# Advanced Microeconomics 

(Economics 104)
Spring 2011
Sample midterm questions
[1] Let $G$ be the $2 \times 2$ strategic game given by

|  | $L$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $a, b$ | $c, d$ |
| $B$ | $e, f$ | $g, h$ |
|  |  |  |

and let $G^{\prime}$ (which is different from $G$ ) be the $2 \times 2$ strategic game given by

|  | $L$ | $R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ | $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ |
| $B$ | $e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ | $g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}$ |

Consider the $2 \times 2$ game $G^{\prime \prime}=G+G^{\prime}$ given by

\[

\]

- Suppose that $(T, L)$ is a pure strategy equilibrium in $G$ and that it is also a pure strategy equilibrium in $G^{\prime}$. Is $(T, L)$ also an equilibrium of $G^{\prime \prime}$ ? Prove or give a counter-example.

Let $p$ be a mixture over player 1's strategies and $q$ be a mixture over player 2's strategies.

- Suppose that $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right)$ is a completely mixed strategy equilibrium in $G$ and that it is also a completely mixed strategy equilibrium in $G^{\prime}$. Is $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right)$ also an equilibrium of $G^{\prime \prime}$ ? Prove or give a counterexample.
[2] A soccer team has been awarded a penalty kick. The kicker (player 1) has two possible strategies: to kick the ball into the right side of the goal $(R)$ or to kick the ball into the left side of the goal $(L)$.

The goal keeper (player 2) has no time to determine where the ball is going before she must commit herself by jumping either to the right $(R)$ or to the left $(L)$ of the net.

Suppose that if the kicker makes the goal, she gets a payoff of 1 and the goal keeper a payoff of 0 , and if the kicker does not make the goal she gets a payoff of 0 and the goal keeper a payoff of 1 .

Also, suppose that goal keeper always stop the ball if she guesses correctly where the kicker is going to kick.

When the kicker (player 1) kicks to the left $(L)$ and the goal keeper (player 2 ) jumps to the right $(R)$ there is only probability $0<\delta<1$ that the kicker will score.

Thus, $\delta$ models how good the kicker is at kicking to the left side of the net when it is undefended.

The situation is given by the $2 \times 2$ strategic game with the payoff matrix

|  | $L$ | $R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R$ | 1,0 | 0,1 |
|  | 0,1 | $\delta, 1-\delta$ |
|  |  |  |

where $0<\delta<1$.

- Find the set of all $N E$ as a function of $\delta$ and draw the graph of best response functions.
- Explain what happens as $\delta \rightarrow 1$ and as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.
[3] Consider the two-player symmetric game

|  | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | $x, x$ | $1,-1$ | $-1,1$ |
| $B$ | $-1,1$ | $x, x$ | $1,-1$ |
| $C$ | $1,-1$ | $-1,1$ | $x, x$ |
|  |  |  |  |

- Suppose $x>1$. Find the set of all Nash equilibria. Are the equilibrium strategies $E S S$ ?
- Suppose $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Find the set of all Nash equilibria. Are the equilibrium strategies $E S S$ ?
[4] Let $G$ be the $2 \times 2$ strategic game given by

\[

\]

This game is called strictly competitive or zero-sum because for any $a \in A$ we have $u_{1}(a)=-u_{2}(a)$.

- Show that if $(T, L)$ and $(B, R)$ are $N E$ of the game, then so are $(T, R)$ and $(B, L)$ This result called interchangeability in zero-sum games.
- Show that if $a=b=c=d$ (like in Matching Pennies) the game has a unique mixed strategy $N E\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right)=(1 / 2,1 / 2)$.
[5] Consider the $B o S$ situation given by the $2 \times 2$ strategic game with the payoff matrix

|  | $B$ | $S$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B$ | $\alpha, 1$ | 0,0 |
|  | 0,0 | $1, \alpha$ |
|  |  |  |

where $\alpha \geq 0$.

- Find the set of all $N E$ as a function of $\alpha$ and draw the graph of best response functions.
- Explain what happens as $\alpha=0, \alpha=1$ and $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$.


