

Polygyny, Fertility, and Savings*

Michèle Tertilt[†]

August 2005

Abstract

Sub-Saharan Africa has a high incidence of polygyny. Countries in this region are also characterized by large age gaps between husbands and wives, high fertility, and the payment of a brideprice at marriage. In monogamous countries, on the other hand, the bride's parents traditionally give a dowry (negative brideprice) at marriage. Sub-Saharan Africa is also the poorest region of the world. In this paper I ask whether banning polygyny could play any role for development in Sub-Saharan Africa. Since this experiment does not exist in the data, I address the question using a formal model of polygyny and analyze the effects of enforcing monogamy within the model. I find that enforcing monogamy lowers fertility, shrinks the spousal age gap, and reverses the direction of marriage payments. The capital-output ratio and GDP per capita increase. The reason is that when polygyny is allowed, high brideprices are needed to ration women. Buying wives and selling daughters becomes a good investment strategy that crowds out investment in physical assets. I show that these effects can be large quantitatively. For reasonable parameter values, I find that banning polygyny decreases fertility by 40%, increases the savings rate by 70% and increases output per capita by 170%.

*This is a chapter from my Ph.D. Dissertation at the University of Minnesota. It was previously circulated under the title "Polygyny and Poverty." This research was funded through a Doctoral Dissertation Fellowship from the University of Minnesota and an NSF grant. I am especially grateful to Larry E. Jones for his advice and encouragement. I would also like to thank Michele Boldrin, V.V. Chari, Lisa Cook, Adam Copeland, Robert Hall, Berthold Herrendorf, Pete Klenow, Narayana Kocherlakota, Margaret Ledyard, Jim MacGee, Felipe Meza, Edward Prescott, Aloysius Siow, Juan Solé, seminar participants at many different institutions, two anonymous referees and Robert Shimer (the editor) for their helpful comments.

[†]Stanford University, Dept of Economics, 579 Serra Mall, Stanford CA 94305, tertilt@stanford.edu.

1 Introduction

In this paper, I look at differences in marriage laws as a determinant of both fertility and investment rates.¹ In particular, I ask whether the high incidence of polygyny² in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) plays a role in the lack of development in the region. I will show that one simple change (i.e., enforcing monogamy) can have significant effects on fertility, investment, and output simultaneously.

Polygyny is very prevalent in Sub-Saharan Africa. For example in Cameroon more than 50% of all men have multiple wives. In fact, U.N. data show that 28 countries within this region have polygyny rates of more than 10%. The high polygyny rates indicate that polygyny in SSA is very different from the common perception of a wealthy minority of men having many wives, as is the case in some Arab countries. I compare aggregate variables for these countries to a geographically similar group of monogamous countries and find striking differences. Women in polygynous countries marry on average 5.1 years earlier and have 2.2 children more than women in monogamous countries. The average age difference between husband and wife is 6.4 years, compared to only 2.8 years in monogamous countries. In countries with polygyny men pay a positive price for a wife, whereas giving dowries (i.e. paying a negative price for a woman) is very common in monogamous countries. I also find that investment rates and capital-output ratios in monogamous countries are about twice as high as in polygynous ones, while per capita output is roughly two and a half times as high.

The unconditional correlations between polygyny/monogamy and various demographic and economic outcomes are suggestive but obviously not conclusive. Many other factors come to mind as potential explanations for poverty in this region. Colonial history, civil wars, tropical climate, and bad institutions are some of the factors that have been suggested in the literature (see for example Acemoglu, Johnson, and Robinson (2001)). This paper does not try to disentangle the importance of each of these factors. Instead, I ask whether simply enforcing monogamy could play a positive role in the development of Sub-Saharan Africa. Since such an experiment does not exist in the data, I address the question of causality by using a formal model of polygyny and by analyzing the effects

¹I use the term “law” in a broad sense to mean any rules and norms that are enforced by a society.

²Polygyny is the state or practice of having more than one wife at one time. The term polygamy refers to both, a man having several wives (polygyny) or a woman having several husbands (polyandry).

of enforcing monogamy within the model.

The main idea of this paper is as follows. There is a large asymmetry between the sexes, allowing men to make all decisions regarding fertility and marriage arrangements for their daughters. In particular, this asymmetry means that a father gets to keep any marriage payments made for his daughters, while a son makes his own marriage arrangements and pays or receives his own marriage payments. An equilibrium with polygyny requires a positive brideprice to ration women, which makes children a relatively good investment, since the return on daughters is positive and on sons is zero. It follows that men want many wives and children, so fertility is high. Marriage to multiple wives for all men is possible in equilibrium due to a large spousal age gap and high population growth. It also follows that men save for their old age through their children, so little is invested in physical capital. If polygyny is banned, then a dowry (i.e. negative brideprice) typically evolves in equilibrium. One crucial assumption needed for this result is a male preference for brides who are younger than themselves. Without a dowry such a preference would lead to an equilibrium with large spousal age gaps, which, whenever population growth is positive, would lead to a fraction of women not being able to marry. A second critical assumption is that having single daughters is costly to fathers, and hence a father is willing to pay a dowry to assure his daughter's marriage. Having to pay a dowry for each daughter, while again not receiving anything for a son, makes children a poor investment in a monogamous society. It follows that fertility is low and investment in physical assets is high.

The framework used is an overlapping generations model with men and women. Apart from age and sex, people are homogeneous. Fertility is chosen by men. Child production requires two inputs, fecund wives and resources to feed the children.³ There is a market for wives in which fathers supply daughters and in which adult men buy wives. A man can choose to marry either a wife of his own age or a wife that is a generation younger than he is. This makes the timing of births for a man endogenous. A representative competitive firm uses capital and labor to produce a consumption good. Wages and interest rates are determined endogenously in equilibrium. Two different economies are analyzed, one in which a man is allowed to have several wives (polygyny) and one in

³I use the word "fecundity" to mean the biological capability of producing offspring, while "fertility" specifies the actual number of children born.

which he is allowed to have only one (monogamy).

I calibrate the model to match investment and fertility rates in polygynous SSA. I then compute the steady state in the model in which polygyny is banned, using the same parameters. I find that fertility decreases by more than two children per woman. The experiment also shows an increase in the savings rate from 13% to 22%. A higher capital stock and lower population growth rate cause output per capita to go up by 170%.

The economic analysis of polygyny was pioneered by Becker (1974) and Grossbard (1978) and later formalized and expanded by Bergstrom (1994). Guner (1999) provides an interesting analysis of the interaction of marriage systems and inheritance rules. These papers focus primarily on the marriage market and not on the implications for development. A relatively large literature exists that analyzes the interaction between fertility, savings, and growth (e.g. Barro and Becker (1989), Galor and Weil (1996)). These papers assume that marriages are monogamous.

More recently, several authors have analyzed the interaction between marriage systems and economic growth. Edlund and Lagerloef (2002) argue that polygyny hurts growth by reducing human capital accumulation. The key element is that polygyny creates an asymmetry between the number of children a son and a daughter can have, which, if men care about the number of grand-children they have, induces fathers to favor sons over daughters. This diverts resources from women to men. By assumption, only mothers invest in their children's human capital. Hence, human capital is lower in polygynous societies. Lagerloef (2004) and Gould, Moav, and Simhon (2004) relate the disappearance of polygyny to economic development. Both papers focus on the importance of heterogeneity for generating polygyny and the quality-quantity trade-off in children. Lagerloef (2004) argues that men in primitive societies are very unequal, which allows rich men to marry more wives. Rich men then have more children, which dilutes their wealth over time, making society more equal, which in their model eliminates polygyny eventually. As men choose fewer wives and children, they invest more in their children's human capital. Therefore the decline in polygyny and the decrease in fertility are accompanied by economic growth. Gould, Moav, and Simhon (2004) provide an explanation for the transition to monogamy that is similar, but does not rely on the (arguably counterfactual) disappearance of male heterogeneity. The key assumption in their argument is that higher quality parents have a comparative advantage in producing

higher quality children. It is assumed that the skill premium is higher in more developed societies, which increases the demand for quality over quantity of children. This leads men to focus on the quality rather than the quantity of wives, and thereby eventually eliminates polygyny. A ban on polygyny may speed up this process. Fertility per woman is exogenous in the model so that a ban on polygyny effectively restricts male fertility, inducing men to invest in child quality instead.

