

1. Consider the following classical condition:

Definition 1. A choice rule \mathcal{C} satisfies **path independence** if, for all $A, B \in 2^X \setminus \emptyset$,

$$\mathcal{C}(A \cup B) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(A) \cup \mathcal{C}(B))$$

- (a) Prove the following claim: If \mathcal{C} is nonempty and rationalizable, then \mathcal{C} satisfies path independence.
 - (b) Provide an example of a nonempty choice rule that satisfies path independence, but is not rationalizable.
2. The following axiom is another condition proposed by Sen:

Axiom 2 (Sen's γ). If $x \in \mathcal{C}(A) \cap \mathcal{C}(B)$, then $x \in \mathcal{C}(A \cup B)$.

Let X be finite and \mathcal{C} be a nonempty choice rule. Prove the following claims:

- (a) If \mathcal{C} is rationalizable, then \mathcal{C} satisfies Sen's γ .
 - (b) If \mathcal{C} satisfies Sen's α and Sen's γ , then there exists a (not necessarily transitive) binary relation \succsim on X such that
- $$\mathcal{C}(A) = \{x \in A : x \succsim y, \text{ for all } y \in A\}$$
3. Let \succsim be a binary relation on X . The set $Z \subseteq X$ is **\succsim -dense** if, for all $x, y \in X$, there exists a $z \in Z$ such that $x \succ z \succ y$. Prove that if \succsim is complete and transitive and there exists a countable \succsim -dense set, then there exists a utility representation $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of \succsim . (Hint: The proof is very similar to the proof of a utility representation for a weak order on a countable set.)