Announcements

· PS 2 due tomorrow

Tues 8/3 1 pm

Econ 204 2021

Lecture 6

Outline

- 1. Open Covers
- 2. Compactness
- 3. Sequential Compactness
- 4. Totally Bounded Sets
- 5. Heine-Borel Theorem
- 6. Extreme Value Theorem

#### Open Covers

**Definition 1.** A collection of sets

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

in a metric space (X,d) is an open cover of A if  $U_{\lambda}$  is open for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and

$$\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda} \supseteq A$$

Notice that  $\Lambda$  may be finite, countably infinite, or uncountable.

#### Compactness

**Definition 2.** A set A in a metric space is compact if every open cover of A contains a finite subcover of A. In other words, if  $\{U_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is an open cover of A, there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda$  such that

$$A \subseteq U_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\lambda_n}$$

This definition does **not** say "A has a finite open cover" (fortunately, since this is vacuous...).

Instead for **any** arbitrary open cover you must specify a finite subcover of this **given** open cover.

#### Compactness

**Example:** (0,1] is not compact in  $\mathbf{E}^1$ . (  $\mathbb{R}$  with standard metric)


To see this, let

$$U = \left\{ U_m = \left( \frac{1}{m}, 2 \right) : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$
 un open  $\forall m$ 

Then

$$\cup_{m\in\mathbf{N}}U_m=(0,2)\supset(0,1]$$

### B= [1,2]?



Given any finite subset  $\{U_{m_1}, \ldots, U_{m_n}\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ , let

$$m = \max\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$$
 >  $\triangleright$ 

Then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{m_i} = U_m = \left(\frac{1}{m}, 2\right) \not\supseteq (0, 1]$$

So (0,1] is not compact.

What about [0,1]? This argument doesn't work...

#### Compactness

**Example:**  $[0,\infty)$  is closed but not compact. (in  $\mathbb{R}$  with standard metric)

To see that  $[0,\infty)$  is not compact, let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_m = (-1, m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \qquad (-1, m) = (-1, \infty)$$

Given any finite subset


of  $\mathcal{U}$ , let

$$b \leq m = \max\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\} \leq \infty$$

 $\{U_{m_1},\ldots,U_{m_n}\}$ 

Then

$$U_{m_1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{m_n} = (-1, m) \not\supseteq [0, \infty)$$



#### Compactness


**Theorem 1** (Thm. 8.14). Every closed subset A of a compact metric space (X,d) is compact.


*Proof.* Let  $\{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be an open cover of A. In order to use the compactness of X, we need to produce an open cover of X. There are two ways to do this:

$$U_\lambda' = U_\lambda \cup (X \setminus A)$$
 open since A closed  $\Lambda' = \Lambda \cup \{\lambda_0\}, \ U_{\lambda_0} = X \setminus A$ 

We choose the first path, and let

$$U'_{\lambda} = U_{\lambda} \cup (X \setminus A)$$
  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ 





Since A is closed,  $X \setminus A$  is open; since  $U_{\lambda}$  is open, so is  $U'_{\lambda}$ .

Then  $x \in X \Rightarrow x \in A$  or  $x \in X \setminus A$ . If  $x \in A$ ,  $\exists \lambda \in \Lambda$  s.t.  $x \in U_{\lambda} \subseteq U_{\lambda}'$ . If instead  $x \in X \setminus A$ , then  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $x \in U_{\lambda}'$ . Therefore,  $X \subseteq \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}'$ , so  $\{U_{\lambda}' : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is an open cover of X.

Since X is compact,

$$\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Lambda \text{ s.t. } X \subseteq U'_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup U'_{\lambda_n}$$

Then

$$a \in A \implies a \in X$$
  
 $\Rightarrow a \in U'_{\lambda_i} \text{ for some } i$   
 $\Rightarrow a \in U_{\lambda_i} \cup (X \setminus A)$   
 $\Rightarrow a \in U_{\lambda_i}$ 

SO

$$A \subseteq U_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\lambda_n}$$

Thus A is compact.