My paper adds to this literature in several respects. It is the first to provide quantitative implications. I calibrate the model and show that for realistic parameter values, banning polygyny has large effects. I also show that male heterogeneity is not a prerequisite for polygyny. As long as men marry younger women, polygyny can occur even when all men are equal. Fertility is endogenous in my model, which is not the case in Edlund and Lagerloef (2002) and Gould et al (2004). Finally, my paper emphasizes the role of physical capital accumulation rather than human capital accumulation. Using a standard constant returns to scale technology in capital and labor allows me to generate wages and interest rates endogenously.⁴

It should be pointed out that the marriage market may not be the only determinant of dowry payments. As was first pointed out by Zhang (1994), there may also be a bequest motive for dowries which cannot be entirely separated from the marriage market role. The inheritance role of dowries has been analyzed by Botticini and Siow (2003) and Edlund (2001) among others.⁵ Finally, Anderson (2003) analyzes the importance of caste as a determinant of dowry payments.

This work leaves several challenging questions for future research. I explain differences in output per capita between polygynous and monogamous countries solely through differences in measurable inputs (investment rates and population growth rates). It is well-established, however, that differences in physical and human capital can only partially explain the variation in output per capita across countries (e.g. Hall and Jones (1999)). A large part of the variation is instead due to differences in total factor productivity (TFP). Why TFP differs so widely across countries is an important open question which is outside the scope of this paper.⁶ Moreover, my analysis implies large cross-

⁴Returns to human capital are also endogenous in Lagerloef (2004), who assumes an externality in knowledge acquisition.

⁵See also Brown (2002) and Zhang and Chan (1999) on this.

⁶Parente and Prescott (1999) and Herrendorf and Teixeira (2004) argue that TFP differences can to

country differences in the returns to capital (which we do not seem to observe) without explaining why capital does not flow to the country with the higher return. To address these questions, one would need to depart from the closed economy analysis employed here. The scope of this paper is more modest. We do observe some differences in savings and fertility rates across countries, which I argue can be a rational response to differences in marriage law. Further, the assumption that capital cannot flow across borders in response to differences in returns might not be such a bad assumption for Sub-Saharan African countries where capital markets are not very well-developed.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides an empirical background. Section 3 sets up the main model. The steady states under monogamy and polygyny are characterized in Section 4. Section 5 outlines the calibration and the quantitative results, while Section 6 concludes.

2 Empirical Background

In this section, I give some background on marriage institutions in SSA. I first discuss the prevalence of polygyny and show that polygynous and monogamous countries differ significantly along many economic and demographic dimensions (Section 2.1). The data provided in this section will be used to calibrate the model and to evaluate the implications of the model in Section 5. A main hypothesis advanced in this paper is that marriage laws affect economic outcomes through their impact on marriage payments. In Section 2.2, I show that this correlation between marriage laws and marriage payments is consistent with the available empirical evidence. In Section 2.3, I describe family life in SSA in some detail. The theoretical model laid out in Section 4 tries to capture the main features of these family institutions.

2.1 Polygyny

When discussing polygyny, most people think of Middle Eastern Islamic countries. But while marrying up to four wives is generally accepted in the Islamic world, in practice polygyny is limited to a very small subgroup of the population in these countries. For example, in Iran, only 1% and in Jordan about 3.8% of married men have multiple wives.

a large extent be explained through differences in monopoly rights.

Polygyny in many Sub-Saharan African countries is much more widespread, with up to 50% of the male population in polygynous unions. It is this second group that is the focus of this paper. Appendix A provides demographic data for countries where at least 10 percent of the male population is in a polygynous union.⁷ The table shows that almost all men do marry by age 50 in these countries. Thus, the common perception that two wives for some men means no wives for equally many men is wrong. Since the sex ratios in most countries do not deviate much from one, one may wonder how such a high incidence of polygyny is possible. The answer to this puzzle lies in extremely high spousal age gaps coupled with high population growth (Tertilt 2004).⁸ Table 1 shows that the average age gap at first marriage is almost 7 years in highly polygynous countries. Annual population growth in this area is 2.7 percent, which amounts to a 20% increase in cohort size over 7 years. On average, each man could therefore marry 1.2 wives, or put differently, 20% of the population could marry two wives.⁹

The main hypothesis of this paper is that marriage laws matter. The empirical evidence is consistent with this hypothesis. In Table 1, I compare data for all polygynous countries with data for monogamous countries located close to the equator. There are about 60 monogamous countries close to the equator, mainly in the Caribbean, the Pacific, parts of South America, and Africa. Controlling for latitude is a way to focus on a more comparable group of countries.¹⁰ Alternatively, selecting monogamous countries based on GDP per capita leads to similar numbers. Table 1 also includes averages for countries within Sub-Saharan Africa that have low levels of polygyny (column 3) and data on Western Europe and North America.

The data reveal striking differences between polygynous and monogamous countries. The average age difference between husband and wife in highly polygynous countries is 6.4 years, compared to only 2.8 for the monogamous group. Women in polygynous countries marry more than 5 years earlier on average than do women in monogamous

⁷Where data on percent of men in polygynous unions is not available, I include the country if either at least 20% of married women are in a polygynous union or if the average number of wives per married men exceeds 1.1.

⁸Another factor that helps sustain the high incidence of polygyny is widow remarriage.

⁹Note also that the average age gap data takes only first marriages into account. The spousal age gap is typically higher with subsequent wives, which further increases the potential for polygyny.

¹⁰Most developed countries are also monogamous. However, fathers typically do not control their daughters' marriages in these countries and hence the channels emphasized in this paper do not apply.

Table 1: Polygynous vs. Monogamous Countries

	High Polygyny (see table 5) (28)	Monogamous Latitude < 20 (58)	Other SSA (20)	N. America/ W. Europe (24)
<i>Fertility and Mortality</i>				
Total fertility rate, 1980	6.78	4.62***	5.97**	1.84***
Surviving 1 yr, 1980	5.46	3.64***	4.96*	1.79***
Surviving 5 yrs, 1980	5.01	3.57***	4.57*	1.76***
Annual pop. growth, 1960-85	2.7%	2.2%***	2.5%	0.8%***
Infant mortality rate, 1980	12.2%	6.9%***	11.5%	1.2%***
Child mortality rate, 1980	19.4%	11.6%***	18.3%	1.4%***
<i>Demographics</i>				
Male age at first marriage	26.2	27.8***	26.6	29.6***
Female age at first marriage	19.9	25.0***	22.7***	27.1***
Age gap	6.4	2.8***	3.9***	2.4***
% Population under 16, 1985	46	40***	44*	20***
<i>Economic Variables</i>				
$\frac{S}{Y}$, avg. 1960-85 ^b	12.8	19.4**	11.0	23.0***
$\frac{I}{Y}$, avg. 1960-85 [‡]	8.7	16.2***	14.3**	26.2***
$\frac{K}{Y}$, 1985	1.1	1.9***	1.6*	3.0***
GDP p.c., 1985	975	2,798***	1,574*	11,950***

* reject hypothesis that means are equal to column 1 at 10% level, ** 5% level, *** 1% level.

^b at domestic prices, [‡] at international prices.

For data sources and precise definitions: See Appendix A.

countries. The total fertility rate in 1980 was 6.8 on average in polygynous countries, compared to only 4.6 in monogamous countries. Taking differential infant mortality into account, I still find a two-child difference in the number of children surviving up to the age of one. Also note that the average probabilities of dying before the first and the fifth birthday are almost identical in the two groups of African countries. Yet again, all mea-

tures of fertility are highest in the high polygyny region. Similarly, other demographic differences remain substantial when comparing the highly polygynous countries in SSA with all other countries in SSA. For example, the average age gap for a married couple is 2 years higher in the high polygyny group.

Sub-Saharan African countries with a high degree of polygyny are also the poorest countries in the world. Their per capita GDP is 38% lower than GDP in other Sub-Saharan African countries, and only 35% of per capita GDP in monogamous countries that are located in the same latitude range. Table 1 also shows that investment rates and capital output ratios are lowest in polygynous countries. Compared to highly polygynous countries, investment rates are 60% higher in the rest of Sub-Saharan Africa and twice as high in the monogamous group. The investment output ratio provided is computed at international prices. Since the relative price of investment goods is higher in poor than in rich countries, the nominal savings rate (total savings as a percentage of gross national product at national prices) might be a better measure of savings for the purpose of this paper. The average savings rate for monogamous countries was 19.4% between 1960 and 1985, compared to only 12.8% for the polygynous group. In Section 5, I will use these data to calibrate the model and to compare the results from a policy experiment to the empirical evidence.

2.2 Brideprice and Dowry

One of the main vehicles through which differences in marriage law can affect economic outcomes is its impact on marriage payments.¹¹ Anthropologists and ethnographers indeed find a strong correlation between the marriage law and the sign of the brideprice. Hartung (1982) uses data from Murdock's *Ethnographic Atlas* (Murdock 1986) to study the correlation of marriage payments with polygyny for about 1,000 societies. He finds that 91% of countries with 'general polygyny' use brideprices, whereas 62.5% of all monogamous societies do *not* use brideprices.¹² Botticini and Siow (2003) report the use of marriage payments in past civilizations. Out of 6 civilizations classified as polygynous,

¹¹In some cultures marriage payments and gifts are made in both directions (Goody and Tambiah (1973)). For the purpose of this paper, I call any net transfer coming from the groom or his family a brideprice and any net transfer from the bride's side a dowry (or negative brideprice).

