

# Econ 204 – Problem Set 1<sup>1</sup>

Due Friday July 30, 2021

1. Use induction to prove the following:

(a) For every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in [-1, \infty)$ ,  $(1+x)^r \geq 1+rx$ .

(b)  $\sum_{k=1}^n k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

2. Prove the following statements:

(a) Let  $X$  an infinite set. Prove that there exists  $A \subseteq X$  such that  $A$  is countable.

(b) Show that if  $X$  is an infinite set, then there is an injection  $r : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ . (Recall from lecture 2 this implies  $|\mathbb{N}| \leq |X|$ , thus the cardinality of the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  is less than or equal to the cardinality of any infinite set.)

3. In the following examples, show that the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are numerically equivalent by finding a specific bijection between the two.

(a)  $A = [0, 1]$ ,  $B = [10, 20]$

(b)  $A = [0, 1]$ ,  $B = [0, 1)$

(c)  $A = (-1, 1)$ ,  $B = \mathbb{R}$

4. (**Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  system Theorem**): The goal of this exercise is to prove this theorem, and review/practice some of the set theoretical results.

Suppose  $\Omega$  is some arbitrary set (which need not have any topological or algebraic structure). Then,  $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^\Omega$  as a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$  is called a  **$\sigma$ -algebra** if it satisfies following properties:

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- For every  $A \subset \Omega$  where  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$  ( $A^c$  refers to the complement of set  $A$ , i.e  $\Omega \setminus A$ ).
- For every *countable* sequence of subsets  $\{A_n\}$  where  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $n$ ,  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(a) Show that  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(b) Prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable intersection.

Two more definitions: first,  $\Lambda \subset 2^\Omega$  is called a  **$\lambda$ -system** if:

- $\Omega \in \Lambda$ .
- If  $A, B \in \Lambda$  and  $A \subset B$ , then  $B \setminus A \in \Lambda$ .
- If  $\{A_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of subsets, i.e  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots$ , with each element being in  $\Lambda$ , then  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \Lambda$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>In case of any problems with the solution to the exercises please email [brunosmaniotto@berkeley.edu](mailto:brunosmaniotto@berkeley.edu)

Second,  $\Pi \subset 2^\Omega$  is called a  $\pi$ -**system**, if it is closed under *finite* intersection. Now **assume**  $\Pi$  is a  $\pi$ -system such that  $\Pi \subset \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a  $\lambda$ -system. The Dynkin's theorem which we want to prove states that the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\Pi$  (denoted by  $\sigma(\Pi)$ ) is a subset of  $\Lambda$ . Try to keep on with each step below until the final result drops out:

- (c) Let  $\lambda(\Pi)$  be the *smallest*<sup>2</sup>  $\lambda$ -system containing  $\Pi$ . Explain why  $\lambda(\Pi) \subset \Lambda$ . (Hint: note that  $\Pi \subset \Lambda$ ).
- (d) Let  $B \in \Pi$  and define  $\mathcal{A}_B := \{A \subset \Omega : A \cap B \in \lambda(\Pi)\}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{A}_B$  is itself a  $\lambda$ -system and contains  $\lambda(\Pi)$ , i.e  $\lambda(\Pi) \subset \mathcal{A}_B$ .
- (e) Now let  $A \in \lambda(\Pi)$ , and define  $\mathcal{B}_A := \{B \subset \Omega : A \cap B \in \lambda(\Pi)\}$ . Again, show that  $\mathcal{B}_A$  is a  $\lambda$ -system containing  $\lambda(\Pi)$ .
- (f) Use the previous two steps to show  $\lambda(\Pi)$  is also a  $\pi$ -system.
- (g) Given that we have seen so far that  $\lambda(\Pi)$  is both a  $\pi$ -system and a  $\lambda$ -system, deduce that it has to be a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- (h) Conclude that  $\sigma(\Pi) \subset \Lambda$ .

5. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  be two sets, and  $P : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function. Define the *upper and lower value functions* as:

$$\begin{aligned} V_+ &= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} P(u, z) \\ V_- &= \sup_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} P(u, z) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- (a) Show that  $V_+ \geq V_-$ .
- (b) Call any function  $\beta : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  a *strategy* for the maximizing side. Denote the space of all such strategies as  $\mathcal{B}$ . Prove the following identity, and explain why it is not in contrast with part (a).

$$V_+ = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} P(u, \beta(u)) = \sup_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{U}} P(u, \beta(u)) \tag{2}$$

6. Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The set  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is called a *partition* for  $[a, b]$ , if  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ . Define  $V(f; P) := \sum_{j=1}^n |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})|$ . The *variation* of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  is defined as

$$V(f; [a, b]) := \sup \{V(f; P) : P \text{ is a partition for } [a, b]\}. \tag{3}$$

When  $V(f; [a, b])$  is finite, we say that  $f$  is of *bounded variation* on  $[a, b]$ .

- (a) Show that the class of functions of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  is closed under addition. That is if  $f$  and  $g$  have bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f + g$  also has bounded variation on  $[a, b]$ .
- (b) Show that if  $f$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  and  $a \leq c \leq b$ , then

$$V(f; [a, b]) = V(f; [a, c]) + V(f; [c, b]). \tag{4}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>By smallest we mean:  $\lambda(\Pi) = \bigcap_{\{\Lambda_\alpha \text{ is } \lambda\text{-system in } 2^\Omega : \Pi \subset \Lambda_\alpha\}} \Lambda_\alpha$