## Econ 101A Midterm 1

## Instructor: Stefano DellaVigna

# Th 28 September 2006

Do not turn page unless instructed to.

### Econ 101A – Midterm 1 Th 28 September 2006.

You have approximately 1 hour and 20 minutes to answer the questions in the midterm. Adriana and Suresh will collect the exams at 11.00 sharp. Show your work, and good luck!

**Problem 1. Quasi-linear utility for leisure.** (55 points) Martha is deciding how many hours of leisure l she should take on a typical day, and how much she should consume. Martha's utility function is  $u(c, l) = \alpha c + \phi(l)$ , where  $\phi(l)$  is a function satisfying  $\phi'(l) > 0$  and  $\phi''(l) < 0$ . Assume  $\alpha > 0$ . The consumption good c has price 1.

- 1. Compute the marginal utility of consumption  $\partial u(c, l) / \partial c$  and of leisure  $\partial u(c, l) / \partial l$ . What is the special feature of this utility function? (3 points)
- 2. Martha maximizes u(c, l) subject to a budget constraint. Martha starts the period with income M, and earns w > 0 for each hour h worked. Every hour of work is subtracted from leisure, so l = 24 h. Write down the budget constraint as a function of c and l. Justify the steps. (4 points)
- 3. Write down the maximization problem of Martha that wants to achieve the highest utility subject to the budget constraint. Martha maximizes with respect to c and l. Write down the boundary constraints for c and l, and neglect them from now on. (3 points)
- 4. Assuming that the budget constraint holds with equality, write down the Lagrangean and derive the first order conditions with respect to c, l, and  $\lambda$ . (2 points)
- 5. Solve for  $\lambda^*$  as a function of the parameters,  $\alpha, M, w$ . What does  $\lambda^*$  depend on? (3 points)
- 6. Use the envelope function for constrained maximization to show that  $\lambda^*$  equals  $\partial v(\alpha, M, w)/\partial M$ , that is,  $\lambda$  represents the marginal utility of wealth. Remember,  $v(\alpha, M, w)$  is the indirect utility function, that is,  $v(\alpha, M, w) = u(c^*(\alpha, M, w), l^*(\alpha, M, w))$ . [Note: You will not need to explicitly solve for  $c^*$ and  $l^*$  to do this] (6 points)
- 7. Combine 5 and 6 to solve for  $\partial v(\alpha, M, w)/\partial M$ . Why is this the case? Relate this to your answer in point 1, providing as much intuition as you can. (4 points)
- 8. Going back to the maximization problem, plug the value of  $\lambda^*$  into the first order condition for l. Use the condition you obtain to derive the comparative statics of leisure with respect to income M $(\partial l^*(\alpha, M, w) / \partial M)$  and wage  $(\partial l^*(\alpha, M, w) / \partial w)$ . What is the sign of these derivatives? [You will need the implicit function theorem for at least one of these] (5 points)
- 9. Given that M and w are both sources of earnings, why is the effect of changes on M and w on  $l^*$  so different? Provide as detailed an answer as you can. Give economic intuition. (7 points)
- 10. Now provide an equation that denotes the solution for  $c^*(\alpha, M, w)$ . [It may be helpful to define  $\omega(.) = (\phi')^{-1}(.)$  as the inverse function of  $\phi'(.)$ ]. Could you run into problems with the non-negativity constraints for  $c^*$  and  $l^*$ ? Can you give an example of values of  $\alpha, M$ , and w such that you do violate non-negativity? (5 points)
- 11. (Harder) What is the solution if the non-negativity constraints are violated? (6 points)
- 12. We forgot the second order conditions! Compute the Bordered Hessian and check that the sufficient conditions for an optimum are satisfied. (7 points)

#### Problem 2. Preferences. (25 points)

- 1. Consider a preference relation  $\succeq$  with the properties of completeness and transitivity. Define what we mean by completeness and transitivity of a relation. (3 points)
- 2. As we discussed in class, a preference relation  $\succeq$  defines the indifference relation  $\sim$  as follows:  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $x \succeq y$  and  $y \succeq x$ . Here comes the question: If  $\succeq$  is complete and transitive, does this imply that the relation  $\sim$  is complete? Provide a proof or, if the statement is false, an example to the contrary (6 points)
- 3. If ≿ is complete and transitive, does it imply that ~ is transitive? Provide a proof or, if the statement is false, an example to the contrary (3 points)
- 4. Andrew is religious and believe in meditation. He is detached from material things, but values prayer and meditation highly. As he states his preferences, "I like meditation, I would always rather always do more of it, and am completely indifferent as to the consumption of material goods". Denote by m the number of hours of mediations, and by c the quantity of material good consumed. We can translate these preferences as follows. When comparing two bundles x and y, with  $x = (m_x, c_x)$  and  $y = (m_y, c_y)$ , Andrew's preferences are such that  $x \succeq y$  if and only if  $m_x \ge m_y$ . Provide the intuition for why this is the case and plot indifference curves in the two-dimensional space (m, c). (3 points)
- 5. Are these preferences monotonic? Are they strictly monotonic? Argue the answer, and define the terms used. (4 points)
- 6. Are these preferences convex? Are they continuous? Argue the answer, and define the terms used. (6 points)