

Cartels

Economics 121

Spring 2006

Joseph Farrell

Two approaches to oligopoly

- Imperfect competition
 - Cournot
 - Differentiated products (Hotelling)
- Imperfect collusion
 - What does that mean?

Cartel: shared monopoly

- “Our competitors are our friends. Our customers are the enemy.”
 - ADM executive participating in lysine cartel
- The fundamental prisoner’s dilemma game among competitors
 - How are such games “solved”?
- Agreements not enforceable
 - In fact, illegal...
 - Honor among thieves (E. Leonard)

When can a cartel be stable?

- Not too much incentive to “cheat”
 - Recall: agreements not enforced by courts
- What is the incentive to cheat?
 - Assume all others follow the agreement
 - Selfishly, should you?
 - Checking whether “all follow agreement” is an equilibrium or not

Incentive to Cheat

- Presumably short-run incentive to cheat
 - Maybe not quite... NASDAQ?
- What can overcome that?
 - Downside comes later
 - Retaliation
 - Breakdown of agreement
 - We can say something even if retaliation is “worst credible”

Worst Credible Retaliation

- You can always just exit
 - Sopranos?
- Measure other payoffs relative to exit
 - So exit is zero payoff
- Payoff under agreement?
- Payoff from cheating?
 - How long will it last?
- “Overall” or time-weighted-average payoff

What does this suggest?

- Suppose same products, no capacity limits
- Then cheating could give N times agreement
- Implies limit on N relative to discounting
 - At that level, fits with what we believe is true
- Some numbers
 - Much more going on

Three Policy Responses

- Anticompetitive contracts not enforceable in court
 - Denies powerful means of resolving prisoner's dilemma
- Negotiating anticompetitive agreement highly illegal
- Leniency policy
 - The surprise-attack game
 - Even if all want peace, unstable if first strike is huge advantage