Economics 101A
(Lecture 7)

Stefano DellaVigna

September 20, 2005

Outline

1. Utility maximization
2. Utility maximization – Tricky Cases
3. Indirect Utility Function
4. Comparative Statics (Introduction)
5. Income Changes

1 Utility Maximization

- Nicholson, Ch. 4, pp. 94–105 [OLD: 91–103]

- $X = R^2_+$ (2 goods)

- Consumers: choose bundle $x = (x_1, x_2)$ in X which yields highest utility.

- Constraint: income $= M$

- Price of good 1 $= p_1$, price of good 2 $= p_2$

- Bundle x is feasible if $p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \leq M$

- Consumer maximizes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{x_1, x_2} u(x_1, x_2) \\
&s.t.\ p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \leq M \\
&x_1 \geq 0,\ x_2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

- Maximization subject to inequality. How do we solve that?

- Trick: u strictly increasing in at least one dimension. ($\succeq$ strictly monotonic)

- Budget constraint always satisfied with equality

- Ignore temporarily $x_1 \geq 0$, $x_2 \geq 0$ and check afterwards that they are satisfied for x_1^* and x_2^*.

- Problem becomes

$$\max_{x_1,x_2} u(x_1, x_2)$$
$$s.t.\ p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 - M = 0$$

- $L(x_1, x_2) = u(x_1, x_2) - \lambda(p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 = M)$

- F.o.c.s:

$$\begin{aligned} u'_{x_i} - \lambda p_i &= 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \\ p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 - M &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Moving the two terms across and dividing, we get:

$$MRS = -\frac{u'_{x_1}}{u'_{x_2}} = -\frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

- Graphical interpretation.

- Second order conditions:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -p_1 & -p_2 \\ -p_1 & u''_{x_1,x_1} & u''_{x_1,x_2} \\ -p_2 & u''_{x_2,x_1} & u''_{x_2,x_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |H| &= p_1 \left(-p_1 u''_{x_2,x_2} + p_2 u''_{x_2,x_1}\right) \\ &\quad -p_2 \left(-p_1 u''_{x_1,x_2} + p_2 u''_{x_1,x_1}\right) \\ &= -p_1^2 u''_{x_2,x_2} + 2 p_1 p_2 u''_{x_1,x_2} - p_2^2 u''_{x_1,x_1} \end{aligned}$$

- Notice: $u''_{x_2,x_2} < 0$ and $u''_{x_1,x_1} < 0$ usually satisfied (but check it!).

- Condition $u''_{x_1,x_2} > 0$ is then sufficient

- Example with CES utility function.

$$\max_{x_1,x_2} \left(\alpha x_1^\rho + \beta x_2^\rho\right)^{1/\rho}$$
$$s.t.\ p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 - M = 0$$

- Lagrangean $=$

- F.o.c.:

- Special case: $\rho = 0$ (Cobb-Douglas)

2 Utility maximization – tricky cases

1. Non-convex preferences. Example:

- Example with CES utility function.

$$\max_{x_1, x_2} \left(\alpha x_1^{\rho} + \beta x_2^{\rho}\right)^{1/\rho}$$
$$s.t.\ p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 - M = 0$$

- With $\rho > 1$ the interior solution is a minimum!

- Draw indifference curves for $\rho = 1$ (boundary case) and $\rho = 2$

- Can also check using second order conditions

2. Solution does not satisfy $x_1^* > 0$ or $x_2^* > 0$. Example:

$$\max x_1 * (x_2 + 5)$$
$$s.t.\ p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 = M$$

- In this case consider corner conditions: what happens for $x_1^* = 0$? And $x_2^* = 0$?

3. Multiplicity of solutions. Example:

- Convex preferences that are not strictly convex

3 Indirect utility function

- Nicholson, Ch. 4, pp. 106–108 [OLD: 103–105]

- Define the indirect utility $v(\mathbf{p}, M) \equiv u(\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{p}, M))$, with $\mathbf{p}$ vector of prices and $\mathbf{x}^*$ vector of optimal solutions.

- $v(\mathbf{p}, M)$ is the utility at the optimimum for prices $\mathbf{p}$ and income M

- Some comparative statics: $\partial v(\mathbf{p}, M)/\partial M =$?

- Hint: Use Envelope Theorem on Lagrangean function

- What is the sign of λ?

- $\lambda = u'_{x_i}/p > 0$

- $\partial v(\mathbf{p}, M)/\partial p_i =$?

- Properties:

  - Indirect utility is always increasing in income M

  - Indirect utility is always decreasing in the price p_i

4 Comparative Statics (introduction)

- Nicholson, Ch. 5, pp. 121–131 [OLD: 116–128]

- Utility maximization yields $x_i^* = x_i^*(p_1, p_2, M)$

- Quantity consumed as a function of income and price

- What happens to quantity consumed x_i^* as prices or income varies?

- Simple case: Equal increase in prices and income.

- $M' = tM$, $p_1' = tp_1$, $p_2' = tp_2$.

- Compare $x^*(tM, tp_1, tp_2)$ and $x^*(M, p_1, p_2)$.

- What happens?

- Write budget line: $tp_1x_1 + tp_2x_2 = tM$

- Demand is homogeneous of degree 0 in $\mathbf{p}$ and M:

  $x^*(tM, tp_1, tp_2) = t^0x^*(M, p_1, p_2) = x^*(M, p_1, p_2)$.

- Consider Cobb-Douglas Case:

$$x_1^* = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} M/p_1, x_2^* = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} M/p_2$$

- What is $\partial x^* / \partial M$?

- What is $\partial x^* / \partial p_x$?

- What is $\partial x^* / \partial p_y$?

- General results?

5 Income changes

- Income increases from M to to $M' > M$.

- Budget line ($p_1x_1 + p_2x_2 = M$) shifts out:

$$x_2 = \frac{M'}{p_2} - x_1\frac{p_1}{p_2}$$

- New optimum?

- Engel curve: $x_i^*(M)$: demand for good i as function of income M holding fixed prices p_1, p_2

- Does x_i^* increase with M?
  - Yes. Good i is *normal*
  - No. Good i is *inferior*

6 Next Class

- Indirect Utility Function

- Comparative Statics:

  - with respect to price

  - with respect to income