Econ 204 2021

Lecture 8

Outline

- 1. Bases
- 2. Linear Transformations
- 3. Isomorphisms

Linear Combinations and Spans

Definition 1. Let X be a vector space over a field F. A linear combination of $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ is a vector of the form

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i$$
 where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in F$

 α_i is the coefficient of x_i in the linear combination.

If $V \subseteq X$, the span of V, denoted span V, is the set of all linear combinations of elements of V.

A set $V \subseteq X$ spans X if span V = X.

Linear Dependence and Independence

Definition 2. A set $V \subseteq X$ is linearly dependent if there exist $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in V$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in F$ not all zero such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i v_i = 0$$

A set $V \subseteq X$ is linearly independent if it is not linearly dependent.

Thus $V \subseteq X$ is linearly independent if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i v_i = 0, \quad v_i \in V \ \forall i \Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0 \ \forall i$$

Definition 3. A Hamel basis (often just called a basis) of a vector space X is a linearly independent set of vectors in X that spans X.

Example: $\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$ is a basis for \mathbf{R}^2 (this is the standard basis).

Example, cont: $\{(1,1),(-1,1)\}$ is another basis for \mathbb{R}^2 :

Suppose
$$(x,y) = \alpha(1,1) + \beta(-1,1)$$
 for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$x = \alpha - \beta$$

$$y = \alpha + \beta$$

$$x + y = 2\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{x + y}{2}$$

$$y - x = 2\beta$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = \frac{y - x}{2}$$

$$(x,y) = \frac{x + y}{2}(1,1) + \frac{y - x}{2}(-1,1)$$

Since (x, y) is an arbitrary element of \mathbf{R}^2 , $\{(1, 1), (-1, 1)\}$ spans \mathbf{R}^2 . If (x, y) = (0, 0),

$$\alpha = \frac{0+0}{2} = 0, \quad \beta = \frac{0-0}{2} = 0$$

so the coefficients are all zero, so $\{(1,1),(-1,1)\}$ is linearly independent. Since it is linearly independent and spans ${\bf R}^2$, it is a basis.

Example: $\{(1,0,0),(0,1,0)\}$ is not a basis of \mathbf{R}^3 , because it does not span \mathbf{R}^3 .

Example: $\{(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)\}$ is not a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .

$$1(1,0) + 1(0,1) + (-1)(1,1) = (0,0)$$

so the set is not linearly independent.

Theorem 1 (Thm. 1.2'). Let V be a Hamel basis for X. Then every vector $x \in X$ has a unique representation as a linear combination of a finite number of elements of V (with all coefficients nonzero).*

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Since V spans X, we can write

$$x = \sum_{s \in S_1} \alpha_s v_s$$

where S_1 is finite, $\alpha_s \in F$, $\alpha_s \neq 0$, and $v_s \in V$ for each $s \in S_1$. Now, suppose

$$x = \sum_{s \in S_1} \alpha_s v_s = \sum_{s \in S_2} \beta_s v_s$$

^{*}The unique representation of 0 is $0 = \sum_{i \in \emptyset} \alpha_i b_i$.

where S_2 is finite, $\beta_s \in F$, $\beta_s \neq 0$, and $v_s \in V$ for each $s \in S_2$. Let $S = S_1 \cup S_2$, and define

$$\alpha_s = 0$$
 for $s \in S_2 \setminus S_1$
 $\beta_s = 0$ for $s \in S_1 \setminus S_2$

Then

$$0 = x - x$$

$$= \sum_{s \in S_1} \alpha_s v_s - \sum_{s \in S_2} \beta_s v_s$$

$$= \sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s v_s - \sum_{s \in S} \beta_s v_s$$

$$= \sum_{s \in S} (\alpha_s - \beta_s) v_s$$

Since V is linearly independent, we must have $\alpha_s - \beta_s = 0$, so $\alpha_s = \beta_s$, for all $s \in S$.

$$s \in S_1 \Leftrightarrow \alpha_s \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \beta_s \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow s \in S_2$$

so $S_1=S_2$ and $\alpha_s=\beta_s$ for $s\in S_1=S_2$, so the representation is unique. \Box

Theorem 2. Every vector space has a Hamel basis.