¹²Here 'general polygyny' means that 20% or more of all married men are polygynous.

4 pay a positive brideprice. Out of the 9 monogamous civilizations for which data on marriage payments are available, 7 have used dowries as the predominant marriage payment.¹³

Unfortunately, no comprehensive cross-country data regarding the use of marriage payments are available for the 20th century. Therefore I have reviewed individual country studies conducted by anthropologists and ethnographers for each of the countries with high levels of polygyny listed in Appendix A. Brideprices are used in all of these countries, with the exception of Bangladesh, which has experienced a recent transition from brideprice to dowry payments. I therefore conclude that the available evidence is in line with the main channel emphasized in this paper: marriage law affects the direction of marriage payments.

2.3 Family Life in Sub-Saharan Africa

In this section, I will provide some details on how family life is organized in most of Sub-Saharan Africa. In Section 3, I will then lay out a stylized model of marriage, fertility, and savings decisions, which captures the main features of these family institutions.

Most cultures in SSA are patrilineal and patrilocal, which means that family life is centered around the lineage as defined through male descendants and that upon marriage a woman moves to where her husband's family is located. Power in these families lies in the hands of the old and the male (Caldwell 1978). This means that men make most decisions and that older men do not work much.¹⁴

The purpose of marriage in Sub-Saharan Africa is reproduction. Marriage is defined as the transfer of a woman's reproductive rights from her father to a husband (Caldwell (1976a), p.361, Caldwell and Caldwell (1987), p. 420).¹⁵ In exchange for the reproductive right, the groom pays a brideprice to the father. Interestingly, fathers frequently do not help their sons pay a brideprice for their own brides. Instead, men often use the revenue

¹³Most civilizations use several types of payment simultaneously. The statement made here refers to the net payment (see the last column in Table 1 in Botticini and Siow (2003)).

¹⁴Caldwell (1976a) reports that even "middle-aged men spend much of their time [...] drinking in coffee shops while their sons take over the heavier field work."

¹⁵This is interpreted quite literally, so that any children are regarded as the husband's legitimate offspring, irrespective of biological fatherhood. Sometimes this is carried out to the extreme, where fatherhood is attributed to the husband even after his death (Caldwell, Caldwell, and Orubuloye 1992).

from a daughter's marriage to buy additional wives for themselves, while sons marry late to give them time to accumulate enough wealth to afford a wife.¹⁶

Traditional belief systems in Sub-Saharan Africa put great emphasis on the succession of generations, which manifests itself in an extreme fear of dying without children (Caldwell and Caldwell 1987). Since a man acquires reproductive rights at marriage, he typically makes all fertility decisions. Men prefer to marry women who are significantly younger because this will make them more submissive and more likely to accept their decisions. While women have little say in the fertility decision, they do bear a large share of the costs. As Caldwell and Caldwell (1987) report, "the day-to-day care of children, and to a large extent their economic support, is mostly the responsibility of their mother." Indeed, women and their children often constitute a separate economic unit (Caldwell (1976b), p. 328). Especially in West Africa, women are likely to earn their own incomes by trading (Caldwell, Caldwell, and Orubuloye 1992). Typically men and women also remain spatially separate, and each wife might have her own hut within a larger complex (Quale (1992), p. 238). For example, survey results from Nigeria in 1973 show that "fewer than one-third of wives normally eat with their husbands or sit together on occasion" (Caldwell 1976a).

Another characteristic is that a woman's rights are severely restricted unless she is attached to a man, that is, a father, husband, or son. For example, Caldwell, Caldwell, and Orubuloye (1992) report that wives farm on land that belongs to their husbands' lineages and have no right to any land of their own. Practically, this means that an unmarried woman has difficulties earning her livelihood after her father's death. Even before a patriarch's death, there is no clearly defined role for unmarried daughters in most traditional families, and hence they are considered costly for the fathers (Caldwell (1976b), p. 330).

3 The Model

In this section I describe a theoretical model of marriage, fertility and savings that incorporates the following main features of family life in SSA: men make most decisions, the goal of reproduction is to maximize the number of offsprings, fathers sell their daughters,

¹⁶See Quale (1988), page 91, Goody and Tambiah (1973), page 8.

and unmarried daughters are costly.

I analyze an infinite-horizon, overlapping generations model. People differ by age and sex, but there is no further heterogeneity. Abstracting from heterogeneity keeps the model tractable and allows me to focus on the main mechanism.¹⁷ People live for 3 periods: as children, and as young and old adults. Only adults make choices. Young adults are endowed with one unit of labor which they supply inelastically at wage w_t . People derive utility from consumption in both adult periods of their lives and from the total number of children, f_t . The utility function is given by $\ln(c_t^y) + \beta \ln(c_t^o) + \gamma \ln(f_t)$, where β is the discount factor and γ captures how much a person cares about fertility. The superscripts denote consumption when young and old, respectively.

There are men and women in this economy. In order to have children, people need to be married and both spouses have to be fecund. I assume that women are only fecund during young adulthood, while men are fecund during both adult periods, which makes the timing of births over the life cycle of a man endogenous in the model.¹⁸ Let f_t^y denote the number of children he has when young and f_{t+1}^o the number of children he has when old. Then $f_t = f_t^y + f_{t+1}^o$. Note that children born at different ages enter the utility function in exactly the same way. This is meant to capture the emphasis on lineage survival in Sub-Saharan African cultures. Since the reward for having a large family is “approval in this world and beyond,” rather than the utility benefit from spending time with one’s children, the timing of births is irrelevant.¹⁹ This idea is similar to the goal of genetic survival emphasized in the demographic biology literature. It should be added that this assumption is not innocuous. It plays a major role in the analysis because it implicitly introduces a male preference for late marriage. Alternatively, one could explicitly model a preference for younger wives, which would lead to similar results.

There is a decentralized marriage market in which men trade reproductive rights in women. Since women are only fecund as young adults, there is only a market for young adult women.²⁰ Let p_t denote the price of a bride at time t . The potential buyers in the

¹⁷Typically richer men are more likely to be polygynous, an empirical regularity I cannot address here. Gould, Moav, and Simhon (2004) and Lagerloef (2004) model heterogeneity in male skills explicitly.

¹⁸Siow (1998) analyzes how differential fecundity affects gender roles in monogamous unions.

¹⁹See Caldwell and Caldwell (1987), who discuss the religious belief system and its effect on fertility.

²⁰I abstract from child betrothal, which can be interpreted as a future of a marriage contract, since I am more interested in the spousal age gap than the actual age at marriage.

bride market are adult men of both ages. Let n_t^y and n_{t+1}^o denote the number of brides a man acquires when he is young and old respectively. The sellers in the bride market are fathers who arrange marriages for their daughters. A man is fecund in both periods of his life, but it takes a period for a daughter to become fecund, thus, a father will sell his daughters either when he is old or arrange the marriage for after his death. Let d_t^y be the number of daughters given into marriage when he is old and d_t^o the number of daughters that consummate marriage after his death. In addition, fathers pay a cost, a , per daughter who remains unmarried after the father's death.²¹

Having children is costly for both fathers and mothers. I assume that if one woman has f_t children, the total cost is $2\epsilon f_t^2$ and that this is shared equally between husband and wife. This convex cost function captures the impossibility for one woman to have an infinite number of children. It can also be interpreted as additional children taxing a woman's health by increasing amounts.²² In Tertilt (2003) I show that most of the results carry through for a general convex cost function. The assumption of equal cost sharing implies that an age i polygynous man with n_t^i fertile wives and f_t^i new children (i.e. $\frac{f_t^i}{n_t^i}$ children per wife) will pay a total cost of $\epsilon(\frac{f_t^i}{n_t^i})^2 n_t^i = \epsilon \frac{f_t^{i2}}{n_t^i}$. For a man, the per child cost falls if he has more wives. From a man's perspective, this is equivalent to a simple constant returns to scale production technology with wives and consumption goods as inputs and children as output.²³ I assume that half the children are male, and

²¹A utility cost for unmarried daughters leads to essentially the same results.

²²One might argue that there is a range of increasing returns to scale in child production. Since biologically there is a maximum number of children a woman can bear, increasing returns cannot be true in the limit. Hence, while the cost function might be s-shaped, it has to be convex in the limit.

