{x}$ is a continuous function of $x$ from $X$ to $L\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}, \mathbf{R}^{m}\right)$, with respect to the operator norm $\left\|d f_{x}\right\|$
$f$ is $C^{k}$ if all partial derivatives of order $\leq k$ exist and are continuous in $X$.


## $C^{k}$ Functions

Theorem 9 (Thm. 4.3). Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is open and $f: X \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{R}^{m}$. Then $f$ is continuously differentiable on $X$ if and only if $f$ is $C^{1}$.

## Taylor's Theorem - Linear Terms

Theorem 10. Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is open and $x \in X$. If $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}$ is differentiable, then

$$
f(x+h)=f(x)+D f(x) h+o(h) \text { as } h \rightarrow 0
$$

This is essentially a restatement of the definition of differentiability.

## Taylor's Theorem - Linear Terms

Theorem 11 (Corollary of 4.4). Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is open and $x \in X$. If $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m}$ is $C^{2}$, then

$$
f(x+h)=f(x)+D f(x) h+O\left(|h|^{2}\right) \text { as } h \rightarrow 0
$$

## Taylor's Theorem - Quadratic Terms

We treat each component of the function separately, so consider $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ an open set. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{2} f(x) & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(x) & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{1}}(x) \\
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}(x) & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{2}}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{n}}(x) & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}(x)
\end{array}\right) \\
f \in C^{2} & \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(x)=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}(x) \\
& \Rightarrow D^{2} f(x) \text { is symmetric } \\
& \Rightarrow D^{2} f(x) \text { has eigenvectors that are an orthonormal basis } \\
& \text { and thus can be diagonalized }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Taylor's Theorem - Quadratic Terms

Theorem 12 (Stronger Version of Thm. 4.4). Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be open, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, f \in C^{2}(X)$, and $x \in X$. Then $f(x+h)=f(x)+D f(x) h+\frac{1}{2} h^{\top}\left(D^{2} f(x)\right) h+o\left(|h|^{2}\right)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ If $f \in C^{3}$,
$f(x+h)=f(x)+D f(x) h+\frac{1}{2} h^{\top}\left(D^{2} f(x)\right) h+O\left(|h|^{3}\right)$ as $h \rightarrow 0$

## Characterizing Critical Points

Definition 4. We say $f$ has a saddle at $x$ if $D f(x)=0$ but $f$ has neither a local maximum nor a local minimum at $x$.

## Characterizing Critical Points

Corollary 1. Suppose $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is open and $x \in X$. If $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is $C^{2}$, there is an orthonormal basis $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \mathbf{R}$ of $D^{2} f(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x+h) & =f\left(x+\gamma_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{n} v_{n}\right) \\
& =f(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(D f(x) v_{i}\right) \gamma_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \gamma_{i}^{2}+o\left(|\gamma|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_{i}=h \cdot v_{i}$.

1. If $f \in C^{3}$, we may strengthen $o\left(|\gamma|^{2}\right)$ to $O\left(|\gamma|^{3}\right)$.
2. If $f$ has a local maximum or local minimum at $x$, then

$$
D f(x)=0
$$

3. If $\operatorname{Df}(x)=0$, then

- $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}>0 \Rightarrow f$ has a local minimum at $x$
- $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}<0 \Rightarrow f$ has a local maximum at $x$
- $\lambda_{i}<0$ for some $i, \lambda_{j}>0$ for some $j \Rightarrow f$ has a saddle at $x$
- $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \geq 0, \lambda_{i}>0$ for some $i \Rightarrow f$ has a local minimum or a saddle at $x$
- $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \leq 0, \lambda_{i}<0$ for some $i \Rightarrow f$ has a local maximum or a saddle at $x$
- $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{n}=0$ gives no information.

Proof. (Sketch) From our study of quadratic forms, we know the behavior of the quadratic terms is determined by the signs of the eigenvalues. If $\lambda_{i}=0$ for some $i$, then we know that the quadratic form arising from the second partial derivatives is identically zero in the direction $v_{i}$, and the higher derivatives will determine the behavior of the function $f$ in the direction $v_{i}$. For example, if $f(x)=x^{3}$, then $f^{\prime}(0)=0, f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$, but we know that $f$ has a saddle at $x=0$; however, if $f(x)=x^{4}$, then again $f^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$ but $f$ has a local (and global) minimum at $x=0$.

