Because I'm interweaving these tables with those from the handout for February 10-12-17 which had 20 tables, I'm starting the numbering of this handout with \#21.

Table 21. Southern State Settlement
(* are part of "New South"
Old = one of original 13 colonies
New = statehood after 1790)

| New = statehood after 1790) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Census Year <br> population <br> $>100,000$ | Year became a <br> state |
| Kentucky | 1800 | 1792 |
| Tennessee* | 1800 | 1796 |
| Louisiana* | 1820 | 1812 |
| Mississippi* | 1830 | 1817 |
| Alabama* | 1820 | 1819 |
| Arkansas* | 1850 | 1836 |
| Florida* | 1860 | 1845 |
| Texas* | before 1845 | 1845 |
| West Virginia | 1810 | 1863 |

Source: Historical Statistics of the United States: Millennial Edition, Tables Aa2244-6550.

Table 22. Cotton Picking Rates, 1801-1862
with fixed effects by plantation
Dependent variable: In(mean picking)

|  | Upland <br> Cotton | Old South | New South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| constant | 4.359 <br> $(0.047)$ | 3.879 <br> $(0.098)$ | 4.478 <br> $(0.636)$ |
| Year -1830 | 0.0174 <br> $(0.0038)$ | 0.0197 <br> $(0.0044)$ | 0.0167 <br> $(0.0075)$ |
| (Year-1830) $^{2}$ | -0.000074 <br> $(0.00010)$ | 0.000005 <br> $(0.00012)$ | -0.000083 <br> $(0.00020)$ |
| Fixed Effects | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| by |  |  |  |
| Plantation? | $2.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Implied annual rate of growth |  | $1.7 \%$ |  |
| 1810 | $1.7 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| 1830 | $1.4 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 0.82 |
| 1850 | 0.85 | 0.79 | 840 |
| $R^{2}$ | 428 | 88 |  |
| N |  |  |  |

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses.
Source: Alan Olmstead \& Paul Rhode, Biological Innovation and Productivity Growth in the Antebellum Cotton Economy, Journal of Economic History 68 (December 2008): Tables 3 \& 5.

CORRECTED

Table 9. Cotton Picking Rates, 1801-1862 Dependent variable: In(mean picking)

|  | Upland <br> Cotton | Old South | New South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| constant | 4.387 | 3.961 | 4.478 |
|  | $(0.032)$ | $(0.061)$ | $(0.030)$ |
| Year-1830 | 0.0245 | 0.0156 | 0.0283 |
|  | $(0.0016)$ | $(0.0013)$ | $(0.0026)$ |
| (Year-1830) $^{2}$ | -0.000416 | -0.000025 | -0.00054 |
|  | $(0.00008)$ | $(0.00010)$ | $(0.00010)$ |

Implied annual rate of growth

| 1810 | $4.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1830 | $2.5 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| 1850 | $0.8 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.30 |
| N | 474 | 103 | 371 |

Note: Robust standard errors in parentheses.
Source: Alan Olmstead \& Paul Rhode, Biological Innovation and
Productivity Growth in the Antebellum Cotton Economy, Journal of Economic History 68 (December 2008): Tables 2 and 4.

Table 23. Miles of Railroads

| Table 23. Miles of Railroads |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1830 | 73 |
| 1840 | 3,300 |
| 1850 | 9,000 |
| 1860 | 31,000 |
| 1870 | 53,000 |
| 1880 | 93,000 |
| 1890 | 167,000 |
| 1900 | 207,000 |
| 1910 | 266,000 |
| 1915 | 290,000 |

Source: Walton \& Rockoff, Table 16.1

Table 24. Land Grants

|  | Table 24. Land Grants |
| :--- | :---: |
| State | \% of land granted to <br> Railroad |
| No Dakota | 24 |
| Washington | 22 |
| Minnesota | 19 |
| Montana | 16 |
| Kansas | 16 |
| Nebraska | 15 |
| California | 12 |
| ALL U.S. | 12 |
| Source:Schiser |  |

Source: Scheiber, Vatter, Faulkner, American Economic History

Table 25. Distribution of Total Output

|  |  |  | Transp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\&$ |  | Trade <br>  |  <br> Services |  |
| 1869 | 22 | 15 | 11 | 41 |
| 1879 | 19 | 13 | 13 | 43 |
| 1889 | 14 | 19 | 11 | 42 |
| $1899-1903$ | 18 | 19 | 10 | 40 |
| $1910-1913$ | 19 | 20 | 11 | 37 |
| 2010 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 62 |

Source: Value Added, Billions of 1879 Dollars, Historical Statistics, Series F251-F261. For 2010, U.S. BEA, "Value Added by Industry as a Percentage of Gross Domestic Product," www.bea.gov (Accessed 2/16/2015). Omitted categories are mining, construction, and government.