²³The corresponding production function is $f = \sqrt{\frac{n^g}{\epsilon}}$, where g is the consumption good input.

half are female.²⁴ The choice problem of a man can now be summarized as²⁵

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max \ln(c^y) + \beta \ln(c^o) + \gamma \ln(f^y + f^o) \\
& \text{s.t. } c^y + s^y + pn^y + \epsilon \frac{(f^y)^2}{n^y} \leq w \\
& \quad c^o + s^o + pn^o + \epsilon \frac{(f^o)^2}{n^o} \leq (1 + r - \delta)s^y + pd^y \\
& \quad a\left(\frac{f^y + f^o}{2} - d^y - d^o\right) \leq (1 + r - \delta)s^o + pd^o \\
& \quad d^y \leq \frac{f^y}{2}, \quad d^o \leq \frac{f^o}{2}, \quad c^y, c^o, d^y, d^o, f^y, f^o, n^y, n^o \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The first constraint is the budget constraint when young. The income during this period is the wage, w . Expenditures are made for consumption, the purchase of wives, and the cost of raising children. The second constraint is the budget constraint when old. Old men have no labor income but receive returns on their savings. They can buy wives, have children and arrange marriages for their daughters. The third constraint is a budget constraint for after the man's death. This allows a man to borrow against revenues from a daughter's marriage after his death. When the brideprice is negative, then s^o can be interpreted as a "trust fund" a man sets up to ensure his daughters can marry even after his death. Alternatively, if he does not arrange a marriage for some of his daughters, then he incurs a cost a per unmarried daughter. The last two constraints ensure that a man cannot sell more daughters than the number of female children he has in the relevant period. Finally, the usual non-negativity constraints apply. Note that there are no restrictions on s^y and s^o , i.e. there are no borrowing constraints.

Women

Women have the same utility function and the same endowments as men but make fewer choices. A woman's reproductive rights are sold by her father and she obeys her husband's fertility decisions. She incurs a cost $\epsilon \bar{f}^2$ to bear \bar{f} children. If she does not have a husband, she cannot bear children.²⁶ Note that in a polygynous marriage, the number of children for a husband is the sum of the children with each of his wives. Since

²⁴Edlund (1999) analyzes endogenous sex choice. This is of limited relevance for the questions addressed here because empirical sex ratios deviate no more than 8% from one (World Bank 2003).

²⁵Time subscripts are suppressed for ease of exposition.

²⁶In this case, a woman would be willing to pay for marriage. However, I assume that marriages can only be arranged by fathers. Hence, no marriage prices are included in the woman's problem.

it is optimal for a man to have the same number of children with each wife in a given period, it follows that fertility of husband and wife are related by $\bar{f} = \frac{f^i}{n^i}$, where $i = y, o$ is the age of her husband. Taking her fertility \bar{f} as exogenous, a woman solves²⁷

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c_f^y, c_f^o, s_f} \quad & \ln(c_f^y) + \beta \ln(c_f^o) + \gamma \ln(\bar{f}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & c_f^y + s_f + \epsilon \bar{f}^2 \leq w \\ & c_f^o \leq (1 + r - \delta) s_f \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Then, a woman's optimal saving decision is

$$s_f = \frac{\beta(w - \epsilon \bar{f}^2)}{1 + \beta} \quad . \quad (3)$$

Monogamous Society

The monogamous society has the additional constraint that a man cannot marry more than one wife. Thus, the man's problem is the same as (1) with the additional constraint: $n^y + n^o \leq 1$. The woman's problem is exactly the same as (2).

Population Dynamics

Let M_t be the number of young adult men alive in period t , call this generation t . The number of men in $t + 1$ is determined by the number of men in t and their fertility. Formally, the law of motion is $M_{t+1} = \frac{1}{2}[M_t f_t^y + M_{t-1} f_t^o]$. Later I will analyze balanced growth paths of this economy, i.e. equilibria where population and output grow at a constant rate and per capita variables are constant. Let $\eta = \frac{M_{t+1}}{M_t}$ denote the population growth factor. Then the law of motion can be written as

$$\eta^2 = \frac{1}{2}[\eta f^y + f^o] \quad . \quad (4)$$

Production

There is an aggregate technology that uses capital and labor to produce the consumption good. I assume a standard Cobb-Douglas production function, $Y_t = AK_t^\alpha L_t^{1-\alpha}$. The representative firm maximizes profits. Each young adult supplies one unit of labor inelastically, hence aggregate labor supply is $L_t = 2M_t$. In equilibrium, the capital stock used for production in $t + 1$ is equal to aggregate savings in t . Men save/borrow when

²⁷The problem of an unmarried women is the same but with $\bar{f} = 0$.

young and old, while women save only when young. Hence, $K_{t+1} = (s^y + s_f)M_t + s^o M_{t-1}$. On a balanced growth path, the capital-output ratio stays constant. Dividing by Y_t , the capital-output ratio can be written as

$$\frac{K}{Y} = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{s_f + s^y + \frac{s^o}{\eta}}{2\eta} \right)^{1-\alpha} . \quad (5)$$

The expression shows that differences in the capital-output ratio between polygynous and monogamous countries may come through two different channels: differences in saving rates and differences in the population growth rate.

Output per capita is different from output per worker because children and old people do not work. The relationship between output per worker and output per capita depends on the population growth rate. On the balanced growth path, output per capita is

$$Y_{pc} = \frac{Y_t}{2M_t + 2M_{t-1} + 2M_{t-1}} = \frac{\frac{Y}{L}}{1 + \eta^* + \frac{1}{\eta^*}} ,$$

where output per worker is equal to $\frac{Y}{L} = A^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{K}{Y}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$. Faster growing populations have lower output per capita, *ceteris paribus*.

Definition of Equilibrium

I focus on balanced growth path equilibria. Aggregate variables will be growing on such a balanced growth path due to endogenous population growth, while per capita variables will all be constant.

In addition to optimizing behavior and the usual market clearing conditions, there is a marriage market clearing condition. The supply of brides depends on the number of marriages fathers want to arrange for their daughters. Aggregate supply of brides in t is $d_t^y M_{t-1} + d_t^o M_{t-2}$. Aggregate demand will come from young and old men and is equal to $n_t^y M_t + n_t^o M_{t-1}$. On the balanced growth path, marriage market clearing simplifies to

$$d^y \eta + d^o = n^y \eta^2 + n^o \eta . \quad (6)$$

Definition 1 *A balanced growth path (BGP) for the economy where polygyny is allowed is an allocation: consumption (c^y, c^o, c^y, c^o) , savings (s^y, s^o, s_f) , number of wives $n = (n^y, n^o)$, numbers of children $f = (f^y, f^o)$, and numbers of daughters sold $d = (d^y, d^o)$, prices (p, r, w) , a population growth factor η , and a capital-output ratio $\frac{K}{Y}$ such that*

- *given prices, $(c^y, c^o, s^y, s^o, n, f, d)$ solves the man's problem (1);*

- taking prices and (\bar{f}, d) as given, (c_f^y, c_f^o, s_f) solves the woman's problem (2), where \bar{f} is fertility per wife decided by her husband;
- population dynamics evolves according to (4);
- $\frac{K}{Y}$ is given by (5);
- the market for brides clears (6);
- profit maximization holds: $r = \frac{\alpha}{\frac{K}{Y}}$ and $w = (1 - \alpha)A^{1-\alpha} \frac{K}{Y}^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$.

The balanced growth path for the economy where polygyny is banned is defined in the same way with the only modification that the man's problem has the additional constraint: $n^y + n^o \leq 1$.

4 Characterizing the Balanced Growth Path

Whether polygyny is allowed matters both qualitatively and quantitatively. In this section, I characterize the BGPs under polygyny and monogamy.²⁸ Analytical results can be derived for the sign of the brideprice, the demographic structure, and the relationship between population growth, fertility, and the average number of wives. The entire model cannot be solved analytically, thus I will present numerical results in Section 5.²⁹ Before turning to the general equilibrium analysis, I will first briefly discuss the optimal timing of marriage and procreation, given prices.

4.1 Optimal Timing of Marriage and Child Birth

Women are fecund during only one period, which implies that for a man the marriage and procreation age must be equal. Further, I have assumed that men are indifferent about the timing of births from a utility perspective. However, the effective costs of marriage and procreation are affected by their timing. For a man it is optimal to marry and have

²⁸The proof of equilibrium existence is omitted as there are no non-convexities or discontinuities which could make existence a problem. However, even if an equilibrium exists, there is no guarantee that a BGP equilibrium exists. Indeed for some parameter values no non-trivial (i.e. $K > 0$) BGP exists.