Proof. The proof uses the Axiom of Choice. Indeed, the theorem is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice. \Box

A closely related result, from which you can derive the previous result, shows that any linearly independent set V in a vector space X can be extended to a basis of X.

Theorem 3. If X is a vector space and $V \subseteq X$ is linearly independent, then there exists a linearly independent set $W \subseteq X$ such that

$$V \subseteq W \subseteq \operatorname{span} W = X$$

Theorem 4. Any two Hamel bases of a vector space X have the same cardinality (are numerically equivalent).

Proof. The proof depends on the so-called Exchange Lemma, whose idea we sketch. Suppose that $V = \{v_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $W = \{w_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ are Hamel bases of X. Remove one vector v_{λ_0} from V, so that it no longer spans (if it did still span, then v_{λ_0} would be a linear combination of other elements of V, and V would not be linearly independent). If $w_{\gamma} \in \operatorname{span}(V \setminus \{v_{\lambda_0}\})$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then since W spans, $V \setminus \{v_{\lambda_0}\}$ would also span, contradiction. Thus, we can choose $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ such that

$$w_{\gamma_0} \not\in \operatorname{span}\left(V \setminus \{v_{\lambda_0}\}\right)$$

Because $w_{\gamma_0} \in \operatorname{span} V$, we can write

$$w_{\gamma_0} = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i v_{\lambda_i}$$

where α_0 , the coefficient of v_{λ_0} , is not zero (if it were, then we would have $w_{\gamma_0} \in \text{span}\left(V \setminus \{v_{\lambda_0}\}\right)$). Since $\alpha_0 \neq 0$, we can solve for v_{λ_0} as a linear combination of w_{γ_0} and $v_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, v_{\lambda_n}$, so

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{span} \left(\left(V \setminus \{v_{\lambda_0}\} \right) \cup \{w_{\gamma_0}\} \right) \\ \supseteq \operatorname{span} V \\ = X \end{array}$$

SO

$$\left(\left(V\setminus\{v_{\lambda_0}\}\right)\cup\{w_{\gamma_0}\}\right)$$

spans X. From the fact that $w_{\gamma_0} \not\in \operatorname{span}\left(V\setminus\{v_{\lambda_0}\}\right)$ one can

show that

$$\left(\left(V\setminus\{v_{\lambda_0}\}\right)\cup\{w_{\gamma_0}\}\right)$$

is linearly independent, so it is a basis of X. Repeat this process to exchange every element of V with an element of W (when V is uncountable, this is done by a process called transfinite induction). At the end, we obtain a bijection from V to W, so that V and W are numerically equivalent. \square

Dimension

Definition 4. The dimension of a vector space X, denoted dim X, is the cardinality of any basis of X.

For $V \subseteq X$, |V| denotes the cardinality of the set V.

Dimension

Example: The set of all $m \times n$ real-valued matrices is a vector space over \mathbf{R} . A basis is given by

$${E_{ij}: 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n}$$

where

$$(E_{ij})_{k\ell} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = i \text{ and } \ell = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The dimension of the vector space of $m \times n$ matrices is mn.

Dimension and Dependence

Theorem 5 (Thm. 1.4). Suppose dim $X = n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $V \subseteq X$ and |V| > n, then V is linearly dependent.

Dimension and Dependence

Theorem 6 (Thm. 1.5'). Suppose dim $X = n \in \mathbb{N}$, $V \subseteq X$, and |V| = n.

• If V is linearly independent, then V spans X, so V is a Hamel basis.

• If V spans X, then V is linearly independent, so V is a Hamel basis.

Linear Transformations

Definition 5. Let X and Y be two vector spaces over the field F. We say $T: X \to Y$ is a linear transformation if

$$T(\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) = \alpha_1 T(x_1) + \alpha_2 T(x_2) \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in X, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in F$$

Let L(X,Y) denote the set of all linear transformations from X to Y.