#### Compactness

closed \$\rightarrow\$ compact, but the converse is true: in any metric space

**Theorem 2** (Thm. 8.15). If A is a compact subset of the metric space (X,d), then A is closed.

*Proof.* Suppose by way of contradiction that A is not closed. Then  $X \setminus A$  is not open, so we can find a point  $x \in X \setminus A$  such that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $A \cap B_{\varepsilon}(x) \neq \emptyset$ , and hence  $A \cap B_{\varepsilon}[x] \neq \emptyset$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

#### Yn An Billy] + Ø



Each  $U_n$  is open, and

$$\cup_{n\in\mathbf{N}}U_n=X\setminus\{x\}\supseteq A$$

since  $x \notin A$ . Therefore,  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an open cover for A. Since A is compact, there is a finite subcover  $\{U_{n_1}, \ldots, U_{n_k}\}$ . Let  $n = \max\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ . Then

$$U_{n} = X \setminus B_{\frac{1}{n}}[x]$$

$$\supseteq X \setminus B_{\frac{1}{n_{j}}}[x] \ (j = 1, \dots, k)$$

$$\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{=} U_{n} \supseteq \cup_{j=1}^{k} U_{n_{j}}$$

$$\supseteq A$$

But  $A \cap B_{\frac{1}{n}}[x] \neq \emptyset$ , so  $A \nsubseteq X \setminus B_{\frac{1}{n}}[x] = U_n$ , a contradiction which proves that A is closed.

#### Sequential Compactness

**Definition 3.** A set A in a metric space (X,d) is sequentially compact if every sequence of elements of A contains a convergent subsequence whose limit lies in A.

#### Sequential Compactness

**Theorem 3** (Thms. 8.5, 8.11). A set A in a metric space (X, d) is compact if and only if it is sequentially compact.

 $\Longrightarrow$  Proof. Suppose A is compact. We will show that A is sequentially compact.

If not, we can find a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of elements of A such that no subsequence converges to **any** element of A. Recall that a is a cluster point of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  means that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \{n : x_n \in B_{\varepsilon}(a)\} \text{ is infinite }$$

and this is equivalent to the statement that there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converging to a. Thus, **no** element  $a \in A$  can be a cluster point for  $\{x_n\}$ , and hence

$$\forall a \in A \ \exists \varepsilon_a > 0 \text{ s.t. } \{n : x_n \in B_{\varepsilon_a}(a)\} \text{ is finite}$$
 (1)

Then

$$\{B_{\varepsilon_a}(a): a\in A\}$$

is an open cover of A (if A is uncountable, it will be an uncountable open cover). Since A is compact, there is a finite subcover

$$\left\{B_{\varepsilon_{a_1}}(a_1),\ldots,B_{\varepsilon_{a_m}}(a_m)\right\} \quad A \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_{a_1}}(a_1) \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon_{a_m}}(a_m)$$

Then

$$\mathbf{N} = \{n : x_n \in A\} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{E} \quad \text{in$$

so N is contained in a finite union of sets, each of which is finite by Equation (1). Thus, N must be finite, a contradiction which proves that A is sequentially compact.

For the converse, see de la Fuente.	

**Definition 4.** A set A in a metric space (X,d) is totally bounded if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in A \text{ s.t. } A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\varepsilon}(x_i)$$

c R

**Example:** Take A=[0,1] with the Euclidean metric. Given  $\varepsilon>0$ , let  $n>\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ . Then we may take

$$\Rightarrow \quad \varepsilon > \frac{\varepsilon}{n}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{n}, x_2 = \frac{2}{n}, \dots, x_{n-1} = \frac{n-1}{n}$$

Then  $[0,1] \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} B_{\varepsilon}(\frac{k}{n}).$ 

$$A = [0, 1)$$

$$A = [0, 1)$$

$$A = [0, 1]$$

$$A =$$

**Example:** Consider X = [0, 1] with the discrete metric

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

X is not totally bounded. To see this, take  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then for any x,  $B_{\varepsilon}(x) = \{x\}$ , so given any finite set  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ ,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\varepsilon}(x_i) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \not\supseteq [0, 1]$$

However, X is bounded because  $X = B_2(0)$ .