²⁹The equation determining the equilibrium brideprice under monogamy is a highly non-linear function, while under polygyny, equilibrium fertility is the solution of a polynomial equation of degree 4.

children whenever it is cheapest in discounted terms. If marriage and children are a net cost and the interest rate is positive, then obviously it is better to postpone these costs. However, marriage may be a net revenue (if the price is negative) and children may yield revenues when they are sold. The relevant consideration thus involves a comparison of these overall costs and benefits, which depend on several factors including the brideprice and the desired number of children. A closer look at the budget constraints illustrates this point. Combining the three constraints into one intertemporal budget constraint yields³⁰

$$c^y + \frac{c^o}{1 - \delta + r} \leq w + n^y \left[\frac{p \frac{f^y}{2n^y}}{1 - \delta + r} - p - \epsilon \left(\frac{f^y}{n^y} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{n^o}{1 + r - \delta} \left[\frac{p \frac{f^o}{2n^o}}{1 - \delta + r} - p - \epsilon \left(\frac{f^o}{n^o} \right)^2 \right] \quad (7)$$

From the budget constraint it is obvious that a man would never choose to marry during both periods in his life. From equation (7) it is also clear that, as long as the net interest rate is positive, it is optimal to marry early ($n^y > 0, n^o = 0$) if $\left[\frac{p \frac{f^i}{2n^i}}{1 - \delta + r} - p - \epsilon \left(\frac{f^i}{n^i} \right)^2 \right] > 0$, while a negative term is consistent only with late marriage as the optimal choice.

4.2 Polygyny

Using the analysis of the preceding section, I can now discuss the characteristics of the polygynous BGP.

Proposition 1 *If polygyny is allowed, then any BGP has the following characteristics:*

1. *The brideprice is strictly positive, $p > 0$.*
2. *All daughters marry, $d^y = \frac{f^y}{2}, d^o = \frac{f^o}{2}$.*
3. *Men marry and have children when old ($n^y = 0, n^o > 0, f^y = 0, f^o > 0$).*
4. *Net interest rates are positive: $r - \delta > 0$.*

Proof. Part 1 is very intuitive. Suppose $p \leq 0$. Then a man would buy an infinite number of wives because this would strictly decrease child-rearing costs, i.e. provide the same utility at a lower cost. This cannot be an equilibrium. Part 2 follows immediately

³⁰This expression assumes all daughters are sold at price p . This is without loss of generality since not selling a daughter will only be optimal if $p = -a$, which implies that single and married daughters enter the budget constraint symmetrically at the equilibrium prices.

from Part 1: given a positive brideprice, it is optimal for a man to sell all his daughters. Part 3 follows from the budget constraint (7) as follows. First note that neither of the terms in square brackets can be strictly positive in equilibrium because if either of them were, a man would demand an infinite number of wives, which cannot be an equilibrium. Consider two cases: (i) $r - \delta > 0$. In this case, it is cheaper to have children in the second period. Since f^y and f^o enter symmetrically into the utility function, men would always choose $f^o > 0, n^o > 0$ and $f^y = n^y = 0$ in this case. (ii) $r - \delta < 0$. In this case, by the same logic as before, having children early in life is cheaper: $f^y > 0, n^y > 0$. From the first order conditions with respect to n^y , it follows that $p = \epsilon \left(\frac{f^y}{n^y}\right)^2$ which reduces the term in square brackets to $\frac{p \frac{f^y}{n^y}}{1 - \delta + r}$. As argued before, this term has to be weakly negative, which implies $p \leq 0$. However, a non-positive brideprice contradicts Part 1, and hence this cannot be an equilibrium. This contradiction also proves Part 4. \square

Using Proposition 1, it is possible to solve for all variables as a function of the equilibrium number of children per man. The population dynamics equation (4) gives the population growth factor: $\eta = \sqrt{\frac{f}{2}}$. Market clearing for brides (6) together with the population growth factor gives the number of wives $n^o = \sqrt{\frac{f}{2}}$. Therefore as long as women have at least two children, polygyny happens in equilibrium despite a balanced sex ratio and a homogenous population.³¹ Polygyny is feasible because of a growing population and spousal age gaps. Finally, the total fertility rate is $\frac{f}{n^o} = \sqrt{2f}$. Using these results, the man's problem simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{c^y, f, n, s} \ln(c^y) + \beta \ln(c^o) + \gamma \ln(f) \\ & s.t. \quad c^y + s \leq w \\ & \quad c^o + \epsilon \frac{f^2}{n} + pn \leq (1 + r - \delta)s + \frac{p \frac{f}{2}}{1 + r - \delta} . \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The first order conditions of this problem are³²

$$f : \quad \frac{\beta}{c^o} 2\epsilon \frac{f}{n} = \frac{\gamma}{f} + \frac{p\beta}{2c^o(1 + r - \delta)} \tag{9}$$

$$n : \quad p = \epsilon \left(\frac{f}{n}\right)^2 \tag{10}$$

$$s : \quad \frac{1}{c^y} = \frac{\beta}{c^o}(1 + r - \delta) . \tag{11}$$

³¹Becker (1974) argued that either a sex ratio imbalance or heterogeneity is necessary for polygyny.

³²The first order conditions are necessary and sufficient. A simple redefinition of variables shows that this is a convex problem. I provide details in Tertilt (2003).

Equation (9) equates the marginal costs and benefits of having children. Note that the marginal benefit consists of two parts: the direct utility from a large family and the revenues from selling daughters. Equation (10) equates the marginal costs and benefits from marriage. The marginal cost is the brideprice, while the benefits are the change in the cost of child-rearing. Equation (11) compares the marginal utility from consuming when young to the discounted marginal utility from consumption when old.

This discussion, together with Definition 1 and Proposition 1, gives a system of equations that can be used to construct the polygynous BGP equilibrium.

Proposition 2 *A BGP for this economy can be constructed by solving the following system of 13 unknowns ($c^y, c^o, s, f, n, c_f^y, c_f^o, s_f, w, r, \frac{K}{Y}, \eta, p$) and 13 equations: two binding budget constraints from Problem (8), two factor price conditions from Definition 1, three first order conditions: (9), (10), (11), two binding budget constraints from Problem (2), $s_f = \frac{\beta(w - \epsilon(\frac{f}{n})^2)}{1 + \beta}$ from Equation (3), the bride market clearing condition $n = \sqrt{\frac{f}{2}}$, the law of motion for population dynamics $\eta = \sqrt{\frac{f}{2}}$, and capital market clearing: $\frac{K}{Y} = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{s_f + s}{2\eta} \right)^{1-\alpha}$.*

4.3 Monogamy

The predictions for monogamy are more varied. For example, if the parameters in the polygynous model are such that population growth is exactly zero, then the equilibrium number of wives is also one and monogamy arises endogenously. For this special case it is irrelevant whether polygyny is allowed or not. This case is not a very interesting one, since population growth is positive in almost all developing countries. To exclude this case, I focus on parameters that imply positive population growth, that is, γ is high enough and ϵ low enough so that the total fertility rate is higher than 2.³³

Focusing on positive population growth, there are two distinct possible outcomes. Note that universal monogamous marriage for women is only possible in equilibrium if there is no age gap. For this to be an equilibrium, the brideprice has to adjust such that men (weakly) prefer to marry when young. Depending on parameters, the brideprice

³³Of course, fertility is an endogenous variable. Identifying conditions on parameters that guarantee an equilibrium total fertility rate of more than 2 is difficult since no analytical solution for fertility exists. However, there are many examples that satisfy this assumption.

that assures this indifference is negative and so large in absolute value that men prefer not to marry off their daughters and instead pay the cost a . If this is the case, then there is no BGP equilibrium where all women marry. Instead, there is an age gap and a fraction of daughters remain unmarried. The number of daughters that marries is then equal to the population growth rate (this follows from (6)), while the total number of daughters is $\frac{f^o}{2} = \eta^2$ from (4). Together these equations yield that only a fraction $1/\eta$ of daughters marry. Thus, $\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}$ percent of all women never marry. For this to be an equilibrium, fathers need to be indifferent regarding their daughters' marital prospects, hence $p = -a$. Proposition 3 summarizes this discussion.

