Economics 204
Fall 2012
Problem Set 2
Due Tuesday, July 31 in Lecture

- 1. Let (Y, d) be a metric space. Call x a limit point of some set  $X \subseteq Y$  if every open ball around x contains another element of X distinct from x.<sup>1</sup> Suppose that  $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be uncountable. Without invoking any compactness arguments, prove that X has at least one limit point.<sup>2</sup>
- 2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, where  $X \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  and d is a standard Euclidean metric. Give an example of a non-trivial set in X which is both open and closed.
- 3. Identify the set of interior points, limit points, isolated points, and boundary points of the following sets. Assume the metric is Euclidean unless indicated otherwise (no proofs necessary):
  - (a)  $\{1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4, ...\} \cup \{-1, -1/2, -1/3, -1/4, ...\} \cup \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}$  (i.e. the ambient space is  $\mathbb{R}$ )
  - (b)  $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{R}$
  - (c)  $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{R}$  with discrete metric<sup>3</sup>
  - (d)  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$
  - (e)  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$  with discrete metric
  - (f)  $\{x \in \mathbb{Q} : x < \pi\} \subset \mathbb{R}$
  - (g)  $\{x \in \mathbb{Q} : x < \pi\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$
- 4. Show that any closed set in a metric space is an intersection of a decreasing sequence of open sets. Show that any open set is a union of an increasing sequence of closed sets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Notice that this is more restrictive than the definition of closure point, because now the intersection of X and  $B_{\epsilon}(x)$  cannot be just the point x itself. Points for which this is the case are called *isolated* points. Hence, the union of those two sets, limit points and isolated points, is the closure of the set X. You can read more about that in de la Fuente p. 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>You will have a chance to use compactness in showing this fact on problem set 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Recall that we defined discrete metric as d(x,y) = 0 iff x = y and d(x,y) = 1 if  $x \neq y$ .

- 5. Give an example of function  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  which is
  - (a) nowhere continuous (i.e. discontinuous for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ), but the absolute value of which is, in fact, continuous. Please use standard Euclidean metric, there is no need to be excessively creative.
  - (b) continuous at exactly one point? two points? n points?
- 6. Consider a real-valued continuous function f defined on interval [a, b] with a property that f(a) = a and f(b) = b. Let g be any continuous function that maps [a, b] into itself. Prove that there is  $x^* \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(x^*) = g(x^*)$ . Will the statement hold if we just assume that g is a continuous function on [a, b], but not necessarily maps [a, b] into itself? Prove or give counter-example.
- 7. Suppose that  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of non-decreasing functions that map the unit interval into itself. Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$$

pointwise and f is a continuous function. Prove that the convergence of  $f_n(x)$  to f(x) is uniform, i.e. prove that<sup>4</sup>

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists N_{\epsilon} : n > N_{\epsilon} \quad |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1].$$

- 8. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be two Cauchy sequences in X. Call  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  Cauchy equivalent if  $x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1, \ldots$  is a Cauchy sequence itself.
  - (a) Prove that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are Cauchy equivalent iff  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ .
  - (b) Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be two Cauchy equivalent sequences and  $\{z_n\}$  another Cauchy sequence. Prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, z_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(y_n, z_n).$$

- (c) Show that equivalence of Cauchy sequences is an equivalence relation on X.
- (d) Let  $X^*$  be a set of equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences in X. Prove that the function

$$\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y_n)$$

defines a metric on  $X^*$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Like with uniform continuity, same  $\epsilon$  works for all x in uniform convergence, whereas in pointwise convergence,  $\epsilon$  will, in general, depend on the choice of x.