Table 26. Distribution of Labor Force

|  | Agric | Manuf | Transp \& Utilities | Trade <br> Finance <br> Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1870 | 53 | 19 | - $24-$ |  |
| 1890 | 43 | 19 | 6 | 21 |
| 1910 | 31 | 22 | 9 | 25 |
| 1920 | 27 | 26 | 10 | 25 |
| 2010 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 64 |

Source: 1870-1920, Historical Statistics, Series D152-D166. 2010, U.S. BEA, National Income \& Product Accounts, Table 6.5D. Omitted categories are mining, construction, and government.

Table 27. Top 5 Industries

| 1790 \& 1860 | 1910 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cotton goods | Machinery |
| Lumber | Lumber |
| Boots \& shoes | Printing \& Publishing |
| Flour \& meal | Iron \& steel |
| Men's clothing | Malt Liquors |

Source: Walton \& Rockoff, p. 375; Hughes \& Cain,
American Economic History, Figure 8.2.

Table 28. Average \# employees per establishment

|  | 1869 | 1889 | 1909 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Food products | 6 | 9 | 8 |
| Printing | 17 | 14 | 12 |
| Lumber | 6 | 18 | 17 |
| Furniture | 9 | 37 | 40 |
| Machinery | 14 | 35 | 56 |
| Textiles | 51 | 99 | 153 |
| Primary Metals | 85 | 203 | 317 |

Source: Atack \& Passell, Table 17.5.

Table 29. Annual Earnings of Industrial Workers

|  |  | Annual rate of <br> change |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1875-79$ | $\$ 4,000$ |  |
| $1885-89$ | 5,000 | $2.2 \%$ |
| $1895-99$ | 5,300 | 0.6 |
| $1901-05$ | 6,100 | 2.4 |
| $1911-15$ | 6,900 | 1.2 |
| 2010 | 26,600 | 0.8 |

Source: Scheiber, Vatter, Faulkner, American Economic History, p. 247. 2010 data: $\$ 60,018$ is wages \& salaries per ull-time equivalent employee in manufacturing (US BEA, NIPA Table 6.6D), deflated to 1982 dollars using www.measuringworth.com. Annual rate of change for 2010 is measured over 30 years, 1980-2010.

Table 30.
Women's Labor Force Participation Rates
(percent of population)

|  | white |  | non-white |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | single | married | single | married |
| 1890 | 38 | 2 | 60 | 22 |
| 1900 | 42 | 3 | 60 | 26 |
| 1920 | 45 | 6 | 59 | 32 |
| 2010 | 56 | 61 | 57 | 66 |

Source: Claudia Goldin, Understanding the Gender Gap, Table 2.1, p. 17. 2010 data from U.S. BLS, Women in the Labor Force: A Databook (2011 edition), Table 6. "Single" includes never-married, divorced, separated, and widowed. LFPR calculated for population age 16+. Race category for 2010 is "Black or African American"; race category in earlier censuses was "Non-White."

Table 31. Male \& Female Intensive Industries, 1890

|  | $\%$ Male |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Blacksmith | 100 | Millinery, custom | \% Female |
| Carpentry | 100 | Dressmaking | 98 |
| Painting, Wallpaper | 100 | Corsets | 97 |
| Shipbuilding | 100 | Shirts | 81 |
| Carriages \& wagons | 99 | Men's accessories | 79 |
| Flour milling | 99 | Millinery \& lace | 74 |
| Foundry, machine shops | 99 | Hosiery \& knit goods | 73 |
| Agric implements | 98 | Boxes | 67 |
| Boots \& Shoes, custom | 98 | Clothing, women's | 65 |
| Leather | 98 | Silk | 63 |

Source: Claudia Goldin, Understanding the Gender Gap, Table 3.4.


Figure 1 Consumer Price Index, 1870-1910 (Source: Carter et al, Historical Statistics 2006, Series Cc2.)


Figure 2: Railroad Construction (Source: Atack \& Passell, New
View of American History, Figure 16.5)