Proposition 3 1) *If there is a BGP with positive population growth in which all women marry, then there is no spousal age gap ($f^y > 0, n^y = 1, f^o = n^o = 0$) and $p \geq -a$.*
2) *If there is a BGP with positive population growth in which some women remain unmarried, then there is a spousal age gap ($f^o > 0, n^o = 1, f^y = n^y = 0$), the fraction of unmarried women is $\frac{\eta-1}{\eta}$, and $p = -a$.*

Large numbers of single women are not observed historically. Hence, in the following I focus on BGPs in which all women marry and men sell all their daughters (case 1). Conditional on marrying young, the man's problem can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} V^y(p) &= \max \ln(c^y) + \beta \ln(c^o) + \gamma \ln(f) \\ \text{s.t. } c^y + s + p + \epsilon f^2 &\leq w \\ c^o &\leq (1 + r - \delta)s + p \frac{f}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The first order conditions are

$$f : \quad \frac{\gamma}{f} = \frac{2\epsilon f}{c^y} - \frac{p\beta}{2c^o} \quad (13)$$

$$s : \quad \frac{1}{c^y} = \frac{\beta}{c^o} (1 - \delta + r) . \quad (14)$$

In equilibrium, the brideprice adjusts to assure that marrying young is (weakly) better than marrying when old:

$$V^y(p) \geq V^o(p) \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V^o(p) &= \max \ln(c^y) + \beta \ln(c^o) + \gamma \ln(f) \\ \text{s.t. } c^y + \frac{c^o + p + \epsilon f^2}{(1 + r - \delta)} &\leq w + \frac{p \frac{f}{2}}{(1 + r - \delta)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4 below summarizes this discussion by giving a system of equations that can be used to construct a monogamous BGP equilibrium.

Proposition 4 *A monogamous balanced growth path with positive population growth in which all women marry can be constructed by solving the following system of 12 unknowns $(c^y, c^o, s, f, c_f^y, c_f^o, s_f, w, r, \frac{K}{Y}, \eta, p)$ and 12 equations: two binding budget constraints from problem (12), two factor price conditions from Definition 1, two first order conditions: (13) and (14), two binding budget constraints from Problem (2), $s_f = \frac{\beta(w - \epsilon f^2)}{1 + \beta}$ from Equation (3), capital market clearing: $\frac{K}{Y} = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{s_f + s}{2\eta} \right)^{1-\alpha}$, the population law of motion $\eta = \frac{f}{2}$ and equation (15).*

A main channel emphasized in this paper is the endogenous brideprice. One would thus like to know the model's prediction for the sign of the brideprice. First note from Proposition 3 that any BGP with positive population growth and some unmarried women has a strictly negative brideprice. However, as argued before, the case of universal marriage for women seems the more relevant one. One cannot solve for the brideprice explicitly in this case, which makes general statements difficult. However, numerical examples with a negative equilibrium brideprice are abundant, which is in sharp contrast to the polygynous economy, where the brideprice is *always* strictly positive.

In the end, the sign of the brideprice is a quantitative question, and for the calibrated model discussed in the next section it turns out to be strictly negative.

In fact, I have not been able to construct a single numerical example with a positive equilibrium brideprice in a monogamous economy with positive net interest rates and positive population growth. I conjecture that this result is quite general. The intuition is as follows. At given prices, r and w , it is indeed possible that $V^y(p) = V^o(p)$ for some $p > 0$. However, this equality seems to imply that having children is very profitable, which means that men want to have them early and want to borrow against future revenues from the sale of daughters to finance the current expenditures on child-rearing. Generally, these forces seem to generate a negative aggregate savings rate, which cannot be an equilibrium. To prove this conjecture, one would like to derive an expression for the aggregate capital stock as a function of the brideprice only. However, this is not possible due to the lack of closed form solutions.

5 Calibration and Numerical Results

The main hypothesis of this paper is that polygyny decreases savings and increases fertility, which lowers the capital stock and thus depresses output per capita. This section assesses the importance of these channels quantitatively. The counterfactual experiment is to evaluate what would happen to a polygynous country if a marriage law requiring monogamy was introduced. To do this experiment, I calibrate the polygynous BGP to the “average polygynous country” as described in Section 2.1. I then compute the monogamous BGP using the same parameters and compare the two BGPs.

Note that the cost of single women, a , cancels out of the polygynous equilibrium and therefore cannot be calibrated. If a is high, then all daughters will marry in the monogamous equilibrium and the magnitude of a is irrelevant. I consider this case to be the relevant one for the quantitative exercise, as marriage rates are close to 100% in all developing countries and almost always higher for women than for men.³⁴

Finally, there is a multiplicity in the equilibrium dowry. For the numerical results, I assume that the dowry is such that it makes men exactly indifferent between n^y and n^o . That is, I assume that (15) holds with equality. Other monogamous equilibria involve higher dowries, which makes children even more costly and hence decreases further the incentives to have children. Therefore, the numerical results give a lower bound on the savings rate and an upper bound on the fertility rate under monogamy. In other words, the comparison between the polygynous and the monogamous economy would be even starker if one considered any of the other equilibria.

5.1 Calibrating the Polygynous Economy

To determine the appropriate values for the parameters, some assumptions linking the model to observable data need to be made. A model period is chosen to be 15 years because that is roughly the age when fecundity starts for most women. Moreover, life expectancy in most of the countries of interest is between 40 and 50 years, which makes three 15-year periods an appropriate choice. Since this model abstracts from mortality,

³⁴See UN Population Division (2000). Moreover, the BGP of case 2 is arguably not very stable, as single women would have a strong desire to pay for their own marriages, which is ruled out by the assumption of complete paternal control.

I define fertility in the model as the number of children who survive until at least age five.

The model has 6 parameters that need to be calibrated to derive quantitative results: two utility parameters γ and β , three technology parameters A , α and δ and one parameter in the child-production technology, ϵ . These parameters are chosen to match data for the average polygynous country from Section 2.1.

The TFP parameter A is a pure scale parameter used to normalize per capita output to the level in the data, \$975. The annual discount factor is set equal to 0.95 in line with the macro literature. Annual depreciation of 7% corresponds to $\delta = 1 - (1 - 0.07)^{15} = 0.66$. Following Gollin (2002) I set the capital-share of income to 40 percent, $\alpha = 0.4$. The remaining 2 parameters, γ and ϵ , are calibrated to match the surviving number of children and saving rates from Table 1. Table 2 summarizes the calibration.

Table 2: Calibration

Parameter	Value	matched to fit
γ	0.58	surviving number of children = 5.01
β	0.46	annual discount factor = 0.95
ϵ	44	$\frac{S}{Y} = 13\%$
A	433	GDP p.c. normalized to 975
α	0.4	income share of capital = 40%
δ	0.66	7% annual depreciation

5.2 Results

Table 3 summarizes the main results. It shows that allowing for polygyny induces men to marry several wives, to have many children, and to save little. When polygyny is banned, fertility falls and savings rise, which compares well to the data.³⁵ The monogamous BGP has a fertility rate that is 42% lower than the polygynous one, compared to a 29% lower

³⁵As stressed in the introduction, I focus on differences in marriage law and abstract from all other differences across countries. I therefore prefer to interpret my results as indicating what would happen in SSA if monogamy was enforced, rather than as an explanation for cross-country differences.

Table 3: Numerical Results

	Polygyny model & data	Monogamy model	Monogamy data
Surviving fertility	5.01	2.91	3.57
Savings rate	0.13	0.22	0.19
GDP per capita	975	2,648	2,798

fertility rate in the data. The model also predicts that savings are much higher under monogamy, which is also seen in the data. Output per capita is 2.7 times the output in the polygynous economy, while in the data it is 2.59 times as high. I show in Appendix B that these results are fairly robust.

Contrary to standard models, small differences in savings rates lead to relatively large differences in GDP per capita in this model. This amplification is due to the difference in population growth rates which affect GDP per capita through two additional channels. First, a higher population growth rate dilutes the capital stock more, that is, it leads to a lower capital output ratio for a given savings rate. Secondly, since only young adults supply labor, a higher population growth rate leads to a higher dependency ratio, which means that output *per capita* is lower for a given level of output *per worker*. The ratio of output per capita in the monogamous (m) vs. polygynous (p) society is related to the ratio of investment rates as follows:

$$\frac{Y_{pc}^m}{Y_{pc}^p} = \frac{1 + \eta^p + 1/\eta^p}{1 + \eta^m + 1/\eta^m} \left(\frac{[\eta^p - 1 + \delta] \frac{I^m}{Y^m}}{[\eta^m - 1 + \delta] \frac{I^p}{Y^p}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}. \quad (16)$$

As noted in the introduction, countries obviously differ by more than marriage law. It is also well-established that TFP differences matter. Hence, the fact that this model can explain all of the GDP differences through observable factors is not necessarily a success. Note, however, that the results above imply too large differences in the population growth rate relative to the data (see Table 4), which makes the amplification in (16) too large. This happens because the analysis ignores mortality differences beyond age 5. In Appendix B, I calibrate the model to a lower fertility rate, which lowers the population growth rate and thereby shrinks the GDP p.c. differences to a factor of two.³⁶

³⁶Note that to match both fertility and population growth rates from the data, one would need to

Table 4: Further Implications of the Model

	Polygyny		Monogamy	
	Model	Data	Model	Data
Wives per man	2.5	1.34	1	1
Age gap	15	6.4	0	2.8
Annual population growth	6.3%	2.7%	2.5%	2.2%
Brideprice	\$158		-\$2,412	
Total marriage payments/GDP	5.2%		14.6%	
Total child-rearing costs/GDP	29.0%		4.5%	
Male utility	12.28		12.73	
Female utility	11.27		12.46	

Table 4 summarizes further implications of the model. The first part compares the model's implied average number of wives, population growth rates, and age gaps to the data. The model does less well in replicating these demographic features quantitatively. This weakness is partly due to the limited number of periods, and partly due to abstracting from mortality, as argued above. However, the model is able to replicate the demographic differences qualitatively. Due to the limited number of periods in the model, the age gap can only be either 0 or 15. The model therefore predicts an age gap of 15 years for the polygynous economy, compared to zero years for the monogamous economy. In the data, the age gaps are 6.4 and 2.8 years respectively.