Linear Transformations

Theorem 7. L(X,Y) is a vector space over F.

Proof. First, define linear combinations in L(X,Y) as follows. For $T_1, T_2 \in L(X,Y)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in F$, define $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$ by

$$(\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2)(x) = \alpha T_1(x) + \beta T_2(x)$$

We need to show that $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2 \in L(X, Y)$.

$$(\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2)(\gamma x_1 + \delta x_2)$$

$$= \alpha T_1(\gamma x_1 + \delta x_2) + \beta T_2(\gamma x_1 + \delta x_2)$$

$$= \alpha (\gamma T_1(x_1) + \delta T_1(x_2)) + \beta (\gamma T_2(x_1) + \delta T_2(x_2))$$

$$= \gamma (\alpha T_1(x_1) + \beta T_2(x_1)) + \delta (\alpha T_1(x_2) + \beta T_2(x_2))$$

$$= \gamma (\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2)(x_1) + \delta (\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2)(x_2)$$

so $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2 \in L(X,Y)$.

The rest of the proof involves straightforward checking of the vector space axioms. $\hfill \Box$

Compositions of Linear Transformations

Given $R \in L(X,Y)$ and $S \in L(Y,Z)$, $S \circ R : X \to Z$. We will show that $S \circ R \in L(X,Z)$, that is, the composition of two linear transformations is linear.

$$(S \circ R)(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = S(R(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2))$$

$$= S(\alpha R(x_1) + \beta R(x_2))$$

$$= \alpha S(R(x_1)) + \beta S(R(x_2))$$

$$= \alpha (S \circ R)(x_1) + \beta (S \circ R)(x_2)$$

so $S \circ R \in L(X, Z)$.

Kernel and Rank

Definition 6. Let $T \in L(X,Y)$.

- The image of T is Im T = T(X)
- The kernel of T is $\ker T = \{x \in X : T(x) = 0\}$
- The rank of T is Rank $T = \dim(\operatorname{Im} T)$

Rank-Nullity Theorem

Theorem 8 (Thms. 2.9, 2.7, 2.6: The Rank-Nullity Theorem). Let X be a finite-dimensional vector space, $T \in L(X,Y)$. Then $\operatorname{Im} T$ and $\operatorname{ker} T$ are vector subspaces of Y and X respectively, and

 $\dim X = \dim \ker T + \operatorname{Rank} T$

Kernel and Rank

Theorem 9 (Thm. 2.13). $T \in L(X,Y)$ is one-to-one if and only if ker $T = \{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose T is one-to-one. Suppose $x \in \ker T$. Then T(x) = 0. But since T is linear, $T(0) = T(0 \cdot 0) = 0 \cdot T(0) = 0$. Since T is one-to-one, x = 0, so $\ker T = \{0\}$.

Conversely, suppose that ker $T = \{0\}$. Suppose $T(x_1) = T(x_2)$. Then

$$T(x_1 - x_2) = T(x_1) - T(x_2)$$

= 0

which says $x_1 - x_2 \in \ker T$, so $x_1 - x_2 = 0$, so $x_1 = x_2$. Thus, T is one-to-one.

Invertible Linear Transformations

Definition 7. $T \in L(X,Y)$ is invertible if there exists a function $S: Y \to X$ such that

$$S(T(x)) = x \quad \forall x \in X$$

 $T(S(y)) = y \quad \forall y \in Y$

Denote S by T^{-1} .

Note that T is invertible if and only if it is one-to-one and onto. This is just the condition for the existence of an inverse *function*. The linearity of the inverse follows from the linearity of T.

Invertible Linear Transformations

Theorem 10 (Thm. 2.11). If $T \in L(X,Y)$ is invertible, then $T^{-1} \in L(Y,X)$, i.e. T^{-1} is linear.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and $v, w \in Y$. Since T is invertible, there exist unique $v', w' \in X$ such that

$$T(v') = v T^{-1}(v) = v'$$

 $T(w') = w T^{-1}(w) = w'$

Then

$$T^{-1}(\alpha v + \beta w) = T^{-1} \left(\alpha T(v') + \beta T(w') \right)$$
$$= T^{-1} \left(T(\alpha v' + \beta w') \right)$$
$$= \alpha v' + \beta w'$$
$$= \alpha T^{-1}(v) + \beta T^{-1}(w)$$

so $T^{-1} \in L(Y,X)$.

