Problem Set #11

- 1. Currently, there is an incumbent monopoly in the market. Next year, a potential entrant may enter. The incumbent needs to make a decision on whether or not it should spend \$50 to lobby the government into passing legislation which places a lump-sum tax of \$100 on the potential entrant if it enters. If the potential entrant stays out of the market it makes zero profit and the incumbent firm makes a monopoly profit, $\pi_m > 0$, minus expenditures on lobbying if any (\$50 or \$0). If the potential entrant enters the market, it gets duopoly profit, $\pi_d =$ \$200, minus the tax if any, and the incumbent gets duopoly profit minus the lobbying costs if any.
 - A. Show the game in extensive form. What strategies will be played?
 - B. Suppose the tax on the potential entrant is \$210 instead of \$100. Repeat part A. How large must π_m be for the monopolist to want to lobby?
- 2. Consider a market in which two firms produce a homogeneous product. Market demand is given by $Q_d(P)=200-P$. The cost functions for firm A and firm B are $TC_a(q_a)=5q_a$ and $TC_b(q_b)=0.5q_b^2$, respectively.
 - A. Find the Cournot equilibrium quantities supplied by each firm. Graph your result using reaction functions. Find the market price, and calculate profits for each firm.
 - B. Now suppose that firm A chooses how much to produce before firm B does (i.e. firm A is a Stackelberg leader, B a follower). Calculate quantities, market price and profit for each firm¹.
 - C. Now consider the case where total social welfare is maximized. Find market quantity, quantities supplied by each of the two firms, and market price.
 - D. Compare firm output, total output and price for parts A through C. Do your values make sense?
- 3. The only two consumers in an exchange economy, consumer A and consumer B, consume the only two goods, X and Y, in the economy. There are 20 units of X available and 20 units of Y.
 - A. If A and B have identical preferences, mutually beneficial trade cannot occur. True or false? Explain.
 - B. Assume A's preferences are described by $U_A = X_A^{0.5} Y_A^{0.5}$ and B's preferences are described by $U_B = 2X_B Y_B$, where X_A , Y_A , X_B , Y_B are the consumption of X and Y by consumers A and B, respectively. Draw an Edgeworth box describing this scenario. Label the lengths of the sides, draw a few indifference curves for each consumer and (roughly) sketch the contract curve.

¹ Apologies for forcing you to get out your calculator.

- C. Now derive an <u>equation</u> for the contract curve. (Hint: Compute the MRS for consumer A as a function of X_A and Y_A , and for consumer B as a function of X_B and Y_B . Impose the restriction that total consumption of X should equal the total units of X available, and do the same for Y. Rearrange to get an equation of Y_A as a function of X_A (your result should look pretty simple)).
- D. Suppose that consumer A has an initial endowment of 5 units of X and 15 units of Y, and consumer B has the remainder of what's available. Show, using the concept of MRS and the Edgeworth Box, that a trade could benefit both consumers.
- E. Assume the consumers can trade as much as they like at the prices of $P_X=1$ and $P_Y=1$. If starting out with the same endowments as in part (D), how much will each consumer want to buy/sell of each good? Is the result a competitive equilibrium?
- F. Assume the consumers can trade as much as they like at the prices of $P_X=2$ and $P_Y=1$. If starting with equal endowments (each individual has 10 units of both good *X* and good *Y*), how much will each consumer want to buy/sell of each good? Is this result a competitive equilibrium?
- G. Suppose consumer C considers 2 units of *X* a perfect substitute for 1 unit of *Y* and consumer D considers 3 units of *X* a perfect substitute for 1 unit of *Y* (these ratios hold at <u>all</u> consumption levels). Now, consumers C and D are the only two consumers in an exchange economy. Repeat part (C).