Table 4 also reports the size of marriage payments relative to GDP in the model. Total marriage payments are higher under monogamy. The equilibrium brideprice in the monogamous economy is $-\$2,421$, which means that a young man at marriage receives a payment amounting to about 50% of his wage. The equilibrium brideprice under polygyny is only \$158. This means that an old man who marries the equilibrium number of wives has to pay a total of \$395, or 17% of the wage of a young man, to pay for his brides.

The table also shows that total expenditures on children are much higher under polygyny than under monogamy. In fact, 29% of GDP is spent on producing children in

introduce mortality explicitly into the model.

the polygynous economy, while only 4.5% of resources are devoted to child production in the monogamous economy. This large difference is partly due to higher fertility in the polygynous economy and partly due to a higher average child cost. These additional predictions could be used to further assess the model. Since data on these magnitudes is not easily available, this task is left for future work.

Finally, Table 4 shows that steady state utility for both men and women is higher under monogamy than polygyny. However, this result does not necessarily imply polygyny is inefficient. The steady state comparison ignores utility along the transition and therefore no conclusions about dynamic inefficiency can be drawn. Moreover, the concept of Pareto efficiency is not well-defined in the context of endogenous fertility models.³⁷

Note that the polygynous equilibrium is similar to an overlapping generations model with a pay-as-you-go social security system, where the old age pension is set such that the equilibrium capital stock is below the Golden Rule. To see the analogy, simply interpret the payments that young men make to old men in form of brideprices as social security transfers. Of course the analogy is not perfect, as the amount of the transfers is endogenous here, while it would be exogenous in a model with social security.

6 Conclusion

In this paper I analyze the macroeconomic consequences of allowing men to marry multiple wives. I find that banning polygyny has large effects along several dimensions. The increased demand for wives created by allowing polygyny always means that the value of a bride is strictly positive, while it may be negative when men are restricted to marrying one wife. The assumption that fathers may buy and sell daughters, but not sons, means that a positive brideprice makes children a much better investment than when the price is negative. Therefore, polygyny leads to high fertility and partially crowds out investment in physical assets. Low investment and high population growth both contribute to a lower capital-output ratio, and thereby to lower output per capita. I show that for reasonable parameter values, enforcing monogamy reduces fertility by 40%, increases savings by 70% and raises output per person by 170%. These figures suggest that, al-

³⁷In Golosov, Jones, and Tertilt (2004), we propose several alternative efficiency concepts that can be used in models with endogenous fertility.

though the practice of polygyny is certainly not the sole cause of poverty, it might be an important contributing factor to the continuing underdevelopment of Sub-Saharan Africa.

It might be difficult to enforce a marriage law that prescribes monogamy. Several countries have introduced such laws without much effect on actual marriage behavior. An alternative policy often proposed by development institutions like the World Bank is to give more rights to women. Allowing women to make their own marriage decisions would significantly reduce the return on wives for men. Thus, such a policy should also increase the incentive to invest in physical assets. Results not reported here show that this policy increases both the savings rate and GDP per capita by roughly 60%, while the effects on fertility and the number of wives are small, which shows that a smaller increase in living standards might be achievable without a change in the marriage law.

Another interesting extension would be to see how the analysis extends to family altruism in the sense of Barro and Becker (1989). Altruistic parents would take the trade-off between quantity and quality of children into account. Altruism could potentially induce parents to have fewer children and save more, leading to a higher capital stock and higher wages for the children. It is unclear how important this effect would be quantitatively. The effect of altruism on savings would be present in both family arrangements, so that the quantitative *differences* between the two environments might not change much.

References

- ACEMOGLU, D., S. JOHNSON, AND J. A. ROBINSON (2001): “The Colonial Origins of Comparative Development: An Empirical Investigation,” *American Economic Review*, 91(5), 1369–1401.
- ANDERSON, S. (2003): “Why Dowry Payments Declined with Modernization in Europe but are Rising in India,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 111(2), 269–310.
- BANKOLE, A., AND S. SINGH (1998): “Couples’ Fertility and Contraceptive Decision-Making in Developing Countries: Hearing the Man’s Voice,” *International Family Planning Perspectives*, 24(1).

- BARRO, R. J., AND G. S. BECKER (1989): "Fertility Choice in a Model of Economic Growth," *Econometrica*, 57(2), 481–501.
- BECKER, G. S. (1974): "A Theory of Marriage: Part II," *Journal of Political Economy*, 82(2), S11–S26.
- BERGSTROM, T. C. (1994): "On the Economics of Polygyny," *CREST Working Paper Series*, 94-11, University of Michigan.
- BOTTICINI, M., AND A. SIOW (2003): "Why Dowries?," *American Economic Review*, 93(4), 1385–98.
- BROWN, P. (2002): "Dowry, Brideprice, and Household Bargaining in Rural China," *University of Michigan, mimeo*.
- CALDWELL, J. (1976a): "Marriage, the Family and Fertility in Sub-Saharan Africa with Special Reference to Research Programmes in Ghana and Nigeria," in *Family and Marriage in Some African Countries*, ed. by S. Huzayyin, and G. Acsadi, no. 6 in Research Monograph Series, chap. 16, pp. 325–335. Cairo Demographic Centre, Cairo.
- CALDWELL, J. C. (1978): "A Theory of Fertility: From High Plateau to Destabilization," *Population and Development Review*, 4(4), 553–577.
- CALDWELL, J. C., AND P. CALDWELL (1987): "The Cultural Context of High Fertility in sub-Saharan Africa," *Population and Development Review*, 13(3), 409–437.
- CALDWELL, J. C., P. CALDWELL, AND I. ORUBULOYE (1992): "The Family and Sexual Networking in Sub-Saharan Africa: Historical Regional Differences and Present-Day Implications," *Population Studies*, 46(3), 385–410.
- CALDWELL, P. (1976b): "Issues of Marriage and Marital Change: Tropical Africa and the Middle East," in *Family and Marriage in Some African Countries*, ed. by S. Huzayyin, and G. Acsadi, no. 6 in Research Monograph Series, chap. 16, pp. 325–335. Cairo Demographic Centre, Cairo.
- DEMOGRAPHIC AND HEALTH SURVEYS (2005): *StatCompiler*. www.measuredhs.com/statcompiler, accessed July 28, 2005.

- EDLUND, L. (1999): “Son Preference, Sex Ratios, and Marriage Patterns,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 107(6).
- (2001): “Dear Son - Expensive Daughter: Do Scarce Women Pay to Marry?,” *Columbia University, mimeo*.
- EDLUND, L., AND N.-P. LAGERLOEF (2002): “Implications of Marriage Institutions for Redistribution and Growth,” *Columbia University, mimeo*.
- GALOR, O., AND D. N. WEIL (1996): “The Gender Gap, Fertility and Growth,” *The American Economic Review*, 86(3), 374–387.
- GOLLIN, D. (2002): “Getting Income Shares Right,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 110(2), 458–474.
- GOLOSOV, M., L. E. JONES, AND M. TERTILT (2004): “Efficiency with Endogenous Population Growth,” *NBER Working Paper No. 10231*.
- GOODY, J., AND S. TAMBIAH (1973): *Bridewealth and Dowry*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
- GOULD, E. D., O. MOAV, AND A. SIMHON (2004): “The Mystery of Monogamy,” *The Hebrew University Working Paper*.
- GROSSBARD, A. (1978): “Towards a Marriage Between Economics and Anthropology and a General Theory of Marriage,” *American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings*, 68(2), 33–37.
- GUNER, N. (1999): “An Economic Analysis of Family Structure: Inheritance Rules and Marriage Systems,” University of Rochester, mimeo.
- HALL, R. E., AND C. I. JONES (1999): “Why do some Countries Produce so much more Output per Worker than Others?,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114, 83–116.
- HARTUNG, J. (1982): “Polygyny and Inheritance of Wealth,” *Current Anthropology*, 23(1), 1–12.
- HERRENDORF, B., AND A. TEIXEIRA (2004): “Monopoly Rights Can Reduce Income Big Time,” *Arizona State University, mimeo*.

