

Economics 101A

(Lecture 9)

Stefano DellaVigna

September 24, 2009

Outline

1. Slutsky Equation II
2. Complements and substitutes
3. Do utility functions exist?

1 Slutsky Equation II

- Slutsky Equation

$$\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_i} - x_1^*(p_1, p_2, M) \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M}$$

- Important result! Allows decomposition into substitution and income effect

- Example 1 (ctd.): Cobb-Douglas. Apply Slutsky equation

- $x_i^* = \alpha M/p_i$

- $h_i^* =$

- Derivative of Hicksian demand with respect to price:

$$\frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, \bar{u})}{\partial p_i} =$$

- Rewrite h_i^* as function of m : $h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))$

- Compute $v(\mathbf{p}, M) =$

- Substitution effect:

$$\frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_i} =$$

- Income effect:

$$-x_i^*(p_1, p_2, M) \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M} =$$

- Sum them up to get

$$\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_i} =$$

- It works!

2 Complements and substitutes

- Nicholson, Ch. 6, pp. 182-187 (161–166, 9th)
- How about if price of another good changes?
- Generalize Slutsky equation

- Slutsky Equation:

$$\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_j} - x_j^*(p_1, p_2, M) \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M}$$

- Substitution effect

$$\frac{\partial h_i(\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_j} > 0$$

for $n = 2$ (two goods). Ambiguous for $n > 2$.

- Income effect:

$$-x_j^*(p_1, p_2, M) \frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial M}$$

- negative if good i is normal
- positive if good i is inferior

- How do we define complements and substitutes?

- Def. 1. Goods i and j are **gross substitutes** at price \mathbf{p} and income M if

$$\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_j} > 0$$

- Def. 2. Goods i and j are **gross complements** at price \mathbf{p} and income M if

$$\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_j} < 0$$

- Example 1 (ctd.): $x_1^* = \alpha M/p_1$, $x_2^* = \beta M/p_2$.

- Gross complements or gross substitutes? Neither!

- Notice: $\frac{\partial x_i^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_j}$ is usually different from $\frac{\partial x_j^*(\mathbf{p}, M)}{\partial p_i}$

- Better definition.

- Def. 3. Goods i and j are **net substitutes** at price \mathbf{p} and income M if

$$\frac{\partial h_i^* (\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial h_j^* (\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_i} > 0$$

- Def. 4. Goods i and j are **net complements** at price \mathbf{p} and income M if

$$\frac{\partial h_i^* (\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_j} = \frac{\partial h_j^* (\mathbf{p}, v(\mathbf{p}, M))}{\partial p_i} < 0$$

- Example 1 (ctd.): $h_1^* = \bar{u} \left(\frac{\alpha p_2}{1-\alpha p_1} \right)^{1-\alpha}$

- Net complements or net substitutes? Net substitutes!

3 Do utility functions exist?

- Preferences and utilities are theoretical objects
- Many different ways to write them
- How do we tie them to the world?
- Use actual choices – revealed preferences approach

- Typical economists' approach. Compromise of:
 - realism
 - simplicity
- Assume a class of utility functions (CES, Cobb-Douglas...) with free parameters
- Estimate the parameters using the data

4 Labor Supply

- Nicholson Ch. 16, pp. 573-581 (477–484, 9th)
- Labor supply decision: how much to work in a day.
- Goods: consumption good c , hours worked h
- Price of good p , hourly wage w
- Consumer spends $24 - h = l$ hours in units of leisure
- Utility function: $u(c, l)$

- Budget constraint?
- Income of consumer: $M + wh = M + w(24 - l)$
- Budget constraint: $pc \leq M + w(24 - l)$ or
$$pc + wl \leq M + 24w$$
- Notice: leisure l is a consumption good with price w . Why?
- General category: **opportunity cost**
- Instead of enjoying one hour of TV, I could have worked one hour and gained wage w .
- You should value the marginal hour of TV w !

- Opportunity costs are very important!
- Example 2. CostCo has a warehouse in SoMa
- SoMa used to have low cost land, adequate for warehouses
- Price of land in SoMa triples in 10 years.
- Should firm relocate the warehouse?

- Did costs of staying in SoMa go up?

- No.

- Did the opportunity cost of staying in SoMa go up?

- Yes!

- Firm can sell at high price and purchase land in cheaper area.

- Let's go back to labor supply

- Maximization problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \max u(c, l) \\ \text{s.t. } pc + wl \leq M + 24w \end{aligned}$$

- Standard problem (except for $24w$)

- First order conditions

- Assume utility function Cobb-Douglas:

$$u(c, l) = c^\alpha l^{1-\alpha}$$

- Solution is

$$c^* = \alpha \frac{M + 24w}{p}$$

$$l^* = (1 - \alpha) \left(24 + \frac{M}{w} \right)$$

- Both c and l are normal goods
- Unlike in standard Cobb-Douglas problems, c^* depends on price of other good w
- Why? Agents are endowed with M AND 24 hours of l in this economy
- Normally, agents are only endowed with M

5 Next Lectures

- Applications:
 - Labor Supply
 - Intertemporal Choice
 - Economics of Altruism