

Economics 101A

(Lecture 24)

Stefano DellaVigna

December 2, 2004

Outline

1. Walrasian Equilibrium
2. Example
3. Welfare Theorems
4. Existence and Uniqueness
5. Empirical Economics

1 Walrasian Equilibrium

- Prices p_1, p_2

- Consumer 1 faces a budget set:

$$p_1 x_1^1 + p_2 x_2^1 \leq p_1 \omega_1^1 + p_2 \omega_2^1$$

- How about consumer 2?

- Budget set of consumer 2:

$$p_1 x_1^2 + p_2 x_2^2 \leq p_1 \omega_1^2 + p_2 \omega_2^2$$

or (assuming $x_i^1 + x_i^2 = \omega_i$)

$$p_1(\omega_1 - x_1^1) + p_2(\omega_2 - x_2^1) \leq p_1(\omega_1 - \omega_1^1) + p_2(\omega_2 - \omega_2^1)$$

or

$$p_1 x_1^1 + p_2 x_2^1 \geq p_1 \omega_1^1 + p_2 \omega_2^1$$

- **Walrasian Equilibrium.** $((x_1^{1*}, x_2^{1*}), (x_1^{2*}, x_2^{2*}), p_1^*, p_2^*)$ is a Walrasian Equilibrium if:

- Each consumer maximizes utility subject to budget constraint:

$$(x_1^{i*}, x_2^{i*}) = \arg \max_{x_1^i, x_2^i} u_i((x_1^i, x_2^i))$$
$$s.t. p_1^* x_1^i + p_2^* x_2^i \leq p_1^* \omega_1^i + p_2^* \omega_2^i$$

- All markets clear:

$$x_j^{1*} + x_j^{2*} \leq \omega_j^1 + \omega_j^2 \text{ for all } j.$$

- Compare with partial (Marshallian) equilibrium:
 - each consumer maximizes utility
 - market for good i clears.
 - (no requirement that all markets clear)

- How do we find the Walrasian Equilibria?

- **Graphical method.**

1. Compute first for each consumer set of utility-maximizing points as function of prices
2. Check that market-clearing condition holds

- *Step 1.* Compute optimal points as prices p_1 and p_2 vary

- Start with Consumer 1. Find points of tangency between budget sets and indifference curves

- Figure

- **Offer curve** for consumer 1:

$$(x_1^{1*}(p_1, p_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)), x_2^{1*}(p_1, p_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)))$$

- Offer curve is set of points that maximize utility as function of prices p_1 and p_2 .

- Then find offer curve for consumer 2:

$$(x_1^{2*}(p_1, p_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)), x_2^{2*}(p_1, p_2, (\omega_1, \omega_2)))$$

- Figure

- *Step 2.* Find intersection(s) of two offer curves
- Walrasian Equilibrium is intersection of the two offer curves!
 - Both individuals maximize utility given prices
 - Total quantity demanded equals total endowment

- Relate Walrasian Equilibrium to barter equilibrium.

- Walrasian Equilibrium is a subset of barter equilibrium:
 - Does WE satisfy Individual Rationality condition?

 - Does WE satisfy the Pareto Efficiency condition?

- Walrasian Equilibrium therefore picks one (or more) point(s) on contract curve.

2 Example

- Consumer 1 has Leontieff preferences:

$$u(x_1, x_2) = \min(x_1^1, x_2^1)$$

- Bundle demanded by consumer 1:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{1*} &= x_2^{1*} = x^{1*} = \frac{p_1 \omega_1^1 + p_2 \omega_2^1}{p_1 + p_2} = \\ &= \frac{\omega_1^1 + (p_2/p_1) \omega_2^1}{1 + (p_2/p_1)} \end{aligned}$$

- Notice: Only ratio of prices matters (general feature)

- Consumer 2 has Cobb-Douglas preferences:

$$u(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^2)^{.5} (x_2^2)^{.5}$$

- Demands of consumer 2:

$$x_1^{2*} = \frac{.5 (p_1 \omega_1^1 + p_2 \omega_2^1)}{p_1} = .5 \left(\omega_1^1 + \frac{p_2}{p_1} \omega_2^1 \right)$$

and

$$x_2^{2*} = \frac{.5 (p_1 \omega_1^1 + p_2 \omega_2^1)}{p_2} = .5 \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} \omega_1^1 + \omega_2^1 \right)$$

- Impose Walrasian equilibrium in market 1:

$$x_1^{1*} + x_1^{2*} = \omega_1^1 + \omega_1^2$$

This implies

$$\frac{\omega_1^1 + (p_2/p_1)\omega_2^1}{1 + (p_2/p_1)} + .5 \left(\omega_1^1 + \frac{p_2}{p_1}\omega_2^1 \right) = \omega_1^1 + \omega_1^2$$

or

$$\frac{.5 - .5(p_2/p_1)}{1 + (p_2/p_1)}\omega_1^1 + \frac{.5(p_2/p_1) + .5(p_2/p_1)^2 - 1}{1 + (p_2/p_1)}\omega_2^1 = 0$$

or

$$\left(\omega_1^1 - 2\omega_2^1 \right) + \left(\omega_1^1 + \omega_2^1 \right) (p_2/p_1) + \omega_2^1 (p_2/p_1)^2 = 0$$

- Is Walrasian Equilibrium always unique?

- Not necessarily. Counterexample.

4 Welfare Theorems

- **First Fundamental Welfare Theorem.** All Walrasian Equilibria are on Contract Curve (and therefore are Pareto Efficient).
- Proof. Let $((x_1^{1*}, x_2^{1*}), (x_1^{2*}, x_2^{2*}))$ be a WE. Assume by contradiction that there exists a feasible bundle $((\hat{x}_1^1, \hat{x}_1^1), (\hat{x}_1^2, \hat{x}_2^2))$ that both agents prefer to the WE. Then either $p\hat{x}^1 \leq p\omega^1$ or $p\hat{x}^2 \leq p\omega^2$. This contradicts definition of WE.
- Figure

- **Second Fundamental Welfare theorem.** Given convex preferences, for every Pareto efficient allocation $((x_1^1, x_1^1), (x_1^2, x_2^2))$ there exists some endowment (ω_1, ω_2) such that $((x_1^1, x_1^1), (x_1^2, x_2^2))$ is a Walrasian Equilibrium for endowment (ω_1, ω_2) .
- Figure

- Significance of these results:
 - First Theorem: Smithian Invisible Hand. Market leads to an allocation that is Pareto Efficient.
 - BUT: problems with externalities and public good
 - BUT: what about distribution?

- Second Theorem: Can redistribute endowments to achieve any efficient outcome as a WE.
- But redistribution is hard to implement, and distortive.

5 Empirical Economics

- So far we have focused on economic theory
- What have we learnt (maybe)?
- Power of models
- **Consumers.** We tried to capture:
 - savings decisions (consumer today/consumer in future)
 - work-leisure trade-off (how much to work?)
 - attitudes toward risk (insurance, investment)
 - self-control problems (health club, retirement saving)
 - altruism (charitable contribution, volunteer work)

- **Producers.**

- Beauty of competitive markets:
 - price equals marginal costs
 - zero profit with entry into market
 - welfare optimality (no deadweight loss)

- Market power, the realistic scenario:
 - choice of price to maximize profits
 - single price or price discrimination
 - interaction between oligopolists

- But this is only half of economics!
- The other half is empirical economics
- Creative and careful use of data
- Get empirical answers to questions above (and other questions)
- Next week:
 - home insurance and deductible choice
 - media bias
 - ...