- LAGERLOEF, N.-P. (2004): “Sex, Equality, and Growth,” *Canadian Journal of Economics*, forthcoming.
- MURDOCK, G. (1986): “Ethnographic Atlas,” *World Cultures*, 2(4).
- PARENTE, S. L., AND E. C. PRESCOTT (1999): “Monopoly Rights: A Barrier to the Riches,” *American Economic Review*, 89, 1216–33.
- POPULATION REFERENCE BUREAU (2000): “2000 World Population Data Sheet,” .
- QUALE, R. G. (1988): *A History of Marriage Systems*. Greenwood Press, Connecticut, USA.
- (1992): *Families in Context – A World History of Population*. Greenwood Press, Westport, Connecticut.
- SIOW, A. (1998): “Differential Fecundity, Markets, and Gender Roles,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 106(2), 334–354.
- SUMMERS, R., AND A. HESTON (1991): “The Penn World Table (Mark 5): An Expanded Set of International Comparisons, 1950-1988,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*.
- TERTILT, M. (2003): “Polygyny and Poverty,” *Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Minnesota, July 2003*.
- (2004): “Dynamic Marriage Market Accounting – A Note,” *Stanford University, mimeo*.
- UN POPULATION DIVISION (2000): *World Marriage Patterns*. Department of Economic and Social Affairs, New York.
- UNITED NATIONS (1990): *Patterns of First Marriage: Timing and Prevalence*. United Nations, New York.
- WORLD BANK (2002): *World Development Indicators*. on CD-Rom.
- (2003): *World Development Indicators*. The World Bank.
- (2004): *African Development Indicators 2004*. printed copy.

ZHANG, J. (1994): "Bequests as a Public Good within Marriage: A Note," *Journal of Political Economy*, 102(1), 187–193.

ZHANG, J., AND W. CHAN (1999): "Dowry and Wife's Welfare: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis," *Journal of Political Economy*, 107(4), 786–808.

A Data Appendix

Table 5 includes all countries where more than 10% of married men have multiple wives (see also Footnote 7).³⁸

Table 5: Polygynous Countries

Country	sexrat	Pol1	Pol2	Pol3	Price	TFR	CMR	Gap	Marr1	Marr2
Bangladesh	49.6	11.3	6.3	.	BD	6.12	211	6.8	51.3	99.3
Benin	50.7	31	45.4	1.4	B	7	214	.	29.1	.
Burkina Faso	50.5	40.2	53.7	1.7	B	7.5	.	8.6	34.6	97.2
Cameroon	50	55.6	57.7	1.4	B	6.42	173	6.5	35.8	99.2
Centr African Rep.	51.2	13.3	28.5	1.3	B	5.77	.	5	42.3	99
Chad	50.5	22	39.1	.	B	6.86	235	6.1	48.6	100
Comoros	.	.	25	.	B	7.2	165	4.9	11.5	98.1
Congo, Dem. Rep.	50.5	19.5	32.1	1.3	B	6.62	210	8.3	74.2	95.4
Congo, Rep.	51	31.9	38.1	1.6	B	6.29	125	8.6	55.5	92.9
Cote d'Ivoire	49.2	20.2	34.8	1.3	B	7.41	170	7.2	27.7	98.4
Gabon	50.5	27.3	21.1	1.4	B	4.46	.	3.9	15.9	87.2
Gambia, The	50.5	35.1	20	.	B	6.5	216	9.2	43.6	100
Ghana	50.2	16.3	22.5	1.2	B	6.5	157	5.7	22.4	98.9
Guinea	49.7	37.1	53.3	1.6	B	6.08	.	7.3	49	99.7
Kenya	49.9	12.5	16	1.2	B	7.82	115	4.6	16.7	98.8
Kuwait	46.8	11.7	.	.	B	5.3	35	3.3	13.2	96.3
Liberia	49.7	.	38	1.3	B	6.8	235	6.5	35.7	93.4
Malawi	50.8	10.2	20.4	.	B	7.6	265	4.9	43.6	98.2
Mali	51	29.3	42.4	1.3	B	7.1	.	7.4	49.7	99.6
Mauritania	50.4	.	11.2	1.1	B	6.38	188	7.6	36	95.7
Mozambique	51.4	11	27.3	1.2	B	6.5	.	4.6	47.1	99.3
Niger	50.6	15.2	37.6	1.3	B	8	317	6.3	61.9	99.6
Nigeria	50.6	.	35	.	B	6.9	196	6.9	36.1	95.9
Rwanda	50.5	.	12.1	1.2	B	8.26	.	.	9.8	.
Senegal	50.2	40.7	46	1.5	B	6.82	.	8.1	43.8	95.4
Sudan	49.7	15.9	20.1	1.2	B	6.12	145	6.3	21.3	96.3
Tanzania	50.4	15.9	28	1.2	B	6.74	176	4.5	.	97.1
Togo	50.3	31.9	42.8	1.5	B	6.78	188	5.7	19.9	98.9
Uganda	50	15.8	32.2	.	B	7.22	180	4.3	49.8	96.9
Average	50.36	24.31	31.67	1.34		6.78	194.05	6.38	37.51	97.32

· indicates no data available

* Kuwait is excluded from this average because of its extremely high income due to oil reserves.

³⁸Polygynous countries where less than 10% of all marriages are polygynous are Iran, Algeria, Syrian Arab Republic, Egypt, Ethiopia, Pakistan, Morocco, Libya, Lebanon, Jordan, Tunisia, Yemen, India, Bahrain, Iraq, United Arab Emirates, Oman, and many Sub-Saharan African countries.

Data Definitions:

Sex ratio (sexrat): Percentage of the population that is female.

Pol 1: % of married men in polygynous union (both legal marriages and cohabiting unions).

Pol 2: % of married women in polygynous union (both legal marriages and cohabiting unions).

Pol 3: Average number of wives per married men.

Price: B=Brideprice, D=Dowry.

TFR (total fertility rate): The estimated number of children per woman over her life-cycle using current age-specific live birth rates, 1980.

IMR (infant mortality rate): Number of live births per 1000 that die before the first birth day, 1980.

CMR (child mortality rate): Number of live births per 1000 that die before the age 5, 1980.

Gap: Average marriage age of men minus average marriage age of women.

Marr1: Proportion of ever-married women aged 15 to 19.

Marr2: Proportion of ever-married men aged 45-49. (Marr1 and Marr2 include consensual unions in some countries. See UN Population Division (2000) for a detailed description.)

A.1 Data Sources

Data on polygyny is from the United Nations (1990), Bankole and Singh (1998), the World Bank (2004) and the Demographic and Health Surveys (2005); for each country I use the latest year of data available. Fertility and mortality rates are from the World Bank (2002). The UN Population Division (2000) provides data on the fractions of men and women that are married, as well as data on average ages at first marriage and the age gap between husbands and wives. Population growth rates and life expectancy is from the Population Reference Bureau (2000). The sex ratio comes from the World Development Indicators (World Bank 2003). The macroeconomic variables (capital-output ratios, investment rates and GDP per capita) are from the Penn World Tables, Version 5.6a (see Summers and Heston (1991)).

B Robustness

To see how sensitive the results are to changes in the parameters, I will report two alternative calibrations here. As was discussed in the main text, population growth in the benchmark calibration is too high because the model abstracts from mortality. I

Table 6: Numerical Results (Robustness)

		Polygyny model & data	Monogamy model	Monogamy data
lowering fertility	Surviving fertility	4.0	2.45	3.57
	Investment rate	0.13	0.18	0.19
	GDP per capita	975	2,107	2,798
$\alpha = 0.35$	surviving fertility	5.01	2.28	3.57
	Investment rate	0.13	0.28	0.19
	GDP per capita	975	3,258	2,798

therefore recalibrate the model to a lower polygynous fertility rate so that it leads to more realistic population growth. The results are reported in Table 6. This change somewhat reduces the difference in savings rates and output per capita across the two economies. However, magnitudes are still large, with GDP per capita in the monogamous economy being twice as large as in the polygynous economy. Another robustness check concerns the capital share of output. One could argue that 40% is too high because of incorrect measurement of self-employment, and that the true output share of capital is lower. Table 6 therefore reports the results for a calibration to $\alpha = 0.35$. The results show that this capital share would lead to even higher output differences across the two economies.

So the magnitudes are indeed sensitive to the details of the calibration. However, the result that polygyny reduces the incentives to save and thereby leads to low output per capita and that these effects are large is very robust. More robustness results are available upon request.