bounded => totally bounded

Note that any totally bounded set in a metric space (X,d) is also bounded. To see this, let  $A \subset X$  be totally bounded. Then  $\exists x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A$  such that  $A \subset B_1(x_1) \cup \cdots \cup B_1(x_n)$ . Let


$$M = 1 + d(x_1, x_2) + \dots + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Then  $M < \infty$ . Now fix  $a \in A$ . We claim  $d(a, x_1) < M$ . To see this, notice that there is some  $n_a \in \{1, ..., n\}$  for which  $a \in B_1(x_{n_a})$ . Then

$$d(a, x_1) \leq d(a, x_{n_a}) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d(x_k, x_{k+1})$$

$$< 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} d(x_k, x_{k+1})$$

$$= M$$



**Remark 4.** Every compact subset of a metric space is totally bounded:

Fix  $\varepsilon$  and consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon} = \{B_{\varepsilon}(a) : a \in A\}$$

If A is compact, then every open cover of A has a finite subcover; in particular,  $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$  must have a finite subcover, but this just says that A is totally bounded.

$$\Rightarrow$$
  $\exists$   $a_{1,1}, a_{n} \in A$  s.t.  
 $A \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}(a_{1}) \cup \cdots \cup B_{\varepsilon}(a_{n})$   
converse false: e.g.  $(a_{1}) \to a_{1}$  totally bounded  
but not compact 18

#### Compactness and Totally Bounded Sets

**Theorem 5** (Thm. 8.16). Let A be a subset of a metric space (X,d). Then A is compact if and only if it is complete and totally bounded.

- Proof. Here is a sketch of the proof; see de la Fuente for details. Compact implies totally bounded (Remark 4). Suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in A. Since A is compact, A is sequentially compact, hence  $\{x_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence  $x_{n_k} \to a \in A$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy,  $x_n \to a$  (why?), so A is complete.
- Conversely, suppose A is complete and totally bounded. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in A. Because A is totally bounded, we can extract a Cauchy subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  (why?). Because A is complete,  $x_{n_k} \to a$  for some  $a \in A$ , which shows that A is sequentially compact and hence compact.

#### Compact ← Closed and Totally Bounded

Putting these together: with results from lecture 5;

**Corollary 1.** Let A be a subset of a complete metric space (X,d). Then A is compact if and only if A is closed and totally bounded.

(x, d) complete, A = X, then

 $A ext{ compact } \Rightarrow A ext{ complete and totally bounded}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  A closed and totally bounded

A closed and totally bounded  $\Rightarrow$  A complete and totally bounded

 $\Rightarrow A \text{ compact}$ 

Example: [0,1] is compact in  $E^1$ . ( R with standard netric) E' complete, [0,1] is closed and totally bounded  $\Rightarrow [0,1]$  compact

**Note:** compact  $\Rightarrow$  closed and bounded, but converse need not be true.

E.g. [0,1] with the discrete metric.

[0,1] with discrete netric is closed and bounded but not totally bounded, so not compact

# Heine-Borel Theorem - $\mathbf{E}^1$

**Theorem 6** (Thm. 8.19, Heine-Borel). If  $A \subseteq E^1$ , then A is compact if and only if A is closed and bounded.


 $\leftarrow$  Proof. Let A be a closed, bounded subset of **R**. Then  $A \subseteq [a,b]$ for some interval [a,b]. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of elements of [a,b]. By the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem,  $\{x_n\}$  contains a convergent subsequence with limit  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . Since [a, b] is closed,  $x \in [a,b]$ . Thus, we have shown that [a,b] is sequentially compact, hence compact. A is a closed subset of [a,b], hence A is compact.

 $\Longrightarrow$ : Conversely, if A is compact, A is closed and bounded.

#### Heine-Borel Theorem - $\mathbf{E}^n$

**Theorem 7** (Thm. 8.20, Heine-Borel). If  $A \subseteq \mathbf{E}^n$ , then A is compact if and only if A is closed and bounded.

Proof. See de la Fuente.



**Example:** The closed interval

$$[a,b]=\{x\in\mathbf{R}^n:a_i\leq x_i\leq b_i\text{ for each }i=1,\ldots,n\}$$
 is compact in  $\mathbf{E}^n$  for any  $a,b\in\mathbf{R}^n.$ 

#### Continuous Images of Compact Sets

**Theorem 8** (8.21). Let (X,d) and  $(Y,\rho)$  be metric spaces. If  $f: X \to Y$  is continuous and C is a compact subset of (X,d), then f(C) is compact in  $(Y,\rho)$ .