## Solutions

[1] Let $G$ be the $2 \times 2$ strategic game given by

|  | $L$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $T$ | $a, b$ | $c, d$ |
| $B$ | $e, f$ | $g, h$ |
|  |  |  |

and let $G^{\prime}$ (which is different from $G$ ) be the $2 \times 2$ strategic game given by

|  |  | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ | $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ |
|  | $e^{\prime}, f^{\prime}$ | $g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}$ |
|  |  |  |

Consider the $2 \times 2$ game $G^{\prime \prime}=G+G^{\prime}$ given by

|  | $L$ | $R$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $a+a^{\prime}, b+b^{\prime}$ | $c+c^{\prime}, d+d^{\prime}$ |
|  | $e+e^{\prime}, f+f^{\prime}$ | $g+g^{\prime}, h+h^{\prime}$ |
|  |  |  |

- Suppose that $(T, L)$ is a pure strategy equilibrium in $G$ and that it is also a pure strategy equilibrium in $G^{\prime}$. Hence, we know that in $G$

$$
a \geq e \text { and } b \geq d
$$

and in $G^{\prime}$

$$
a^{\prime} \geq e^{\prime} \text { and } b^{\prime} \geq d^{\prime}
$$

Thus,

$$
a+a^{\prime} \geq e+e^{\prime} \text { and } b+b^{\prime} \geq d+d^{\prime}
$$

which implies that $(T, L)$ is also an equilibrium of $G^{\prime \prime}$.
Let $p$ be a mixture over player 1's strategies and $q$ be a mixture over player 2's strategies.

- Suppose that $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right)$ is a completely mixed strategy equilibrium in $G$ and that it is also a completely mixed strategy equilibrium in $G^{\prime}$. Hence, we know that in $G$

$$
p^{*} b+\left(1-p^{*}\right) f=p^{*} d+\left(1-p^{*}\right) h
$$

and

$$
q^{*} a+\left(1-q^{*}\right) c=q^{*} e+\left(1-q^{*}\right) g
$$

and in $G^{\prime}$

$$
p^{*} b^{\prime}+\left(1-p^{*}\right) f^{\prime}=p^{*} d^{\prime}+\left(1-p^{*}\right) h^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
q^{*} a^{\prime}+\left(1-q^{*}\right) c^{\prime}=q^{*} e^{\prime}+\left(1-q^{*}\right) g^{\prime}
$$

Thus,

$$
p^{*}\left(b+b^{\prime}\right)+\left(1-p^{*}\right)\left(f+f^{\prime}\right)=p^{*}\left(d+d^{\prime}\right)+\left(1-p^{*}\right)\left(h+h^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
q^{*}\left(a+a^{\prime}\right)+\left(1-q^{*}\right)\left(c+c^{\prime}\right)=q^{*}\left(e+e^{\prime}\right)+\left(1-q^{*}\right)\left(g+g^{\prime}\right)
$$

which implies that $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right)$ is also an equilibrium of $G^{\prime \prime}$.
Note that this result can be generalized without much difficulty to any two-player with any $n \times m$ payoff matrix.
[2] The game is given by the $2 \times 2$ strategic game with the payoff matrix

\[

\]

where $0<\delta<1$.
Let $p$ be a mixture over player 1's strategies and $q$ be a mixture over player 2's strategies.

- For any $0<\delta<1$, the game has a unique mixed strategy $N E$

$$
\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right)=(\delta /(1+\delta), \delta /(1+\delta))
$$

- If $\delta \rightarrow 1$ then $\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right) \rightarrow(1 / 2,1 / 2)$, and if $\delta \rightarrow 0$ then $q^{*} \rightarrow 0$. Note that if $\delta=0$ then the game has two pure strategies $N E$ $(R, R)$ and $(L, R)$ and a continuum $N E\left(p^{*}, q^{*}\right)$ in which player 1 mixes with any $p^{*} \in(0,1)$ and $q^{*}=0$.
[3] When $x>1$, the game has three symmetric Nash equilibria in pure strategies, $(A, A),(B, B)$, and $(C, C)$. All equilibria are strict so $A, B$, and $C$ are $E S S$.
- The game also has a symmetric mixed strategy Nash equilibrium in which each player's mixed strategy is $(1 / 3,1 / 3,1 / 3)$. This strategy is not an $E S S$. A mutant who uses any of the pure strategies obtains an expected payoff of $x / 3$ against a non-mutant and $x$ against another mutant whereas the expected payoff of a non-mutant is always $x / 3$.
- When $0 \leq x \leq 1$, the game has a unique symmetric mixed strategy Nash equilibrium in which each player's mixed strategy is (1/3, 1/3, 1/3). Therefore, the game does not have an $E S S$ (same argument above).