Linear Transformations and Bases

Theorem 11 (Thm. 3.2). Let X and Y be two vector spaces over the same field F, and let $V = \{v_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a basis for X. Then a linear transformation $T \in L(X,Y)$ is completely determined by its values on V, that is:

1. Given any set $\{y_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subseteq Y$, $\exists T \in L(X,Y)$ s.t.

$$T(v_{\lambda}) = y_{\lambda} \quad \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$$

2. If $S, T \in L(X, Y)$ and $S(v_{\lambda}) = T(v_{\lambda})$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then S = T.

Proof. 1. If $x \in X$, x has a unique representation of the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i v_{\lambda_i} \quad \alpha_i \neq 0 \ i = 1, \dots, n$$

(Recall that if x = 0, then n = 0.) Define

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_{\lambda_i}$$

Then $T(x) \in Y$. The verification that T is linear is left as an exercise.

2. Suppose $S(v_{\lambda}) = T(v_{\lambda})$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Given $x \in X$,

$$S(x) = S\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} v_{\lambda_{i}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} S\left(v_{\lambda_{i}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} T\left(v_{\lambda_{i}}\right)$$

$$= T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} v_{\lambda_{i}}\right)$$

$$= T(x)$$

so S = T.

Isomorphisms

Definition 8. Two vector spaces X and Y over a field F are isomorphic if there is an invertible $T \in L(X,Y)$.

 $T \in L(X,Y)$ is an isomorphism if it is invertible (one-to-one and onto).

Isomorphic vector spaces are essentially indistinguishable as vector spaces.

Isomorphisms

Theorem 12 (Thm. 3.3). Two vector spaces X and Y over the same field are isomorphic if and only if $\dim X = \dim Y$.

Proof. Suppose X and Y are isomorphic, and let $T \in L(X,Y)$ be an isomorphism. Let

$$U = \{u_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

be a basis of X, and let $v_{\lambda} = T(u_{\lambda})$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Set

$$V = \{v_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

Since T is one-to-one, U and V have the same cardinality. If

 $y \in Y$, then there exists $x \in X$ such that

$$y = T(x)$$

$$= T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{\lambda_i} u_{\lambda_i}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{\lambda_i} T\left(u_{\lambda_i}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{\lambda_i} v_{\lambda_i}$$

which shows that V spans Y. To see that V is linearly indepen-

dent, suppose

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i v_{\lambda_i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i T(u_{\lambda_i})$$

$$= T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i u_{\lambda_i}\right)$$

Since T is one-to-one, $\ker T = \{0\}$, so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i u_{\lambda_i} = 0$$

Since U is a basis, we have $\beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_m = 0$, so V is linearly independent. Thus, V is a basis of Y; since U and V are numerically equivalent, dim $X = \dim Y$.

Now suppose $\dim X = \dim Y$. Let

$$U = \{u_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \text{ and } V = \{v_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

be bases of X and Y; note we can use the same index set Λ for both because dim $X = \dim Y$. By Theorem 3.2, there is a unique

 $T \in L(X,Y)$ such that $T(u_{\lambda}) = v_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If T(x) = 0, then

$$0 = T(x)$$

$$= T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} u_{\lambda_{i}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} T\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} v_{\lambda_{i}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_{1} = \dots = \alpha_{n} = 0 \text{ since } V \text{ is a basis}$$

$$\Rightarrow x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \ker T = \{0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow T \text{ is one-to-one}$$

If $y \in Y$, write $y = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i v_{\lambda_i}$. Let

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i u_{\lambda_i}$$

Then

$$T(x) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i u_{\lambda_i}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i T(u_{\lambda_i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i v_{\lambda_i}$$

$$= y$$

so T is onto, so T is an isomorphism and X,Y are isomorphic.