*Proof.* There is a proof in de la Fuente using sequential compactness. Here we give an alternative proof using directly the open cover definition of compactness.

Let  $\{U_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be an open cover of f(C). For each point  $c \in C$ ,  $f(c) \in f(C)$  so  $f(c) \in U_{\lambda_c}$  for some  $\lambda_c \in \Lambda$ , that is,  $c \in f^{-1}\left(U_{\lambda_c}\right)$ . Thus the collection  $\left\{f^{-1}\left(U_{\lambda}\right): \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$  is a cover of C; in addition, since f is continuous, each set  $f^{-1}\left(U_{\lambda}\right)$  is

open in C, so  $\{f^{-1}(U_{\lambda}): \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is an open cover of C. Since C is compact, there is a finite subcover

$$\left\{ f^{-1}\left(U_{\lambda_{1}}\right),\ldots,f^{-1}\left(U_{\lambda_{n}}\right)\right\}$$

of C. Given  $x \in f(C)$ , there exists  $c \in C$  such that f(c) = x, and  $c \in f^{-1}\left(U_{\lambda_i}\right)$  for some i, so  $x \in U_{\lambda_i}$ . Thus,  $\{U_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, U_{\lambda_n}\}$  is a finite subcover of f(C), so f(C) is compact.



#### Extreme Value Theorem

**Corollary 2** (Thm. 8.22, Extreme Value Theorem). Let C be a compact set in a metric space (X,d), and suppose  $f: C \to \mathbf{R}$  is continuous. Then f is bounded on C and attains its minimum and maximum on C.

5 R

*Proof.* f(C) is compact by Theorem 8.21, hence closed and bounded. Let  $M = \sup f(C)$ ;  $M < \infty$ . Then  $\forall m > 0$  there exists  $y_m \in f(C)$  such that

$$M - \frac{1}{m} \le y_m \le M$$

So  $y_m \to M$  and  $\{y_m\} \subseteq f(C)$ . Since f(C) is closed,  $M \in f(C)$ , i.e. there exists  $c \in C$  such that  $f(c) = M = \sup f(C)$ , so f attains its maximum at c. The proof for the minimum is similar.

#### Compactness and Uniform Continuity

**Theorem 9** (Thm. 8.24). Let (X,d) and  $(Y,\rho)$  be metric spaces, C a compact subset of X, and  $f:C \to Y$  continuous. Then f is uniformly continuous on C.

*Proof.* Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We ignore X and consider f as defined on the metric space (C,d). Given  $c \in C$ , find  $\delta(c) > 0$  such that

$$x \in C, \ d(x,c) < 2\delta(c) \Rightarrow \rho(f(x),f(c)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Let

$$U_c = B_{\delta(c)}(c)$$

Then

$$\{U_c:c\in C\}$$

is an open cover of C. Since C is compact, there is a finite subcover

$$\{U_{c_1},\ldots,U_{c_n}\}$$
  $C \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{c_i} \bigcup_{c_i}$ 

Let

$$\delta = \min\{\delta(c_1), \ldots, \delta(c_n)\} > \bigcirc$$

Given  $\underline{x,y \in C}$  with  $d(x,y) < \delta$ , note that  $x \in U_{c_i}$  for some  $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ , so  $d(x,c_i) < \delta(c_i)$ .

$$d(y, c_i) \leq d(y, x) + d(x, c_i)$$

$$< \delta + \delta(c_i)$$

$$\leq \delta(c_i) + \delta(c_i)$$

$$= 2\delta(c_i)$$

SO

$$\underbrace{\rho(f(x), f(y))}_{\rho(f(x), f(y))} \leq \underbrace{\rho(f(x), f(c_i)) + \rho(f(c_i), f(y))}_{\varepsilon} \\
\leq \underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}_{\varepsilon} \\
= \varepsilon$$

which proves that f is uniformly continuous.

## - ul A v mt B c int (A v B)

x e int (AUB): or x6 int B done (int A=\$= intB) x e int A X & unt (AUB) => 7 550 st. BECX) = int (AUB) C AUB BECXINA & Ø and Brux NB = 4 x e dA = > x eA (A dored)

4 26 út (AJB), ZEA X6 int (AsB) open  $3 270 B_{\epsilon}(x) \subseteq \text{int}(A \cup B)$ => Bz(x) EA

£0,1]